By: Zaffirini

S.B. No. 36

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED 1 AN ACT 2 relating to monitoring compliance of public school bilingual 3 education and special language programs. BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS: 4 SECTION 1. Section 7.027(a), Education Code, as added by 5 H.B. No. 3459, Acts of the 78th Legislature, Regular Session, 2003, 6 is amended to read as follows: 7 (a) Except as provided by Section 29.001(5), 29.010(a), 8 29.062, 39.074, or 39.075, the agency may monitor compliance with 9 requirements applicable to a process or program provided by a 10 11 school district, campus, program, or school granted charters under 12 Chapter 12, including the process described by Subchapter F, Chapter 11, or a program described by Subchapter B, C, D, E, F, H, or 13 14 I, Chapter 29, Subchapter A, Chapter 37, or Section 38.003, and the use of funds provided for such a program under Subchapter C, Chapter 15 16 42, only as necessary to ensure: compliance with federal law and regulations; 17 (1) 18 (2) financial accountability, including compliance with grant requirements; and 19 (3) data integrity for purposes of: 20 21 (A) the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS); and 22 accountability under Chapter 39. 23 (B) SECTION 2. Section 29.062, Education Code, as amended by 24

1

H.B. No. 3459, Acts of the 78th Legislature, Regular Session, 2003, is amended by amending Subsections (a), (b), and (e) and adding Subsections (a-1) and (b-1) to read as follows:

S.B. No. 36

4 The legislature recognizes that compliance with this (a) subchapter is an imperative public necessity. 5 Therefore, in 6 accordance with the policy of the state, the agency shall monitor compliance with all applicable state laws and rules by inspecting 7 each school district and open-enrollment charter school on-site at 8 least every five years [evaluate the effectiveness of programs 9 under this subchapter based on the academic excellence indicators 10 adopted under Section 39.051(a), including the results of 11 12 assessment instruments]. The agency may combine the inspection of a district [evaluations] under this section with the inspection of 13 the district's special education program under Section 29.010 14 15 [federal accountability measures concerning students of limited English proficiency]. 16

17 <u>(a-1) An on-site monitoring inspection under this section</u> 18 <u>must include:</u>

19 (1) review of each bilingual education and special 20 language program performance indicator adopted by the agency;

(2) consideration of campus-based quality points or
 similar measures of program achievement; and
 (3) a parent roundtable discussion or similar process

to involve the parents of students enrolled in a program.

25 (b) The areas to be monitored <u>in an on-site inspection must</u>
26 [shall] include:

27

program content and design;

2

S.B. No. 36

1	(2) program coverage;
2	<pre>(3) identification procedures;</pre>
3	(4) classification procedures;
4	(5) staffing;
5	<pre>(6) learning materials;</pre>
6	(7) testing materials;
7	(8) reclassification of students for either entry into
8	regular classes conducted exclusively in English or reentry into a
9	bilingual education or special education program; [and]
10	(9) activities of the language proficiency assessment
11	committees; and
12	(10) program evaluation methods.
13	(b-1) The commissioner shall electronically conduct a
14	risk-based analysis of school district and open-enrollment charter
15	school compliance with all applicable state laws and rules relating
16	to bilingual education and special language programs. The
17	commissioner shall also develop a system and standards for review
18	of the analysis or use systems already available at the agency. The
19	analysis must be designed to identify districts and schools that
20	are at high risk of noncompliance and, as a result, require on-site
21	monitoring of bilingual education or special language programs. If
22	the risk-based analysis indicates that a district or school is at
23	high risk of noncompliance, the district or school is entitled to an
24	opportunity to respond to the commissioner's determination before
25	on-site monitoring may be conducted. The district or school must
26	respond not later than the 30th day after the date the commissioner
27	notifies the district or school of the commissioner's

S.B. No. 36 determination. If the district's or school's response does not 1 2 change the commissioner's determination that the district or school is at high risk of noncompliance or if the district or school does 3 4 not respond in a timely manner, the commissioner shall order agency staff to conduct on-site monitoring of the district or school. The 5 6 commissioner is not required to include in the analysis under this 7 subsection an analysis of each area described by Subsection (b). In determining whether a district or school is at high risk of 8 noncompliance, the commissioner shall consider: 9 10 (1) excessive parental complaints of noncompliance; 11 and 12 (2) frequent district or school requests for waivers 13 of program requirements. If a school district or open-enrollment charter school 14 (e) 15 fails or refuses to comply after proper notification [satisfy appropriate standards adopted by the commissioner for purposes of 16 17 Subsection (a)], the agency shall apply sanctions, which may include the removal of accreditation, loss of foundation school 18 funds, or both. 19 SECTION 3. This Act applies beginning with the 2003-2004 20 21 school year. SECTION 4. This Act takes effect immediately if it receives 22 a vote of two-thirds of all the members elected to each house, as 23 24 provided by Section 39, Article III, Texas Constitution. If this 25 Act does not receive the vote necessary for immediate effect, this Act takes effect on the 91st day after the last day of the 26 27 legislative session.

4