By: Strama H.C.R. No. 138

Substitute the following for H.C.R. No. 138:

By: Martinez Fischer C.S.H.C.R. No. 138

HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

- 1 WHEREAS, WB IND-HP, Ltd., alleges that:
- 2 (1) on or about August 8, 2002, the Texas Building and
- 3 Procurement Commission entered into a leasing contract with WB
- 4 IND-HP, Ltd., for 44,456 square feet of net usable office space
- 5 located at 4616 West Howard Lane in Austin, Texas;
- 6 (2) 10,850 square feet of that office space was leased
- 7 by the Texas Building and Procurement Commission on behalf of the
- 8 Texas Commission on Private Security;
- 9 (3) the term of the lease was 60 months and
- 10 contractually scheduled to end on October 31, 2007;
- 11 (4) at or shortly after the commencement of the lease,
- 12 the Texas Commission on Private Security took occupancy of the
- 13 building;
- 14 (5) the Texas Commission on Private Security ceased to
- use the space for conducting the affairs of the Texas Commission on
- 16 Private Security on August 31, 2003;
- 17 (6) the Texas Building and Procurement Commission,
- 18 through the Texas Commission on Private Security, ceased making
- 19 lease payments after August 2003;
- 20 (7) the Texas Building and Procurement Commission did
- 21 not send any notice to attempt to cancel the lease until April 29,
- 22 2004;
- 23 (8) the Texas Building and Procurement Commission
- 24 admits that WB IND-HP, Ltd., was a responsive landlord and fully

C.S.H.C.R. No. 138

- 1 performed on all of its duties to the Texas Commission on Private
- 2 Security;
- 3 (9) the Texas Commission on Private Security and its
- 4 successor, the Texas Department of Public Safety, continued to
- 5 occupy the space by leaving furniture and office equipment in the
- 6 space until May 2005;
- 7 (10) the lease between WB IND-HP, Ltd., and the Texas
- 8 Building and Procurement Commission states that the contract "is
- 9 made contingent upon the continuation of federally funded programs,
- 10 or upon the availability of state funds appropriated by the
- 11 Legislature, to cover the full term and cost of this lease. In the
- 12 event a curtailment of federally funded programs occurs, or in the
- 13 event state appropriated funds are unavailable, the Building and
- 14 Procurement Commission, hereinafter referred to as the Commission,
- 15 may assign another State agency to the space, or a part thereof,
- 16 covered by this lease. Should the Commission be unable to find
- 17 another State agency or agencies to fill, or partially fill the
- 18 space, the Commission, upon written notice to the Lessor, either
- 19 may terminate this lease, or adjust it in accordance with the
- 20 provisions of this lease";
- 21 (11) the Texas Building and Procurement Commission has
- 22 not shown that state funds have not been appropriated to the Texas
- 23 Commission on Private Security or its successor, the Texas
- 24 Department of Public Safety;
- 25 (12) the Texas Building and Procurement Commission has
- been unable to find another state agency or agencies to take over
- 27 the Texas Commission on Private Security lease;

C.S.H.C.R. No. 138

- 1 (13) by assuming the responsibilities of the Texas
- 2 Commission on Private Security under House Bill 28 (enacted by the
- 3 78th Legislature, 3rd Called Session, 2003), the Texas Department
- 4 of Public Safety is still in possession of the premises;
- 5 (14) the Texas Commission on Private Security's
- 6 vacating of the lease premises and the Texas Building and
- 7 Procurement Commission's cancellation of the lease on behalf of the
- 8 Texas Commission on Private Security without satisfying the
- 9 procedural requirements of the lease and the Texas Building and
- 10 Procurement Commission's refusal to make further lease payments
- 11 constitute a breach of the lease;
- 12 (15) the Texas Department of Public Safety has been
- 13 provided ample appropriations by the legislature;
- 14 (16) WB IND-HP, Ltd., has incurred damages in the
- minimum amount of \$261,251.03 in unpaid rent owed to WB IND-HP,
- 16 Ltd., by the State of Texas, and is entitled to pursue relief and
- 17 any damages for breach of the lease allowed by law as described by
- 18 Section 107.002, Civil Practice and Remedies Code;
- 19 (17) both the Texas Department of Public Safety and
- 20 the Texas Building and Procurement Commission have been
- 21 unresponsive to WB IND-HP, Ltd., in their attempts to negotiate
- 22 payments of the owed rent payments, and as a result, WB IND-HP,
- 23 Ltd., filed a formal claim with the Texas Building and Procurement
- 24 Commission dated April 28, 2005;
- 25 (18) the Texas Building and Procurement Commission and
- 26 the Texas Department of Public Safety have failed and refused to
- 27 make a good faith effort to resolve this dispute;

C.S.H.C.R. No. 138

- 1 (19) on July 7, 2005, WB IND-HP, Ltd., submitted its
- 2 notice of its intent to pursue a breach of contract claim against
- 3 the Texas Building and Procurement Commission through
- 4 administrative proceedings under Section 2260.051, Government
- 5 Code;
- 6 (20) on August 1, 2005, the Texas Building and
- 7 Procurement Commission responded to this notice by stating that
- 8 Chapter 2260, Government Code, does not apply to leases, leaving WB
- 9 IND-HP, Ltd., with no administrative remedy through which to
- 10 resolve its claim; and
- 11 (21) WB IND-HP, Ltd., has exhausted all applicable
- 12 administrative remedies; now, therefore, be it
- RESOLVED by the Legislature of the State of Texas, That WB
- 14 IND-HP, Ltd., is granted permission to sue the State of Texas, the
- 15 Texas Building and Procurement Commission, and the Texas Department
- 16 of Public Safety subject to Chapter 107, Civil Practice and
- 17 Remedies Code, for breach of the lease, together with interest on
- any amounts due as may be authorized by law; and, be it further
- 19 RESOLVED, That the chairmen of the Texas Building and
- 20 Procurement Commission and the Public Safety Commission be served
- 21 process as provided by Section 107.002(a)(3), Civil Practice and
- 22 Remedies Code.