LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE, 78TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 7, 2003

TO: Honorable Teel Bivins, Chair, Senate Committee on Finance

FROM: John Keel, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB104 by Chavez (Relating to the exemption from ad valorem taxation of tangible personal property held temporarily at a location in this state for assembling, storing, manufacturing, processing, or fabricating purposes.), **As Engrossed**

Estimated Two-year Net Impact to General Revenue Related Funds for HB104, As Engrossed: an impact of \$0 through the biennium ending August 31, 2005.

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

General Revenue-Related Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Net Positive/(Negative) Impact to General Revenue Related Funds		
2004	\$0		
2005	\$0		
2006	(\$35,900,000)		
2007	(\$36,977,000)		
2008	(\$38,086,300)		

All Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Savings/ (Cost) from FOUNDATION SCHOOL FUND 193	Probable Revenue (Loss) from School Districts	Probable Revenue (Loss) from <i>Counties</i>	Probable Revenue (Loss) from <i>Cities</i>
2004	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2005	\$0	(\$35,900,000)	(\$7,800,000)	(\$11,200,000)
2006	(\$35,900,000)	(\$1,077,000)	(\$8,034,000)	(\$11,536,120)
2007	(\$36,977,000)	(\$1,109,000)	(\$8,275,134)	(\$11,882,244)
2008	(\$38,086,300)	(\$1,142,600)	(\$8,523,500)	(\$12,238,753)

Fiscal Analysis

In November 2001, Texas voters passed SJR 6 to add Article VIII, Section 1-n to the Texas Constitution, thereby authorizing the Legislature to exempt from ad valorem taxation "goods in transit."

The proposed enabling legislation would provide an exemption for property acquired or imported into Texas, stored at a location in the state not owned or under the control of the property owner, and transported to another location either inside or outside of the state within 175 days. The exemption would not apply to oil and gas and their immediate derivatives, aircraft, and dealer's special

inventories. The proposed bill would provide a local option procedure to continue taxing the property.

Methodology

It is not known how many jurisdictions might hold an election and vote to continue taxing the covered items. For purposes of this analysis, it's assumed that all taxing jurisdictions would provide the exemption. The Comptroller's Property Tax Division estimated the losses for fiscal 2005. These amounts were trended upward based on historical property tax levy increases, to reflect losses to local governments for fiscal years 2005-2008.

Section 403.302 of the Government Code requires the Comptroller to conduct a property value study to determine the total taxable value for each school district. Total taxable value is an element in the state's school funding formula. The cost to the state was estimated by assuming that the state would reimburse school districts for their total levy losses, including losses for this exemption, after a one-year lag.

Local Government Impact

The impacts to units of local government are reflected in the above tables.

Source Agencies: 304 Comptroller of Public Accounts **LBB Staff:** JK, JO, SD, WP, DLBe