By: Zaffirini S.B. No. 834

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

1 AN ACT

- 2 relating to requirements for monitoring compliance of public school
- 3 bilingual education and special language programs.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
- 5 SECTION 1. Section 29.062, Education Code, is amended by
- 6 amending Subsections (a) and (b) and adding Subsections (b-1),
- 7 (b-2), and (b-3) to read as follows:
- 8 (a) The legislature recognizes that compliance with this
- 9 subchapter is an imperative public necessity. Therefore, in
- 10 accordance with the policy of the state, the agency shall evaluate
- 11 the effectiveness of programs under this subchapter based on
- 12 <u>performance on the applicable</u> academic excellence indicators
- 13 adopted under Section 39.051(a), including the results of
- 14 assessment instruments, the results of the risk analysis described
- by Subsection (b-1), and the results of an inspection under this
- 16 subsection or Subsection (b-2). The agency shall monitor
- 17 compliance with all applicable state laws and rules relating to
- 18 programs under this subchapter by conducting an on-site monitoring
- 19 <u>inspection of each school district and open-enrollment charter</u>
- 20 <u>school at least once every five years.</u> The agency may combine
- 21 <u>inspections</u> [evaluations] under this section with <u>an inspection of</u>
- 22 a district's special education program under Section 29.010 and an
- 23 evaluation of federal accountability measures concerning students
- of limited English proficiency. The agency shall produce a report

of its findings relating to any inspection and risk analysis conducted under this section.

- 3 (b) The areas to be monitored shall include:
- 4 (1) program content and design;
- 5 (2) program coverage;
- 6 (3) identification procedures;
- 7 (4) classification procedures;
- 8 (5) staffing;

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 9 (6) learning materials;
- 10 (7) testing materials;
- 11 (8) reclassification of students for either entry into 12 regular classes conducted exclusively in English or reentry into a
- 13 bilingual education or special education program; [and]
- 14 (9) activities of the language proficiency assessment
 15 committees; and
- 16 <u>(10) program evaluation methods</u>.
 - risk analysis of school district and open-enrollment charter school compliance with all state laws and rules applicable to programs under this subchapter. The agency shall develop a system, including standards of review, for the analysis or may use a system currently available to the agency. The system must enable the commissioner to analyze performance on the academic indicators adopted under Section 39.051(a), applicablity of indicators described by this subsection, and the results of monitoring areas described by Subsection (b). The commissioner shall conduct the risk analysis at the district and campus level to identify any

- 1 district or campus that is at high risk for failing compliance and
- 2 that requires an on-site monitoring inspection of bilingual
- 3 <u>education or special language programs. In determining whether a</u>
- 4 district or campus is at high risk for failing compliance, the
- 5 commissioner shall consider:
- 6 (1) excessive parental complaints of noncompliance or
- 7 parental complaints that are substantial in nature;
- 8 (2) frequent district or campus requests for a waiver
- 9 exemption, or exception from program requirements;
- 10 (3) parental appeals at a rate greater than twice the
- 11 state average of the placement of a student in a program; and
- 12 (4) a variance of greater than 20 percent between the
- 13 percentage of students of limited English proficiency identified by
- 14 the district and the percentage of persons who speak a language
- other than English at home according to the statistics of the most
- 16 recent federal decennial census in the county in which a majority of
- 17 the district's students reside.
- 18 (b-2) If a risk analysis under Subsection (b-1) indicates
- 19 that a district or campus is at high risk for failing compliance,
- 20 the district or campus is entitled to an opportunity to respond to
- 21 the <u>commissioner's determination before an on-site monitoring</u>
- 22 <u>inspection is initiated</u>. A response of the district or campus must
- 23 be submitted to the commissioner not later than the 30th day after
- 24 the date the commissioner notifies the district or campus of the
- 25 <u>commissioner's determination</u>. If the response of the district or
- 26 campus does not affect the commissioner's determination or if the
- 27 district or campus does not respond within the period prescribed by

- 1 this subsection, the commissioner shall order agency staff to
- 2 conduct an on-site monitoring inspection of the district or campus.
- 3 (b-3) In addition to evaluating the areas under Subsection
- 4 (b) and considering factors specified by Subsection (b-1), an
- 5 on-site monitoring inspection process conducted under Subsection
- 6 (a) o<u>r (b-2) must include:</u>
- 7 (1) reviewing each bilingual education and special
- 8 language program performance indicator adopted by the agency as
- 9 required by law;
- 10 (2) evaluating campus-based measures of program
- 11 achievement; and
- 12 (3) conducting a parent roundtable discussion or
- 13 similar process to involve parents of students enrolled in a
- 14 program.
- SECTION 2. This Act applies beginning with the 2007-2008
- 16 school year.
- 17 SECTION 3. This Act takes effect immediately if it receives
- a vote of two-thirds of all the members elected to each house, as
- 19 provided by Section 39, Article III, Texas Constitution. If this
- 20 Act does not receive the vote necessary for immediate effect, this
- 21 Act takes effect September 1, 2007.