S.C.R. No. 11

By: Zaffirini

## CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Snowmass, Inc., and Elizabeth S. and Samuel H. 1 2 Vester, Jr., allege that:

3 (1) they are the owners of mineral interests beneath a tract of land in Zapata County, Texas, containing approximately 4 5 640 acres, known as the Northwest 1/2 of Section 313, T.G. Bagley 6 Survey, Certificate 1007;

7 (2) the property was patented by the State of Texas on March 13, 1945 to Lyle J. Perkins, under Patent No. 128, Volume 8 98-A, in which the state reserved as a free royalty, a one-eighth 9 royalty on the production of sulfur and other mineral substances 10 11 from which sulfur may be derived or produced, and a one-sixteenth royalty on the production of all other minerals beneath the 12 13 property;

14 (3) since the issuance of the patent, various landowners, mineral owners, and oil and gas companies have relied 15 16 in good faith on the free royalty mineral reservation;

(4) notwithstanding 17 the free royalty mineral 18 reservation as stated in the patent, the state now claims that the property is mineral classified and that the state is the owner of 19 100 percent of the minerals because of the judgment of the court in 20 21 Cause No. 54,731, The State of Texas vs. Lyle J. Perkins, et al., 22 98th District Court of Travis County, Texas, decided on December 23 20, 1934;

24

(5) that court awarded Lyle J. Perkins a preference

1

S.C.R. No. 11

1 right to purchase the property under the Sales Act of 1931, subject 2 to a reservation on behalf of the permanent school fund of the State of Texas of title to all of the minerals beneath the property in 3 favor of the permanent school fund of the State of Texas, and 4 5 ordered that the property, on the sale to Lyle J. Perkins in accordance with the preference right, be subject to lease for oil 6 7 and gas developments by the surface owner under the terms of the 8 Relinquishment Act of 1919;

9 (6) the free royalty mineral reservation as stated in 10 the patent is the correct interest that was retained by the state 11 under the plain letter of the patent and under principles of both 12 law and equity;

13 (7) the state's position as to the minerals beneath the 14 property would render claimants' and other persons' interests in 15 the minerals nonexistent and worthless;

16 (8) the conflicting positions as to the ownership of 17 the minerals beneath the property prevent claimants from selling or 18 leasing minerals to third parties and prevent development of 19 production of oil and gas underneath the property;

(9) the title of the minerals beneath the property can
only be resolved by court decree determining the rights of persons
claiming interest in the property and the minerals lying beneath
the property, as patented; and

(10) Snowmass, Inc., and Elizabeth S. and Samuel H.
Vester, Jr., are entitled to relief as a result of their claims,
including a determination or declaration of the status and
ownership of the minerals beneath the property, or a declaration of

2

S.C.R. No. 11 1 the respective rights of the parties in the property, as patented; 2 now, therefore, be it

3 RESOLVED by the Legislature of the State of Texas, That 4 Snowmass, Inc., and Elizabeth S. and Samuel H. Vester, Jr., are 5 granted permission to sue the State of Texas and the General Land 6 Office subject to Chapter 107, Civil Practice and Remedies Code; 7 and, be it further

8 RESOLVED, That the suit authorized by this resolution shall 9 be brought in either Travis County or Zapata County; and, be it 10 further

RESOLVED, That the attorney general and the commissioner of the General Land Office be served process as provided by Section 13 107.002(a)(3), Civil Practice and Remedies Code.

3