By: Veasey H.C.R. No. 227

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Recognizing that crimes perpetrated against an individual because of bias or prejudice are particularly heinous, in 1993 the Texas Legislature enhanced the punishment for such

4 offenses and defined them as hate crimes; and

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

WHEREAS, As instances of hate crimes increased so too did the need for an appropriate law enforcement response; as a result, the 77th Texas Legislature enacted House Bill 587, popularly known as the James Byrd, Jr. Hate Crimes Act, to protect Texas citizens from being targets of hateful acts of violence simply because of their race, color, disability, religion, national origin or ancestry, age, gender, or sexual preference; and

WHEREAS, Devised to improve the investigation and prosecution of hate crimes, augment the protections and remedies available to the victims of such crimes, and expand the reporting of the crimes, the James Byrd, Jr. Hate Crimes Act has been in effect a sufficient length of time to warrant an assessment of its effectiveness; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the 80th Legislature of the State of Texas hereby request that the lieutenant governor and the speaker of the house of representatives establish a joint interim committee to study the implementation and effectiveness of the James Byrd, Jr.

22 Hate Crimes Act, as amended; and, be it further

23 RESOLVED, That the joint interim committee:

(1) conduct the study so that it identifies successful

H.C.R. No. 227

- 1 strategies for prosecuting crimes of bias or prejudice and any
- 2 barriers or obstacles to use of the hate crimes law;
- 3 (2) examine the characteristics of crimes reported as
- 4 crimes of bias or prejudice and categorize each crime by type,
- 5 severity, year of commission, any protected class status of the
- 6 victim and the alleged perpetrator, and any other factor that would
- 7 assist in improving the effectiveness of the hate crimes law;
- 8 (3) for each crime identified under Subdivision (2),
- 9 examine:
- 10 (A) whether an affirmative finding under the hate
- 11 crimes law was requested and obtained;
- 12 (B) whether a protective order was requested and
- 13 obtained;
- 14 (C) whether other charges related to the incident
- 15 were filed;
- 16 (D) whether other convictions were obtained; and
- 17 (E) if no affirmative finding was requested,
- 18 whether the alleged perpetrator was ever identified, whether there
- 19 was any basis found for the affirmative finding or other charge, and
- 20 whether a victim declined to participate in the investigation;
- 21 (4) survey county, district, and criminal district
- 22 attorneys in whose jurisdictions complaints of crimes of bias or
- 23 prejudice have been made and determine:
- 24 (A) the characteristics of crimes leading to
- 25 requests for affirmative findings under the hate crimes law;
- 26 (B) whether there are barriers or disincentives
- 27 discouraging requests for affirmative findings; and

H.C.R. No. 227

- 1 (C) whether the availability of additional
- 2 resources would lead to a greater number of requests for
- 3 affirmative findings; and
- 4 (5) interview a representative sample of victims of
- 5 crimes recorded as crimes of bias or prejudice and examine their
- 6 experiences with the hate crimes prosecution process to determine
- 7 problems, burdens, or disincentives to the prosecution of hate
- 8 crimes; and, be it further
- 9 RESOLVED, That the joint interim committee, in reference to
- 10 training for prosecuting attorneys on punishment enhancement
- 11 because of bias or prejudice, examine:
- 12 (1) the amount of money appropriated for prosecutorial
- 13 training;
- 14 (2) the number of training sessions provided and the
- 15 number requested; and
- 16 (3) the number of attendees of the training sessions;
- 17 and, be it further
- 18 RESOLVED, That the joint interim committee, in reference to
- 19 extraordinary costs of prosecution, examine:
- 20 (1) the amount of money appropriated;
- 21 (2) the number of requests made for money and the
- 22 amounts requested; and
- 23 (3) the total amount of money distributed; and, be it
- 24 further
- 25 RESOLVED, That the joint interim committee, in reference to
- 26 community education on hate crime law, examine:
- 27 (1) the amount of money appropriated for community and

- 1 public curricula;
- 2 (2) the results of any curriculum feedback model;
- 3 (3) the number of requests for a curriculum;
- 4 (4) when a curriculum was developed; and
- 5 (5) the amount of any actual instruction under a
- 6 curriculum; and, be it further
- 7 RESOLVED, That the joint interim committee compare data
- 8 regarding crimes of bias or prejudice under state law, federal law,
- 9 and the law of other states, including:
- 10 (1) reporting requirements;
- 11 (2) the number of complaints made;
- 12 (3) the number of prosecutions;
- 13 (4) money available for prosecutions;
- 14 (5) money available for education; and
- 15 (6) other models for prosecution of, or education
- about, crimes of bias or prejudice; and, be it further
- 17 RESOLVED, That the committee's proceedings and operations be
- 18 governed by such general rules and policies for joint interim
- 19 committees as the 80th Legislature may adopt and that such rules and
- 20 policies supersede the provisions of this resolution to the extent
- of any conflict; and, be it further
- 22 RESOLVED, That the committee submit a full report on the data
- 23 collected in accordance with this resolution to the Texas
- Legislature not later than January 1, 2009.