By: Smith of Harris H.B. No. 1063 Substitute the following for H.B. No. 1063: By: Smith of Harris C.S.H.B. No. 1063

## A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

## 1 AN ACT 2 relating to compliance histories for and incentives to reward 3 compliance performance by entities regulated by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS: 5 6 SECTION 1. Section 5.752(5), Water Code, is amended to read 7 as follows: (5) "Strategically directed regulatory structure" 8 means a program that is designed to use innovative programs to 9 provide maximum environmental benefit, to result in economic 10 11 savings to regulated entities, and to reward compliance 12 performance. 13 SECTION 2. Sections 5.753(a), (b), and (e), Water Code, are 14 amended to read as follows: (a) Consistent with other law and the requirements 15 maintain federal program authorization, 16 necessary to the commission by rule <u>may</u> [shall] develop <u>standards</u> [a uniform 17 standard] for evaluating site-specific compliance history that are 18 uniform for sites that are similar in size and complexity and at 19 which similar activities occur. 20 21 (b) The components of compliance history may [must] 22 include: 23 (1) enforcement orders, court judgments, [consent 24 decrees, and criminal convictions of this state [and the federal

1 government] relating to compliance with applicable legal
2 requirements under the jurisdiction of the commission [or the
3 United States Environmental Protection Agency];

4 (2) notwithstanding any other provision of this code,
5 orders issued under Section 7.070;

6 (3) to the extent readily available to the commission, 7 enforcement orders, court judgments, <u>consent decrees</u>, and criminal 8 convictions relating to violations of environmental laws of other 9 states <u>and the federal government</u>, <u>including the United States</u> 10 <u>Environmental Protection Agency</u>; and

11

(4) changes in ownership.

12 (e) Except as required by other law or any requirement 13 necessary to maintain federal program authorization, the 14 commission by rule shall establish a period for <u>site-specific</u> 15 compliance history.

SECTION 3. Sections 5.754(a), (b), (c), (d), (g), (h), and (i), Water Code, are amended to read as follows:

(a) The commission by rule <u>may</u> [shall] establish a set of
 standards for the classification of a person's compliance history
 <u>at a particular site</u>.

(b) Rules adopted under this section must, at a minimum, provide for three classifications of <u>site-specific</u> compliance history in a manner adequate to distinguish among:

(1) poor performers, or regulated entities that in the
 commission's judgment perform below average <u>at a particular site;</u>

26 (2) <u>satisfactory</u> [average] performers, or regulated
 27 entities that generally comply with environmental regulations <u>at a</u>

1 particular site; and

(3) high performers, or regulated entities that have <u>a</u>
[an above-average] compliance record <u>at a particular site that</u>
<u>demonstrates a high level of compliance with environmental</u>
regulations at that site.

6 (c) In classifying a person's compliance history <u>at a</u> 7 <u>particular site</u>, the commission shall:

8 (1) determine whether a violation of an applicable
9 legal requirement is of major, moderate, or minor significance;

10 (2) establish criteria for classifying a repeat 11 violator, giving consideration to the <u>size</u> [number] and complexity 12 of <u>the site at which the violations occurred and limiting</u> 13 <u>consideration to violations of a similar nature</u> [facilities owned 14 or operated by the person]; and

(3) consider the significance of the violation and
whether the person is a repeat violator <u>at the site</u>.

17 (d) The commission by rule shall establish methods of the site-specific compliance history of assessing regulated 18 entities for which it does not have adequate 19 compliance information. The methods may include requiring a compliance 20 inspection at the site to determine an entity's eligibility for 21 participation in a program that requires a high level of 22 23 compliance.

(g) Rules adopted under Subsection (e) for the use of
<u>site-specific</u> compliance history shall provide for additional
oversight of, and review of applications regarding, <u>a site</u>
[facilities] owned or operated by a person whose compliance

performance <u>at that site</u> is in the lowest classification developed under this section.

3 (h) The commission by rule shall, at a minimum, prohibit a
4 person whose compliance history <u>at a particular site</u> is classified
5 in the lowest classification developed under this section from:

6

(1) receiving an announced inspection <u>at the site;</u> and

7 (2) obtaining or renewing a flexible permit <u>for the</u>
8 <u>site</u> under the program administered by the commission under Chapter
9 382, Health and Safety Code, or participating <u>at the site</u> in the
10 regulatory flexibility program administered by the commission
11 under Section 5.758.

The commission shall consider the compliance history of 12 (i) and other relevant compliance information relating to a regulated 13 14 entity when determining whether to grant the regulated entity's 15 application for a permit or permit amendment for any activity under the commission's jurisdiction to which this subchapter applies. 16 17 Notwithstanding any provision of this code or the Health and Safety Code relating to the granting of permits or permit amendments by the 18 commission, the commission, after an opportunity for a hearing, 19 shall deny a regulated entity's application for a permit or permit 20 amendment if the regulated entity's compliance history 21 is unacceptable based on violations constituting a recurring pattern 22 of conduct that demonstrates a consistent disregard for the 23 24 regulatory process, including a failure to make a timely and substantial attempt to correct the violations. 25

26 SECTION 4. Sections 5.755(a) and (b), Water Code, are 27 amended to read as follows:

C.S.H.B. No. 1063 The commission by rule shall develop a strategically 1 (a) directed regulatory structure to provide: 2 3 (1) incentives for enhanced environmental 4 performance; and (2) economic benefits for regulated entities if the 5 6 resulting benefits to the environment are equal to the benefits that would result from compliance with applicable legal 7 8 requirements under the commission's jurisdiction. The strategically directed regulatory structure shall 9 (b) offer incentives based on: 10 (1) a person's <u>site-specific</u> compliance 11 history classification; and 12 any voluntary measures undertaken by the person to 13 (2) 14 improve environmental quality at the site. 15 SECTION 5. Section 5.756, Water Code, is amended by amending Subsection (b) and adding Subsection (e) to read as 16 17 follows: The commission shall collect data on and make available (b) 18 to the public [on the Internet]: 19 20 (1) the number and percentage of all violations 21 committed at a particular site by persons who previously have committed the same or similar violations at that site; 22 (2) the number and percentage of enforcement orders 23 24 issued by the commission that are issued for a particular site to 25 entities that have been the subject of a previous enforcement order 26 for that site; whether a violation is of major, moderate, or 27 (3)

1 minor significance, as defined by commission rule;

2 (4) whether a violation relates to an applicable legal
3 requirement pertaining to air, water, or waste; and

4

(5) the region in which the facility is located.

5 <u>(e)</u> Any material about a site that is placed on the Internet 6 <u>under this subchapter is subject to a quality assurance and quality</u> 7 <u>control procedure, including an opportunity for the entity that</u> 8 <u>holds the permit for the site to review the information before it is</u> 9 placed on the Internet.

10 SECTION 6. Sections 5.758(a), (b), (d), and (h), Water 11 Code, are amended to read as follows:

12 (a) The commission by order may exempt an applicant from a 13 requirement of a statute or commission rule regarding the control 14 or abatement of pollution if the applicant proposes to control or 15 abate pollution by an alternative method or by applying an 16 alternative standard that is:

(1) <u>as</u> [more] protective of the environment and the public health <u>as</u> [than] the method or standard prescribed by the statute or commission rule that would otherwise apply; and

20

(2) not inconsistent with federal law.

(b) The commission may not exempt an applicant under this section unless the applicant can <u>demonstrate</u> [present] to the commission [documented evidence of benefits to environmental quality] that <u>the applicant's proposed project</u> will result <u>in</u> protection of environmental quality that is equal to or greater than the protection afforded by existing standards [from the project the applicant proposes].

1 (d) The commission's order must provide a [specific] 2 description of the alternative method or standard and condition the 3 exemption on compliance with the method or standard as the order 4 prescribes.

5 (h) In implementing the program of regulatory flexibility6 authorized by this section, the commission shall:

7 (1) [market the program to businesses in the state
8 through all available appropriate media;

9 [<del>(2)</del>] endorse alternative methods that will clearly 10 benefit the environment and impose the least onerous restrictions 11 on business, including economic benefit;

12 [(3) fix and enforce environmental standards, 13 allowing businesses flexibility in meeting the standards in a 14 manner that clearly enhances environmental outcomes;] and

15 (2) [(4)] work to achieve consistent and predictable 16 results for the regulated community and shorter waits for permit 17 issuance.

18 SECTION 7. Sections 5.753(d) and 5.757, Water Code, are 19 repealed.

20

SECTION 8. This Act takes effect September 1, 2003.