By: Smith of Harris H.B. No. 112

Substitute the following for H.B. No. 112:

By: Chisum C.S.H.B. No. 112

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

1 AN ACT

2 relating to compliance histories for and incentives to reward

compliance performance by entities regulated by the Texas

- 4 Commission on Environmental Quality.
- 5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
- 6 SECTION 1. Section 5.753, Water Code, is amended by
- 7 amending Subsections (a), (b), and (e) and adding Subsection (f) to
- 8 read as follows:

3

- 9 (a) Consistent with other law and the requirements
- 10 necessary to maintain federal program authorization, the
- 11 commission by rule may [shall] develop standards [a uniform
- 12 <u>standard</u>] for evaluating <u>site-specific</u> compliance history <u>that are</u>
- 13 uniform for sites that are similar in size and complexity and at
- 14 which similar activities occur.
- 15 (b) The components of compliance history may [must]
- 16 include:
- 17 (1) enforcement orders, court judgments, [consent
- 18 decrees, and criminal convictions of this state [and the federal
- 19 government] relating to compliance with applicable legal
- 20 requirements under the jurisdiction of the commission [or the
- 21 United States Environmental Protection Agency];
- 22 (2) [notwithstanding any other provision of this code,
- 23 orders issued under Section 7.070;
- [(3)] to the extent readily available to the

- C.S.H.B. No. 112
- 1 commission, enforcement orders, court judgments, consent decrees,
- 2 and criminal convictions relating to violations of environmental
- 3 laws of other states and the federal government, including the
- 4 United States Environmental Protection Agency; and
- 5 (3) $\left[\frac{4}{4}\right]$ changes in ownership.
- 6 (e) Except as required by other law or any requirement
- 7 necessary to maintain federal program authorization, the
- 8 commission by rule shall establish a period for <u>site-specific</u>
- 9 compliance history.
- 10 (f) Nothing in this section shall prevent the commission
- 11 from considering any relevant compliance information, including
- 12 notices of violation, in enforcement.
- 13 SECTION 2. Sections 5.754(a) through (d), (g), (h), and
- 14 (i), Water Code, are amended to read as follows:
- 15 (a) The commission by rule may [shall] establish a set of
- 16 standards for the classification of a person's compliance history
- 17 at a particular site.
- 18 (b) Rules adopted under this section must, at a minimum,
- 19 provide for three classifications of site-specific compliance
- 20 history in a manner adequate to distinguish among:
- 21 (1) poor performers, or regulated entities that in the
- 22 commission's judgment perform below average at a particular site;
- 23 (2) <u>satisfactory</u> [average] performers, or regulated
- 24 entities that generally comply with environmental regulations at a
- 25 particular site; and
- 26 (3) high performers, or regulated entities that have a
- 27 [an above-average] compliance record at a particular site that

- 1 demonstrates a high level of compliance with environmental
- 2 <u>regulations at that site.</u>
- 3 (c) In classifying a person's compliance history at a
- 4 particular site, the commission shall:
- 5 (1) consider the size, complexity, and type of
- 6 activity at the site;
- 7 (2) determine whether a violation of an applicable
- 8 legal requirement is of major, moderate, or minor significance;
- 9 (3) $\left[\frac{(2)}{2}\right]$ establish criteria for classifying a repeat
- 10 violator, giving consideration to the <u>size</u> [number] and complexity
- 11 of the site at which the violations occurred and limiting
- 12 consideration to violations of a similar nature [facilities owned
- 13 or operated by the person]; and
- (4) $\left[\frac{3}{3}\right]$ consider the significance of the violation
- and whether the person is a repeat violator at the site.
- 16 (d) The commission by rule shall establish methods of
- 17 assessing the site-specific compliance history of regulated
- 18 entities for which it does not have adequate compliance
- 19 information. The methods may include requiring a compliance
- 20 inspection at the site to determine an entity's eligibility for
- 21 participation in a program that requires a high level of
- 22 compliance.
- 23 (g) Rules adopted under Subsection (e) for the use of
- 24 site-specific compliance history shall provide for additional
- 25 oversight of, and review of applications regarding, a site
- 26 [facilities] owned or operated by a person whose compliance
- 27 performance at that site is in the lowest classification developed

- 1 under this section.
- 2 (h) The commission by rule shall, at a minimum, prohibit a 3 person whose compliance history <u>at a particular site</u> is classified
- 4 in the lowest classification developed under this section from:
- 5 (1) receiving an announced inspection at the site; and
- 6 (2) obtaining or renewing a flexible permit <u>for the</u>
 7 <u>site</u> under the program administered by the commission under Chapter
 8 382, Health and Safety Code, or participating <u>at the site</u> in the
- 9 regulatory flexibility program administered by the commission
- 10 under Section 5.758.
- 11 (i) The commission shall consider the compliance history of
- 12 and other relevant compliance information, including notices of
- violation, relating to a regulated entity when determining whether
- 14 to grant the regulated entity's application for a permit or permit
- amendment for any activity under the commission's jurisdiction to
- 16 which this subchapter applies. Notwithstanding any provision of
- 17 this code or the Health and Safety Code relating to the granting of
- 18 permits or permit amendments by the commission, the commission,
- 19 after an opportunity for a hearing, shall deny a regulated entity's
- 20 application for a permit or permit amendment if the regulated
- 21 entity's compliance history is unacceptable based on violations
- 22 constituting a recurring pattern of conduct that demonstrates a
- 23 consistent disregard for the regulatory process, including a
- 24 failure to make a timely and substantial attempt to correct the
- 25 violations.
- SECTION 3. Section 5.756, Water Code, is amended by
- 27 amending Subsection (b) and adding Subsection (e) to read as

- 1 follows:
- 2 (b) The commission shall collect data on and make available
- 3 to the public on the Internet:
- 4 (1) the number and percentage of all violations
- 5 committed at a particular site by persons who previously have
- 6 committed the same or similar violations at that site;
- 7 (2) the number and percentage of enforcement orders
- 8 issued by the commission that are issued for a particular site to
- 9 entities that have been the subject of a previous enforcement order
- 10 for that site;
- 11 (3) whether a violation is of major, moderate, or
- 12 minor significance, as defined by commission rule;
- 13 (4) whether a violation relates to an applicable legal
- 14 requirement pertaining to air, water, or waste; and
- 15 (5) the region in which the facility is located.
- (e) Any material about a site that is placed on the Internet
- 17 under this subchapter is subject to a quality assurance and quality
- 18 control procedure, including an opportunity for the entity that
- 19 holds the permit for the site to review the information before it is
- 20 placed on the Internet.
- 21 SECTION 4. Sections 5.758(a), (b), (d), and (h), Water
- 22 Code, are amended to read as follows:
- 23 (a) The commission by order may exempt an applicant from a
- 24 requirement of a statute or commission rule regarding the control
- or abatement of pollution if the applicant proposes to control or
- 26 abate pollution by an alternative method or by applying an
- 27 alternative standard that is:

- 1 (1) <u>as</u> [more] protective of the environment and the 2 public health <u>as</u> [than] the method or standard prescribed by the 3 statute or commission rule that would otherwise apply; and
- 4 (2) not inconsistent with federal law.
- 5 (b) The commission may not exempt an applicant under this
 6 section unless the applicant can <u>demonstrate</u> [present] to the
 7 commission [documented evidence of benefits to environmental
 8 quality] that <u>the applicant's proposed project</u> will result <u>in</u>
 9 protection of environmental quality that is equal to or greater
 10 than the protection afforded by existing standards [from the
 11 project the applicant proposes].
- 12 (d) The commission's order must provide a [specific]
 13 description of the alternative method or standard and condition the
 14 exemption on compliance with the method or standard as the order
 15 prescribes.
- 16 (h) In implementing the program of regulatory flexibility 17 authorized by this section, the commission shall:
- 18 (1) [market the program to businesses in the state 19 through all available appropriate media;
- [(2)] endorse alternative methods that will clearly benefit the environment and impose the least onerous restrictions on business, including economic benefit;
- [(3) fix and enforce environmental standards,
 allowing businesses flexibility in meeting the standards in a
 manner that clearly enhances environmental outcomes;
 and
- 26 $\underline{(2)}$ [$\overline{(4)}$] work to achieve consistent and predictable 27 results for the regulated community and shorter waits for permit

C.S.H.B. No. 112

- 1 issuance.
- 2 SECTION 5. Sections 5.753(d) and 5.757, Water Code, are
- 3 repealed.
- 4 SECTION 6. This Act takes effect November 1, 2003.