BILL ANALYSIS

Senate Research Center 80R4482 ESH-D S.B. 962 By: Shapleigh S/C on Base Realignment and Closure 3/27/2007 As Filed

AUTHOR'S / SPONSOR'S STATEMENT OF INTENT

Currently, prioritization of instructional facilities allotment (IFA) funding occurs in the event that insufficient funds are appropriated to cover all submitted requests for aid. Districts that submit a qualified request for IFA funding are ranked from poorest to wealthiest and the requests are funded until the limit of funds has been reached. Students of military families from locations outside of Texas will relocate to Texas over the next several years due to base realignment and closure (BRAC) and transfer of active duty service members. S.B. 962 will help BRAC-impacted school districts secure the IFA funding necessary to properly serve the children of military personnel transferring due to BRAC.

As proposed, S.B. 962 reduces a school district's wealth per student by 25 percent for purposes of IFA if the school district is required to construct, acquire, renovate, or improve one or more instructional facilities as a result of incoming children of military personnel.

RULEMAKING AUTHORITY

This bill does not expressly grant any additional rulemaking authority to a state officer, institution, or agency.

SECTION BY SECTION ANALYSIS

SECTION 1. Amends Section 46.006, Education Code, by adding Subsection (c-2) and by amending Subsection (d), as follows:

- (c-2) Provides that a district's wealth per student is reduced by 25 percent for the purposes of this section (Assistance With Instructional Facilities and Payment of Existing Debt) if the district demonstrates to the satisfaction of the commissioner of education (commissioner) that the district is required to construct, acquire, renovate, or improve one or more instructional facilities to serve the children of military personnel transferred to a military installation in or near the district under the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (10 U.S.C. Section 2687). Provides that the reduction is in addition to any reduction under Subsection (a), (b), or (c) and is computed before the district's wealth per student is reduced under those subsections, if applicable.
- (d) Requires the commissioner to adjust the rankings after making the reductions in wealth per student required by Subsections (a), (b), (c), and (c-2).

SECTION 2. Effective date: September 1, 2007.