LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE, 80TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

February 26, 2007

TO: Honorable Phil King, Chair, House Committee on Regulated Industries

FROM: John S. O'Brien, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1189 by King, Phil (Relating to competition and customer choice in the retail electric market; providing an administrative penalty.), **As Introduced**

Estimated Two-year Net Impact to General Revenue Related Funds for HB1189, As Introduced: a negative impact of (\$252,821) through the biennium ending August 31, 2009.

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

General Revenue-Related Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Net Positive/(Negative) Impact to General Revenue Related Funds	
2008	(\$187,778)	
2009	(\$187,778) (\$65,043) (\$65,043)	
2010	(\$65,043)	
2011	(\$65,043) (\$65,043)	
2012	(\$65,043)	

All Funds, Five-Year Impact:

	Fiscal Year	Probable (Cost) from GENERAL REVENUE FUND 1	Change in Number of State Employees from FY 2007
	2008	(\$187,778)	2.6
1	2009	(\$65,043)	0.8
1	2010	(\$65,043)	0.8
	2011	(\$65,043)	0.8
	2012	(\$65,043)	0.8

Fiscal Analysis

The bill would amend the Utilities code relating to competition and customer choice in the retail electric market. The bill would require an electric utility that is affiliated with certain retail electric providers (REP) or power generation companies to submit unbundling plans to the Public Utility Commission (PUC) and prohibit an REP from implying it can provide more reliable services or provide preferential treatment in the restoration of service following an outage, which may result in an administrative penalty being assessed by the PUC if violated. This bill would impose a charge on certain REPs as incentive to compete for residential customers and require affiliated retail electric providers (AREP) to release certain customer information to competitive REPs. The bill would authorize the PUC to conduct a review of the retail electric market and take appropriate action based on the study's findings and authorize the PUC to require AREPs to provide certain cost saving information to customers.

The bill would take effect on September 1, 2007.

Methodology

It is estimated that the Public Utility Commission would have a cost associated with continued projects related to the retail clawback, customer balloting and transfer, determination and reasonableness of retail prices, review of REP and TDU inserts, and customer protection. Based on the analysis of the PUC, it is assumed that the implementation of rules and projects relating to the competition and customer choice in the retail electric market would necessitate additional resources and expertise at a cost of up to \$252,821 through 2009.

The PUC also estimates costs for staff of \$140,269 in FY08 for 2.6 FTEs and \$48,518 in FY08 through FY12. Other operating expenses are estimated at \$7,855 in FY08 and \$2,717 in FY08 through FY12. These amounts include \$39,654 in FY08 and \$13,716 in FY08 through FY12 for associated benefits.

Local Government Impact

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 473 Public Utility Commission of Texas, 475 Office of Public Utility Counsel

LBB Staff: JOB, JRO, MW, TGl