By: Ritter

H.C.R. No. 224

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

1 WHEREAS, Goss Building Incorporated alleges that:

(1) In July 2001, Goss Building Incorporated submitted
a bid to the Texas Department of Transportation for a building
contract and posted a bid security of \$30,000 with its bid;

5 (2) At approximately 2 p.m., July 11, 2001, the Texas 6 Department of Transportation opened the bids for the building 7 contract, including the bid from Goss Building Incorporated;

8 (3) Shortly after the opening of the bids, Goss 9 Building Incorporated discovered a significant error in its bid for 10 the Texas Department of Transportation's building contract;

(4) Goss Building Incorporated notified the Texas Department of Transportation of the error by telephone at approximately 3:30 p.m., July 11, 2001, and was advised as to what procedure to follow;

15 (5) Goss Building Incorporated also notified the Texas 16 Department of Transportation of the error in writing by letter 17 dated July 11, 2001, sent by overnight delivery, which the 18 department received on July 12, 2001;

19 (6) Despite the error, on July 18, 2001, the Texas
20 Department of Transportation advised Goss Building Incorporated
21 that it would recommend to the Texas Transportation Commission that
22 Goss be awarded the contract as the low bidder;

(7) On July 26, 2001, Anthony Goss, President of Goss
 Building Incorporated, appeared before the Texas Transportation

1

H.C.R. No. 224

1 Commission and explained how the error occurred;

2 (8) At the meeting on July 26, 2001, the Texas
3 Transportation Commission took the incorrect position that it had
4 no options once a bid was opened;

5 (9) On August 7, 2001, Goss Building Incorporated 6 returned the contract that was based on the flawed bid to the Texas 7 Department of Transportation unsigned and requested the return of 8 its bid security of \$30,000;

9 (10) The Texas Department of Transportation has 10 refused to return the \$30,000 bid security to Goss Building 11 Incorporated;

12 (11) In June 2003, through its counsel, Goss Building 13 Incorporated requested that the Texas Department of Transportation 14 discuss resolution of this matter and indicated that it would 15 request a hearing under Chapter 2260, Government Code;

16 (12) Through its counsel, the Texas Department of 17 Transportation responded and advised Goss Building Incorporated 18 that the contract was awarded under Chapter 223, Transportation 19 Code, so Chapter 2260, Government Code, did not apply and directed 20 Goss to 43 T.A.C. Section 9.2 for the department's dispute 21 resolution procedure;

July 2003, the Texas Department 22 (13)In of Transportation claimed that since Goss Building Incorporated did 23 24 not enter into the contract, the department's rules for resolving 25 contract disputes under Title 43 of the Texas Administrative Code 26 did not apply; and

27

(14) Goss Building Incorporated's attempts to resolve

H.C.R. No. 224 1 this matter through informal and administrative procedures have 2 been unsuccessful; now, therefore, be it

3 RESOLVED by the Legislature of the State of Texas, That Goss 4 Building Incorporated is granted permission to sue the State of 5 Texas and the Texas Department of Transportation subject to Chapter 6 107, Civil Practice and Remedies Code; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That the attorney general and the Office of General
Counsel of the Texas Department of Transportation be served process
as provided by Section 107.002(a)(3), Civil Practice and Remedies
Code.

3