By: Smith of Harris

H.B. No. 36

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT

2 relating to compliance histories for and incentives to reward 3 compliance performance by entities regulated by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. 4

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. Section 5.753, Water Code, is amended 6 by amending Subsections (a), (b), and (e) and adding Subsection (f) to 7 8 read as follows:

(a) Consistent with 9 other law and the requirements necessary to maintain federal program authorization, 10 the 11 commission by rule shall develop standards [a uniform standard] for 12 evaluating compliance history.

13 (b) The components of compliance history may [must] include: 14

enforcement orders, court judgments, [consent 15 (1)decrees,] and criminal convictions of this state [and the federal 16 government] relating to compliance with applicable legal 17 requirements under the jurisdiction of the commission [or the 18 United States Environmental Protection Agency]; 19

20

1

5

(2) [notwithstanding any other provision of this code, 21 orders issued under Section 7.070;

22 $\left[\frac{(3)}{(3)}\right]$ to the extent readily available to the commission, enforcement orders, court judgments, consent decrees, 23 and criminal convictions relating to violations of environmental 24

laws of other states and the federal government, including the 1 2 United States Environmental Protection Agency; and

3

(3) [(4)] changes in ownership. 4 (e) Except as required by other law or any requirement 5 to maintain federal program authorization, necessary the 6 commission by rule shall establish a period for site-specific 7 compliance history.

8 (f) Nothing in this section shall prevent the commission 9 from considering any relevant compliance information, including notices of violation, in enforcement. 10

SECTION 2. Sections 5.754(a)-(d) and (g)-(i), Water Code, 11 are amended to read as follows: 12

The commission by rule may [shall] establish a set of 13 (a) standards for the classification of a person's compliance history 14 15 at a particular site. The commission shall develop standards for classifying some categories of regulated entities. In determining 16 the types of regulated entities to classify, the commission shall 17 consider, as one of the factors in making that determination, those 18 regulated entities that are generally inspected at least annually. 19

(b) Rules adopted under this section must, at a minimum, 20 provide for three classifications of <u>site-specific</u> compliance 21 history in a manner adequate to distinguish among: 22

poor performers, or regulated entities that in the 23 (1)24 commission's judgment perform in an unsatisfactory manner at a particular site [below average]; 25

26 (2) satisfactory [average] performers, or regulated 27 entities that generally comply with environmental regulations at a

1	particular site; and
2	(3) high performers, or regulated entities that have <u>a</u>
3	[an above-average] compliance record <u>at a particular site that</u>
4	demonstrates a high level of compliance with environmental
5	regulations at that site.
6	(c) In classifying a person's compliance history <u>at a</u>
7	particular site, the commission shall:
8	(1) consider the size, complexity, and type of
9	activity at the site;
10	(2) determine whether a violation of an applicable
11	legal requirement is of major, moderate, or minor significance;
12	(3) [(2)] establish criteria for classifying a repeat
13	violator, giving consideration to the <u>size</u> [number] and complexity
14	of the site at which the violations occurred and limiting
15	consideration to violations of a similar nature [facilities owned
16	or operated by the person]; and
17	(4) [(3)] consider the significance of the violation
18	and whether the person is a repeat violator <u>at the site</u> .
19	(d) The commission by rule shall establish methods of
20	assessing the <u>site-specific</u> compliance history of regulated
21	entities for which it does not have adequate compliance
22	information. The methods may include requiring a compliance
23	inspection at the site to determine an entity's eligibility for
24	participation in a program that requires a high level of
25	compliance.
26	(g) Rules adopted under Subsection (e) for the use of
27	site-specific compliance history shall provide for additional

oversight of, and review of applications regarding, <u>a site</u>
[facilities] owned or operated by a person whose compliance
performance <u>at that site</u> is in the lowest classification developed
under this section.

5 (h) The commission by rule shall, at a minimum, prohibit a 6 person whose compliance history <u>at a particular site</u> is classified 7 in the lowest classification developed under this section from:

8

9

10

11

12

13

(1) receiving an announced inspection <u>at the site</u>; and
(2) obtaining or renewing a flexible permit <u>for the</u>
<u>site</u> under the program administered by the commission under Chapter
382, Health and Safety Code, or participating <u>at the site</u> in the
regulatory flexibility program administered by the commission
under Section 5.758.

The commission shall consider the compliance history of 14 (i) 15 and other relevant compliance information, including notices of violation, relating to a regulated entity when determining whether 16 17 to grant the regulated entity's application for a permit or permit amendment for any activity under the commission's jurisdiction to 18 which this subchapter applies. Notwithstanding any provision of 19 this code or the Health and Safety Code relating to the granting of 20 21 permits or permit amendments by the commission, the commission, after an opportunity for a hearing, shall deny a regulated entity's 22 application for a permit or permit amendment if the regulated 23 24 entity's compliance history is unacceptable based on violations constituting a recurring pattern of conduct that demonstrates a 25 26 consistent disregard for the regulatory process, including a failure to make a timely and substantial attempt to correct the 27

1 violations. 2 SECTION 3. Section 5.755(b), Water Code, is amended to read 3 as follows: 4 (b) The strategically directed regulatory structure shall 5 offer incentives based on: 6 (1) a person's compliance performance [history 7 classification]; and 8 (2) any voluntary measures undertaken by the person to improve environmental quality. 9 SECTION 4. Section 5.756, Water Code, 10 is amended by amending Subsection (b) and adding Subsection (e) to read as 11 follows: 12 (b) The commission shall collect data on and make available 13 14 to the public on the Internet: 15 (1) the number and percentage of all violations 16 committed at a particular site by persons who previously have 17 committed the same or similar violations at that site; the number and percentage of enforcement orders 18 (2) issued by the commission that are issued for a particular site to 19 entities that have been the subject of a previous enforcement order 20 for that site; 21 (3) whether a violation is of major, moderate, or 22 minor significance, as defined by commission rule; 23 24 (4) whether a violation relates to an applicable legal 25 requirement pertaining to air, water, or waste; and (5) the region in which the facility is located. 26 (e) Any material about a site that is placed on the Internet 27

H.B. No. 36

under this subchapter is subject to a quality assurance and quality 1 2 control procedure, including an opportunity for the entity that holds the permit for the site to review the information before it is 3 placed on the Internet. 4 SECTION 5. Sections 5.758(a), (b), (d), and (h), Water 5 6 Code, are amended to read as follows: The commission by order may exempt an applicant from a 7 (a) 8 requirement of a statute or commission rule regarding the control 9 or abatement of pollution if the applicant proposes to control or abate pollution by an alternative method or by applying an 10 alternative standard that is: 11 as [more] protective of the environment and the 12 (1)public health as [than] the method or standard prescribed by the 13 14 statute or commission rule that would otherwise apply; and 15 (2) not inconsistent with federal law. (b) The commission may not exempt an applicant under this 16 17 section unless the applicant can demonstrate [present] to the commission [documented evidence of benefits to environmental 18 quality] that the applicant's proposed project will result in 19 protection of environmental quality that is equal to or greater 20 21 than the protection afforded by existing standards [from the project the applicant proposes]. 22 The commission's order must provide a 23 (d) [specific] 24 description of the alternative method or standard and condition the exemption on compliance with the method or standard as the order 25

26 prescribes.

27

In implementing the program of regulatory flexibility (h)

1 authorized by this section, the commission shall:

2 (1) [market the program to businesses in the state
3 through all available appropriate media;

4 [(2)] endorse alternative methods that will clearly
5 benefit the environment and impose the least onerous restrictions
6 on business, including economic benefit;

7 [(3) fix and enforce environmental standards, 8 allowing businesses flexibility in meeting the standards in a 9 manner that clearly enhances environmental outcomes;] and

10 (2) [(4)] work to achieve consistent and predictable 11 results for the regulated community and shorter waits for permit 12 issuance.

13 SECTION 6. Sections 5.753(d) and 5.757, Water Code, are 14 repealed.

SECTION 7. Not later than December 31, 2004, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality by rule shall adopt standards for classification of regulated entities required by Section 5.754(a), Water Code, as amended by this Act.

19 SECTION 8. This Act takes effect immediately if it receives 20 a vote of two-thirds of all the members elected to each house, as 21 provided by Section 39, Article III, Texas Constitution. If this 22 Act does not receive the vote necessary for immediate effect, this 23 Act takes effect January 15, 2004.