BILL ANALYSIS

Senate Research Center 78R5225 GWK-D S.B. 543 By: Duncan Criminal Justice 3/17/2003 As Filed

DIGEST AND PURPOSE

The 77th Texas Legislature passed S.B 3, which established a procedure for the testing of postconviction DNA, to provide an opportunity for biological evidence to be tested in post-conviction cases where testing or technology was not available at the time of the trial. As proposed, S.B. 543 clarifies the intent of S.B. 3 to ensure efficient and effective use of the post-conviction DNA law. S.B. 543 clarifies that the court is required to appoint a lawyer only when it finds reasonable grounds for the motion to be filed. The bill clarifies that the standard of proof for DNA testing is a preponderance of the evidence. This bill further clarifies that the defendant does not have to meet a two-prong test of not having been convicted or indicted, by striking out the "indicted or" language. Finally, this bill corrects an inadvertent mistake which provided that all capital cases had a direct appeal to the court of criminal appeals.

RULEMAKING AUTHORITY

This bill does not expressly grant any additional rulemaking authority to a state officer, institution, or agency.

SECTION BY SECTION ANALYSIS

SECTION 1. Amends Article 64.01(c), Code of Criminal Procedure, as follows:

(c) Requires the convicting court to appoint counsel for the convicted person if the person informs the court that the person wishes to submit a motion under this chapter, the court finds reasonable grounds for a motion to be filed, and the court determines that the person is indigent. Makes nonsubstantives changes.

SECTION 2. Amends Article 64.03(a), Code of Criminal Procedure, as follows:

(a) Authorizes a convicting court to order forensic DNA testing under this chapter only if the convicted person establishes by a preponderance of evidence that the person would not have been convicted if exculpatory results had been obtained through DNA, rather than if the convicted person establishes by a preponderance of the evidence that a reasonable probability exits that the person would not have been prosecuted or convicted if such results had been obtained.

SECTION 3. Amends Article 64.04, Code of Criminal Procedure, as follows:

Art. 64.04. Finding. Provides, for the purposes of this article, results are favorable if, had the result been available during the trial of offense, rather than before or during the trial of offense, it is reasonably probable that the person would not have been convicted, rather than prosecuted or convicted.

SECTION 4. Amends Article 64.05, Code of Criminal Procedure, as follows:

Art. 64.05. APPEALS. Provides that an appeal, rather than an appeal of a finding, under this chapter, rather than under Article 64.03 or 64.04, is to a court of appeals in the same manner as an appeal of any other criminal matter, except that if the convicted person was convicted in a capital case and was sentenced to death, the appeal of the finding is a direct appeal to the court of criminal appeals.

SECTION 5. Amends Article 44.01(a), Code of Criminal Procedure, as follows:

(a)(6) Provides that the state is entitled to appeal an order of a court in a criminal case if the order is issued under Chapter 64.

- SECTION 6. Makes application of this Act prospective.
- SECTION 7. Effective date: September 1, 2003.