

LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD
Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE, 78TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

April 23, 2003

TO: Honorable Kent Grusendorf, Chair, House Committee on Public Education

FROM: John Keel, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB511 by Castro (Relating to a survey to monitor the postsecondary educational plans of seniors at public high schools.), **Committee Report 1st House, Substituted**

Estimated Two-year Net Impact to General Revenue Related Funds for HB511, Committee Report 1st House, Substituted: a negative impact of (\$28,800) through the biennium ending August 31, 2005.

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

General Revenue-Related Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Net Positive/(Negative) Impact to General Revenue Related Funds
2004	\$0
2005	(\$28,800)
2006	(\$24,800)
2007	\$0
2008	\$0

All Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Savings/(Cost) from GENERAL REVENUE FUND 1
2004	\$0
2005	(\$28,800)
2006	(\$24,800)
2007	\$0
2008	\$0

Fiscal Analysis

The bill would require the Texas Education Agency to establish a pilot program to monitor the postsecondary education plans of seniors at participating high schools and report the results of the survey in the agency's annual report. The bill would require the Agency to select five noncharter high schools to participate in a survey program. Eligible schools would only include those that are willing to participate and are located in a municipality that has at least 10 independent school districts in the municipality.

Methodology

The Texas Education Agency estimates the annual contract cost for survey development and data collection, entry and analysis, beginning with fiscal year 2005, to be \$28,800 for a 2,500 student

survey (500 students at each of the five required campuses). Costs in the second year of the survey, fiscal year 2006, are estimated to be slightly lower, at \$24,800, because there would be no survey development needed. The agency is anticipated to be able to absorb these costs within their current administrative resources, and thus there would be no significant fiscal impact to the state.

Local Government Impact

No significant fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated. Schools choosing to participate in this pilot program might incur minor costs associated with participation. Presumably all costs could be avoided by choosing not to participate.

Source Agencies: 701 Central Education Agency

LBB Staff: JK, CT, UP, PQ