#### **BILL ANALYSIS**

C.S.H.B. 2600 By: Raymond Border & International Affairs Committee Report (Substituted)

#### **BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE**

The Transporation Code §367.002 (3) authorizes a border city to construct a railroad toll bridge by defining a "toll bridge" as including property used for a road, street, or railroad. However the Transporation Code §364.002 (2) excludes the term *railroad* in its description of the authority for a county to construct a toll bridge. As such, cities along the border are authorized to construct a railroad toll bridge, but border counties lack similar authority.

C.S.H.B. 2600 amends the Transportation Code by defining "bridge," authorizing certain counties to construct a railroad toll bridge, and authorizing certain counties to enter into various types of agreements with private or governmental entities for the purpose of constructing and maintaining such a bridge.

# **RULEMAKING AUTHORITY**

It is the opinion of the committee that this bill does not expressly grant any additional rulemaking authority to a state officer, department, agency, or institution.

#### **ANALYSIS**

C.S.H.B. 2600 amends the Transportation Code by defining the term "bridge" as including a bridge used by vehicles, pedestrians, railroads, or a combination of vehicles, pedestrians, or railroads. The bill authorizes a county to enter into various types of agreements with a private entity or other governmental entity in this state for the purpose of acquiring, constructing, maintaining, or operating a toll bridge.

### **EFFECTIVE DATE**

Upon passage, or, if the Act does not receive the necessary vote, the Act takes effect September 1, 2007.

## **COMPARISON OF ORIGINAL TO SUBSTITUTE**

C.S.H.B. 2600 differs from the original by adding private entities to the list of entities a county can enter into agreements with and lists the types of agreements a county is authorized to enter into. The substitute also differs from the original by modifying the effective date.