By: Ellis S.B. No. 13

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

1	AN ACT
2	relating to the applicability of the death penalty to a capital
3	offense committed by a person with mental retardation.
4	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
5	SECTION 1. The Code of Criminal Procedure is amended by
6	adding Chapter 46C to read as follows:
7	CHAPTER 46C. CAPITAL CASE: EFFECT OF MENTAL RETARDATION
8	Art. 46C.01. DEFINITION. In this chapter, "mental
9	retardation" has the meaning assigned by Section 591.003, Health
10	and Safety Code.

- Art. 46C.02. RESTRICTION ON DEATH PENALTY. Notwithstanding

 Section 19.03(b), Penal Code, or Article 37.071, a defendant who at

 the time of commission of a capital offense was a person with

 mental retardation may not be sentenced to death.
- Art. 46C.03. HEARING. (a) Counsel for a defendant in a

 capital case, at any time before the trial commences, may request

 that the judge hearing the case hold a hearing to determine whether

 the defendant was a person with mental retardation at the time of

 the commission of the alleged offense.
- 20 (b) On receipt of a request under Subsection (a), the judge 21 shall notify all interested parties of the request. If the judge 22 determines that there is evidence to support a finding of mental 23 retardation, a jury shall be impaneled to determine whether the 24 defendant was a person with mental retardation at the time of the

- 1 commission of the offense. A defendant may waive the right to jury
- 2 determination under this subsection and request that the judge make
- 3 the determination.
- 4 (c) Instructions to the jury submitting the issue of mental
- 5 retardation shall require the jury to state in its verdict whether
- 6 the defendant was a person with mental retardation at the time of
- 7 <u>the commission of the alleged offense.</u>
- 8 (d) If the jury is unable to agree on a unanimous verdict
- 9 after a reasonable opportunity to deliberate, the judge shall
- 10 declare a mistrial, discharge the jury, and impanel another jury to
- 11 determine whether the defendant was a person with mental
- 12 retardation at the time of the commission of the alleged offense.
- (e) At the conclusion of the hearing under this article, the
- judge shall dismiss the jury, and the members of that jury may not
- 15 serve on a jury in the subsequent trial of the case.
- Art. 46C.04. BURDEN OF PROOF. (a) At a hearing under this
- chapter, the burden is on the defendant to prove by a preponderance
- 18 of the evidence that the defendant was a person with mental
- 19 retardation at the time of the commission of the alleged offense.
- 20 (b) A defendant who has an intelligence quotient of 70 or
- 21 less is presumed to be a person who was a person with mental
- 22 retardation at the time of the commission of the alleged offense.
- (c) The state may offer evidence to rebut the presumption of
- 24 mental retardation or the defendant's claim.
- 25 Art. 46C.05. SENTENCING ALTERNATIVES. (a) If the judge or
- 26 jury, whichever is the finder of fact, determines that the
- 27 defendant was a person with mental retardation at the time of the

- 1 commission of the alleged offense and the defendant is subsequently
- 2 convicted of the offense, Article 37.071 does not apply to the
- 3 defendant, and the judge shall sentence the defendant to life
- 4 imprisonment in the institutional division of the Texas Department
- 5 of Criminal Justice.
- 6 (b) If the judge or jury, whichever is the finder of fact,
- 7 determines that the defendant was not a person with mental
- 8 retardation at the time of the commission of the alleged offense,
- 9 the judge shall conduct the trial in the same manner as if a hearing
- 10 under this chapter had not been held. At the trial of the offense:
- 11 (1) the jury may not be informed of the fact that the
- 12 judge or a jury has determined under this article that the defendant
- was not a person with mental retardation; and
- 14 (2) the defendant may present at trial evidence of
- mental disability as permitted by Article 37.071.
- 16 (c) The judge or jury, whichever is the finder of fact,
- 17 must, before the trial of the offense under Section 19.03, Penal
- 18 Code, commences, make the determination described by Subsection
- 19 (b).
- 20 Art. 46C.06. APPOINTMENT OF DISINTERESTED EXPERTS. On the
- 21 request of either party or on the judge's own motion, the judge
- 22 shall appoint disinterested experts experienced and qualified in
- the field of diagnosing mental retardation to examine the defendant
- 24 and determine whether the defendant is a person with mental
- 25 retardation. The judge may order the defendant to submit to an
- 26 examination by experts appointed under this article.
- 27 Art. 46C.07. INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL. (a) The defendant and

- 1 the state are entitled to appeal a determination described by
- 2 Article 46C.05(b).
- 3 (b) The court of criminal appeals shall adopt rules as
- 4 necessary for the administration of the appeals process established
- 5 by this article.
- 6 (c) An appeal under this article is a direct appeal to the
- 7 court of criminal appeals, and the court of criminal appeals, as
- 8 provided by court rule, shall give priority to the review of an
- 9 <u>appeal under this article over other cases before the court.</u>
- 10 SECTION 2. Chapter 6, Penal Code, is amended by adding
- 11 Section 6.05 to read as follows:
- 12 Sec. 6.05. MENTAL RETARDATION AFFECTING DEATH SENTENCE. (a)
- 13 In this section, "mental retardation" has the meaning assigned by
- 14 Section 591.003, Health and Safety Code.
- (b) A person may not be punished by death for an offense
- 16 committed while the person was a person with mental retardation.
- 17 (c) A person who is sentenced to death at a trial that
- 18 commences before November 1, 2003, may submit to the convicting
- 19 court a motion for a hearing on the issue of mental retardation, to
- 20 be conducted in the same manner as a hearing under Chapter 46C, Code
- 21 of Criminal Procedure. On a finding by the court that documentary
- 22 evidence supports an assertion that the person is a person with
- 23 mental retardation, the court may order a hearing that, except for
- $\underline{\text{occurring after sentencing, is conducted in the same manner as a}}$
- 25 <u>hearing under Chapter 46C, Code of Criminal Procedure. After making</u>
- 26 <u>a finding as to whether the person is a person with mental</u>
- 27 retardation, the court shall immediately forward a copy of the

S.B. No. 13

- finding to the court of criminal appeals.
- 2 SECTION 3. Chapter 46C, Code of Criminal Procedure, as
- 3 added by this Act, applies only to a trial that commences on or
- 4 after the effective date of this Act regardless of whether the
- 5 alleged offense was committed before, on, or after that date.
- 6 SECTION 4. This Act takes effect November 1, 2003.