LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE, 80TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

April 16, 2007

TO: Honorable Harvey Hilderbran, Chair, House Committee on Culture, Recreation, & Tourism

FROM: John S. O'Brien, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB3482 by Kolkhorst (Relating to a museum and visitor's center at Stephen F. Austin State Park.), **As Introduced**

Estimated Two-year Net Impact to General Revenue Related Funds for HB3482, As Introduced: a negative impact of (\$120,000) through the biennium ending August 31, 2009.

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

General Revenue-Related Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Net Positive/(Negative) Impact to General Revenue Related Funds
2008	(\$80,000)
2009	(\$80,000) (\$40,000)
2010	\$0
2011	\$0
2012	\$0

All Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable (Cost) from GENERAL REVENUE FUND 1
2008	(\$80,000) (\$40,000)
2009	(\$40,000)
2010	\$0
2011	\$0
2012	\$0

Fiscal Analysis

The bill would direct the Texas Historical Commission (THC) and the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) to develop a plan, including architectural design and a budget, for a historical museum and visitor center located at a historically significant location in Stephen F. Austin State Park. The report is due to the Governor, Lieutenant Governor and Speaker of the House of Representatives not later than December 1, 2008. The bill would take immediate effect if the bill receives two-thirds the vote of all members; otherwise, the bill would take effect September 1, 2007.

Methodology

According to THC, the bill would cost the agency \$120,000 to contract a report on the agency's behalf that would include architectural drawings and a budget for a new museum and visitor center in

Stephen F. Austin State Park due no later than December 1, 2008.

According to TPWD, the bill would cost the agency \$29,251 in fiscal year 2008 to develop a detailed plan, including costs for labor, travel, postage, development of presentations and renderings, advertising for public hearings, and other miscellaneous costs within bill deadlines. This estimate assumes the costs to TPWD for implementation of the bill could be absorbed within TPWD's existing resources.

Local Government Impact

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 802 Parks and Wildlife Department, 808 Historical Commission

LBB Staff: JOB, WK, ZS, SMi, TB