

LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD
Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE, 80TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION
Revision 1

April 27, 2007

TO: Honorable Bill Callegari, Chair, House Committee on Government Reform

FROM: John S. O'Brien, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1613 by Gattis (Relating to the delivery of prescription drugs for certain state health plans by mail order; providing an administrative penalty.), **Committee Report 1st House, Substituted**

Estimated Two-year Net Impact to General Revenue Related Funds for HB1613, Committee Report 1st House, Substituted: a positive impact of \$10,190,154 through the biennium ending August 31, 2009.

General Revenue-Related Funds, Five-Year Impact:

| Fiscal Year | Probable Net Positive/(Negative) Impact to General Revenue Related Funds |
|-------------|--|
| 2008 | \$0 |
| 2009 | \$10,190,154 |
| 2010 | \$11,197,320 |
| 2011 | \$12,322,977 |
| 2012 | \$13,507,878 |

All Funds, Five-Year Impact:

| Fiscal Year | Probable Savings from <i>GENERAL REVENUE</i> <i>FUND</i> 1 | Probable Savings from <i>GR DEDICATED</i> <i>ACCOUNTS</i> 994 | Probable Savings from <i>OTHER SPECIAL</i> <i>STATE FUNDS</i> 998 | Probable Savings from <i>STATE HIGHWAY</i> <i>FUND</i> 6 |
|-------------|---|--|--|---|
| 2008 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2009 | \$10,190,154 | \$531,423 | \$53,366 | \$2,368,469 |
| 2010 | \$11,197,320 | \$583,947 | \$58,640 | \$2,602,562 |
| 2011 | \$12,322,977 | \$642,651 | \$64,535 | \$2,864,195 |
| 2012 | \$13,507,878 | \$704,444 | \$70,741 | \$3,139,599 |

| Fiscal Year | Probable Savings from <i>FEDERAL FUNDS</i> 555 |
|-------------|---|
| 2008 | \$0 |
| 2009 | \$2,252,308 |
| 2010 | \$2,474,920 |
| 2011 | \$2,723,722 |
| 2012 | \$2,985,618 |

Fiscal Analysis

The bill would amend the Insurance Code to prohibit a health benefit plan administered by the Employees Retirement System (ERS) or Teacher Retirement System (TRS) from discriminating between mail order and retail pharmacies. The bill would require Pharmacy Benefit Managers (PBMs) with which state entities contract to pass 100 percent of drug rebates through to the contracting entity and to report to the contracting entity the actual cost of all drugs purchased and additional information related to rebates. The bill would require the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) to investigate any complaints received regarding conduct regulated by the bill.

The bill would take effect September 1, 2007, and would only apply to a health benefit plan that is delivered, issued for delivery, or renewed on or after January 1, 2008.

Methodology

This analysis assumes that the bill would result in the terms and conditions applied to retail pharmacies being restructured to align with the terms and conditions in place for mail order pharmacies.

Under these assumptions, it is assumed the health plan administered by ERS would realize savings in the amounts reflected in the tables above. However, ERS indicates that this may result in a significant reduction in the retail pharmacy network because of the lowered reimbursement rate and may diminish ERS' purchasing power with all mail vendors. ERS assumes that any additional savings received could result in higher state contributions and member contributions.

Under these assumptions, it is assumed that TRS would realize savings of \$14 million in fiscal year 2008 and \$17 million in fiscal year 2009 in the TRS-Care plan, and savings of \$14 million in fiscal year 2008 and \$15 million in fiscal year 2009 in the TRS-ActiveCare plan. However, TRS indicates that mail order volume has a direct relationship with current favorable contract terms for the plans and if mail order volume were to drop significantly, the plans may incur additional administrative costs and less favorable discount rates and rebates. TRS assumes that any additional savings received as a result of increased rebates paid by PBMs would be offset by increases in administrative costs and less favorable discount rates.

Based on the analysis the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI), it is assumed that there would be a one-time revenue gain of \$900 in the General Revenue Dedicated Account Fund 36 in fiscal year 2008 because the bill would result in 9 filings, each accompanied by a \$100 filing fee. Since General Revenue Dedicated Account Fund 36 is a self-leveling account, this analysis assumes all revenue generated would go toward fund balances or the maintenance tax would be set to recover a lower level of revenue the following year. It is also assumed that any costs realized by TDI from implementing the provisions of the bill could be absorbed within existing resources.

Local Government Impact

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 323 Teacher Retirement System, 327 Employees Retirement System, 454 Department of Insurance

LBB Staff: JOB, JW, JSc, MN, MW, SK