LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE, 79TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 2, 2005

TO: Honorable Suzanna Gratia Hupp, Chair, House Committee on Human Services

FROM: John S. O'Brien, Deputy Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB2459 by Jones, Jesse (Relating to the establishment of homeland security training programs for older persons by the Department of Aging and Disability Services.), Committee Report 1st House, Substituted

Estimated Two-year Net Impact to General Revenue Related Funds for HB2459, Committee Report 1st House, Substituted: a negative impact of (\$109,542) through the biennium ending August 31, 2007.

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

General Revenue-Related Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Net Positive/(Negative) Impact to General Revenue Related Funds
2006	(\$109,542)
2007	\$0
2008	\$0
2009	\$0
2010	\$0

All Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Savings/(Cost) from GENERAL REVENUE FUND 1	Change in Number of State Employees from FY 2005
2006	(\$109,542)	2.0
2007	\$0	0.0
2008	\$0	0.0
2009	\$0	0.0
2010	\$0	0.0

Fiscal Analysis

The bill would amend Subchapter D, Chapter 161, Human Resources Code, by adding Section 161.074, requiring the Department of Aging and Disability Services (DADS) to work with the Department of Public Safety (DPS) in applying for federal funding available to provide homeland security training for older persons. If DADS receives the funding, the bill requires DADS, with the assistance of DPS, to establish and operate a program, consistent with the Governor's homeland security strategy under Chapter 421 of the Government Code, to provide older adults with training on homeland security issues and education about relevant programs and resources available.

Methodology

DADS estimated that two additional staff, one Training Specialist IV and one Program Specialist V, would be required to coordinate and monitor all necessary actions (such as training) to implement provisions of the bill. DADS indicated that other costs associated with implementation of the bill could be accommodated with available funds and resources.

Only first year costs appear in the table above because it is assumed that either the staff will not identify available federal grants for this purpose and therefore will no longer be needed or federal grants will be identified and then be available to cover the costs of staffing as well as operating the program.

Local Government Impact

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 301 Office of the Governor, 405 Department of Public Safety, 537 Department of State

Health Services, 539 Department of Aging and Disability Services

LBB Staff: JOB, CL, KF, ML