

LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD
Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE, 78TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

April 23, 2003

TO: Honorable Florence Shapiro, Chair, Senate Committee on Education

FROM: John Keel, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: SB1357 by Nelson (Relating to the PEIMS Report.), **As Introduced**

Estimated Two-year Net Impact to General Revenue Related Funds for SB1357, As Introduced: a negative impact of (\$125,930) through the biennium ending August 31, 2005.

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

General Revenue-Related Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Net Positive/(Negative) Impact to General Revenue Related Funds
2004	(\$62,965)
2005	(\$62,965)
2006	(\$62,965)
2007	(\$62,965)
2008	(\$62,965)

All Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Savings/(Cost) from GENERAL REVENUE FUND 1	Change in Number of State Employees from FY 2003
2004	(\$62,965)	1.0
2005	(\$62,965)	1.0
2006	(\$62,965)	1.0
2007	(\$62,965)	1.0
2008	(\$62,965)	1.0

Fiscal Analysis

The bill would require the Commissioner of Education to annually report information on school district policies and practices related to state requirements on physical activity in elementary school, District School Health Advisory Council meetings, compliance with vending machine/food service guidelines, and the use of tobacco products on campuses.

Methodology

To implement the provisions of the bill, the Texas Education Agency (TEA) would need personnel resources to construct and administer surveys to all school districts and charter schools, gather and analyze the data and information, and compile the results in an annual report. TEA estimates the need for one full-time employee and the associated administrative resources to carry out these duties, at an annual cost of \$62,965.

Technology

No fiscal implications for the state's technology costs are expected.

Local Government Impact

School districts may incur some additional administrative costs associated with the compilation and reporting of data and information required by the bill. Local costs would vary widely by district, depending on the methods chosen to collect and maintain the data locally. For the purposes of this fiscal note, it is assumed that most districts currently keep the information required by the bill in such a format that the annual transmittal of this information to TEA would not represent a significant cost burden.

Source Agencies: 701 Central Education Agency

LBB Staff: JK, CT, UP, JGM