### **SENATE AMENDMENTS**

### 2<sup>nd</sup> Printing

Ву:

et al.

Gattis, Anchia, Naishtat, Delisi, Rose, H.B. No. 1355

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
AN ACT
relating to dog attacks on persons; creating an offense.
BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
SECTION 1. This Act may be cited as "Lillian's Law" in
memory of Mrs. Lillian Stiles. This Act is also dedicated to the
memory of Mrs. Fannie Pearl Pharms, Ms. Cheryl Marie Floyd, and all
other victims of unprovoked dog attacks.
SECTION 2. The heading to Subchapter A, Chapter 822, Health
and Safety Code, is amended to read as follows:
SUBCHAPTER A. GENERAL PROVISIONS; DOGS THAT ATTACK PERSONS OR
ARE A DANGER TO PERSONS
SECTION 3. Section 822.001, Health and Safety Code, is
amended by adding Subdivisions (3) and (4) to read as follows:
(3) "Dangerous dog," "dog," "owner," and "secure
enclosure" have the meanings assigned by Section 822.041.
(4) "Secure" means to take steps that a reasonable
person would take to ensure a dog remains on the owner's property,
including confining the dog in an enclosure that is capable of
preventing the escape or release of the dog.
SECTION 4. Subchapter A, Chapter 822, Health and Safety
Code, is amended by adding Section 822.0011 to read as follows:
Sec. 822.0011. APPLICATION TO CERTAIN PROPERTY. For
purposes of this subchapter, a person's property includes property

the person is entitled to possess or occupy under a lease or other

- 1 agreement.
- 2 SECTION 5. Section 822.005, Health and Safety Code, is
- 3 amended to read as follows:
- 4 Sec. 822.005. ATTACK BY DOG. (a) A person commits an
- offense if the person is the owner of a dog and the person:
- 6 (1) with criminal negligence fails to secure the dog
- 7 and the dog makes an unprovoked attack on another person that occurs
- 8 at a location other than the owner's property and that causes
- 9 serious bodily injury or death to the other person; or
- 10 (2) knows the dog is a dangerous dog and the dangerous
- 11 dog makes an unprovoked attack on another person that occurs at a
- 12 location other than a secure enclosure in which the dog is
- 13 restrained in accordance with Subchapter D and that causes serious
- 14 bodily injury or death to the other person.
- 15 (b) An offense under this section is a felony of the third
- degree unless the attack causes death, in which event the offense is
- 17 a felony of the second degree.
- (c) If a person is found guilty of an offense under this
- 19 section, the court may order the dog destroyed by a person listed in
- 20 Section 822.004.
- 21 (d) For purposes of this section, an owner knows a dog is a
- 22 dangerous dog when the owner learns as described by Section
- 822.042(g) the owner is the owner of a dangerous dog.
- 24 (e) A person who is subject to prosecution under this
- 25 section and another law may be prosecuted under either or both this
- 26 section and the other law. [PROVOCATION OR LOCATION OF ATTACK
- 27 IRRELEVANT. Except as provided by Section 822.003(f), this

H.B. No. 1355

- 1 subchapter applies to any dog that causes a person's death or
- 2 serious bodily injury by attacking, biting, or mauling the person,
- 3 regardless of whether the dog was provoked and regardless of where
- 4 the incident resulting in the person's death or serious bodily
- 5 <u>injury occurred.</u>]
- 6 SECTION 6. Subchapter A, Chapter 822, Health and Safety
- 7 Code, is amended by adding Sections 822.006 and 822.007 to read as
- 8 follows:
- 9 Sec. 822.006. DEFENSES. (a) It is a defense to prosecution
- 10 under Section 822.005(a) that the person is a veterinarian, a
- 11 veterinary clinic employee, a peace officer, a person employed by a
- 12 recognized animal shelter, or a person employed by this state or a
- 13 political subdivision of this state to deal with stray animals and
- 14 has temporary ownership, custody, or control of the dog in
- 15 connection with that position.
- (b) It is a defense to prosecution under Section 822.005(a)
- 17 that the person is an employee of the Texas Department of Criminal
- 18 Justice or a law enforcement agency and trains or uses dogs for law
- 19 enforcement or corrections purposes and is training or using the
- 20 dog in connection with the person's official capacity.
- (c) It is a defense to prosecution under Section 822.005(a)
- 22 that the person is a dog trainer or an employee of a guard dog
- 23 company under Chapter 1702, Occupations Code, and has temporary
- ownership, custody, or control of the dog in connection with that
- 25 position.
- 26 (d) It is a defense to prosecution under Section 822.005(a)
- that the person is disabled and uses the dog to provide assistance,

- 1 the dog is trained to provide assistance to a person with a
- disability, and the person is using the dog to provide assistance in
- 3 connection with the person's disability.
- 4 (e) It is a defense to prosecution under Section 822.005(a)
- 5 that the person attacked by the dog was at the time of the attack
- 6 engaged in conduct prohibited by Chapters 19, 20, 21, 22, 28, 29,
- 7 and 30, Penal Code.
- 8 Sec. 822.007. LOCAL REGULATION OF DOGS. This subchapter
- 9 does not prohibit a municipality or county from adopting leash or
- 10 registration requirements applicable to dogs.
- SECTION 7. Sections 822.044(b) and (c), Health and Safety
- 12 Code, are amended to read as follows:
- 13 (b) An offense under this section is a Class C misdemeanor [7]
- 14 unless the attack causes serious bodily injury or death, in which
- 15 event the offense is a Class A misdemeanor].
- 16 (c) If a person is found guilty of an offense under this
- section, the court may order the dangerous dog destroyed by a person
- 18 listed in Section 822.004 [822.003].
- 19 SECTION 8. Section 822.044(d), Health and Safety Code, is
- 20 repealed.
- 21 SECTION 9. (a) The change in law made by this Act applies
- 22 only to an offense committed on or after the effective date of this
- 23 Act. For purposes of this section, an offense is committed before
- 24 the effective date of this Act if any element of the offense occurs
- 25 before that date.
- 26 (b) An offense committed before the effective date of this
- 27 Act is covered by the law in effect when the offense was committed,

H.B. No. 1355

- and the former law is continued in effect for that purpose.
- 2 SECTION 10. This Act takes effect September 1, 2007.

MAY 1 7 2007

Latary Space Secretary of the Senate

By: Shapleigh	<b>H</b> .B. No.	1355
Substitute the following for #L.B. No. 1355:	IL.	1255
By: alla	с.ѕ.Д.в. №.	1755

### A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

1	AN ACT
2	relating to dog attacks on persons; creating an offense.
3	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
4	SECTION 1. This Act may be cited as "Lillian's Law" in
5	memory of Mrs. Lillian Stiles. This Act is also dedicated to the
6	memory of Mrs. Fannie Pearl Pharms, Ms. Cheryl Marie Floyd, and all
7	other victims of unprovoked dog attacks.
8	SECTION 2. The heading to Subchapter A, Chapter 822, Health
9	and Safety Code, is amended to read as follows:
10	SUBCHAPTER A. GENERAL PROVISIONS; DOGS THAT ATTACK PERSONS OR
11	ARE A DANGER TO PERSONS
12	SECTION 3. Section 822.001, Health and Safety Code, is
13	amended by adding Subdivisions (3) and (4) to read as follows:
14	(3) "Dangerous dog," "dog," "owner," and "secure
15	enclosure" have the meanings assigned by Section 822.041.
16	(4) "Secure" means to take steps that a reasonable
17	person would take to ensure a dog remains on the owner's property,
18	including confining the dog in an enclosure that is capable of
19	preventing the escape or release of the dog.
20	SECTION 4. Subchapter A, Chapter 822, Health and Safety
21	Code, is amended by adding Section 822.0011 to read as follows:
22	Sec. 822.0011. APPLICATION TO CERTAIN PROPERTY. For
23	purposes of this subchapter, a person's property includes property
24	the person is entitled to possess or occupy under a lease or other

agreement.

1

7

8

9

14

16

17

20

26

2 SECTION 5. Section 822.005, Health and Safety Code, is

3 amended to read as follows:

4 Sec. 822.005. ATTACK BY DOG. (a) A person commits an

offense if the person is the owner of a dog and the person:

(1) with criminal negligence, as defined by Section

6.03, Penal Code, fails to secure the dog and the dog makes an

unprovoked attack on another person that occurs at a location other

than the owner's real property or in or on the owner's motor vehicle

or boat and that causes serious bodily injury, as defined by Section

11 1.07, Penal Code, or death to the other person; or

(2) knows the dog is a dangerous dog by learning in a

manner described by Section 822.042(g) that the person is the owner

of a dangerous dog, and the dangerous dog makes an unprovoked attack

on another person that occurs at a location other than a secure

enclosure in which the dog is restrained in accordance with

Subchapter D and that causes serious bodily injury, as defined by

18 Section 822.001, or death to the other person.

(b) An offense under this section is a felony of the third

degree unless the attack causes death, in which event the offense is

21 a felony of the second degree.

(c) If a person is found guilty of an offense under this

23 section, the court may order the dog destroyed by a person listed in

24 <u>Section 822.004.</u>

25 (d) A person who is subject to prosecution under this

section and under any other law may be prosecuted under this

27 section, the other law, or both. [PROVOCATION OR LOCATION OF ATTACK

IRRELEVANT. Except as provided by Section 822.003(f), this 1 subchapter applies to any dog that causes a person's death or 2 serious bodily injury by attacking, biting, or mauling the person, 3 regardless of whether the dog was provoked and regardless of where 4 the incident resulting in the person's death or serious bodily 5 injury occurred. 6 SECTION 6. Subchapter A, Chapter 822, Health and Safety 7 Code, is amended by adding Sections 822.006 and 822.007 to read as 8 follows: 9 Sec. 822.006. DEFENSES. (a) It is a defense to prosecution 10

under Section 822.005(a) that the person is a veterinarian, a
veterinary clinic employee, a peace officer, a person employed by a
recognized animal shelter, or a person employed by this state or a
political subdivision of this state to deal with stray animals and
has temporary ownership, custody, or control of the dog in
connection with that position.

(b) It is a defense to prosecution under Section 822.005(a) that the person is an employee of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice or a law enforcement agency and trains or uses dogs for law enforcement or corrections purposes and is training or using the dog in connection with the person's official capacity.

(c) It is a defense to prosecution under Section 822.005(a) that the person is a dog trainer or an employee of a guard dog company under Chapter 1702, Occupations Code, and has temporary ownership, custody, or control of the dog in connection with that position.

(d) It is a defense to prosecution under Section 822.005(a)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- that the person is disabled and uses the dog to provide assistance,
- 2 the dog is trained to provide assistance to a person with a
- disability, and the person is using the dog to provide assistance in
- 4 connection with the person's disability.
- (e) It is a defense to prosecution under Section 822.005(a)
- 6 that the person attacked by the dog was at the time of the attack
- 7 engaged in conduct prohibited by Chapters 19, 20, 21, 22, 28, 29,
- 8 and 30, Penal Code.
- 9 (f) It is an affirmative defense to prosecution under
- 10 Section 822.005(a) that, at the time of the conduct charged, the
- 11 person and the dog are participating in an organized search and
- 12 rescue effort at the request of law enforcement.
- Sec. 822.007. LOCAL REGULATION OF DOGS. This subchapter
- 14 does not prohibit a municipality or county from adopting leash or
- 15 registration requirements applicable to dogs.
- SECTION 7. Sections 822.044(b) and (c), Health and Safety
- 17 Code, are amended to read as follows:
- 18 (b) An offense under this section is a Class C misdemeanor[7
- 19 unless the attack causes serious bodily injury or death, in which
- 20 event the offense is a Class A misdemeaner].
- (c) If a person is found guilty of an offense under this
- section, the court may order the dangerous dog destroyed by a person
- 23 listed in Section 822.004 [822.003].
- SECTION 8. Section 822.044(d), Health and Safety Code, is
- 25 repealed.
- SECTION 9. (a) The change in law made by this Act applies
- 27 only to an offense committed on or after the effective date of this

- 1 Act. For purposes of this section, an offense is committed before
- 2 the effective date of this Act if any element of the offense occurs
- 3 before that date.
- 4 (b) An offense committed before the effective date of this
- 5 Act is covered by the law in effect when the offense was committed,
- 6 and the former law is continued in effect for that purpose.
- 7 SECTION 10. This Act takes effect September 1, 2007.

MAY 1 7 2007

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO.

9

kennel club.

They (Shapleigh)

Amend C.S.H.B. No. 1355 (Senate Committee Printing) in 1 2 SECTION 6 of the bill, following proposed Subsection (f), Section 822.006, Health and Safety Code (page 2, between lines 35 and 36), 3 4 by inserting the following appropriately lettered subsection: 5 ( ) It is an affirmative defense to prosecution under 6 Section 822.005(a) that, at the time of the conduct charged, the person and the dog are participating in an organized dog show or 7 8 event sponsored by a nationally recognized or state-recognized

MAY 1 7 2007

Latay Daw Secretary of the Senate

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_

8

BY:

Amend C.S.H.B. No. 1355 (Senate Committee Printing) in

SECTION 6 of the bill, following proposed Subsection (f), Section

822.006, Health and Safety Code (page 2, between lines 35 and 36),

by inserting the following appropriately lettered subsection:

( ) It is an affirmative defense to prosecution under

Section 822.005(a) that, at the time of the conduct charged, the

person and the dog are engaged in:

- (1) a lawful hunting activity; or
- 9 (2) a farming or ranching activity, including herding
- 10 livestock, typically performed by a working dog on a farm or ranch.

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO.

MAY 1 7 2007

BY:

Craig Estes

- Amend C.S.H.B. No. 1355 (Senate Committee Printing) in SECTION 6 of the bill, following proposed Subsection (f),
- 3 Section 822.006, Health and Safety Code (page 2, between lines
- 4 35 and 36), by inserting the following appropriately lettered
- 5 subsection:
- 6 () It is a defense to prosecution under Section
- 7 822.005(a) that, at the time of the conduct charged, the
- 8 person's dog was on a leash and the person:
- 9 (1) was in immediate control of the dog; or
- 10 (2) if the person was not in control of the dog, the
- 11 person was making immediate and reasonable attempts to regain
- 12 <u>control of the dog.</u>

### FISCAL NOTE, 80TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

### May 10, 2007

TO: Honorable John Whitmire, Chair, Senate Committee on Criminal Justice

FROM: John S. O'Brien, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1355 by Gattis (Relating to dog attacks on persons; creating an offense.), Committee

Report 2nd House, Substituted

### No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Health and Safety Code as it relates to dog attacks on persons. Under the provisions of the bill, a dog attack that results in serious bodily injury would be punishable as a felony of the third degree and a dog attack that results in death would be punishable as a felony of the second degree. A dog attack that results in bodily injury would remain punishable as a Class C misdemeanor. The bill would provide defenses to prosecution. The bill would also repeal Section 822.044 (d), Health and Safety Code.

The bill would take effect September 1, 2007 and apply only to an offense committed on or after the effective date.

In fiscal year 2005, one person was placed on misdemeanor community supervision for a dog attack that resulted in serious bodily injury/death. It is assumed the number of persons convicted under this statute would not result in a significant impact on the programs and workload of state corrections agencies or on the demand for resources and services of those agencies.

### **Local Government Impact**

No significant fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 696 Department of Criminal Justice

LBB Staff: JOB, ES, TM, DB, GG, LM

### FISCAL NOTE, 80TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

#### May 7, 2007

TO: Honorable John Whitmire, Chair, Senate Committee on Criminal Justice

FROM: John S. O'Brien, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1355 by Gattis (Relating to dog attacks on persons; creating an offense.), As Engrossed

### No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Health and Safety Code as it relates to dog attacks on persons. Under the provisions of the bill, a dog attack that results in serious bodily injury would be punishable as a felony of the third degree and a dog attack that results in death would be punishable as a felony of the second degree. A dog attack that results in bodily injury would remain punishable as a Class C misdemeanor. The bill would provide defenses to prosecution. The bill would also repeal Section 822.044 (d), Health and Safety Code.

The bill would take effect September 1, 2007 and apply only to an offense committed on or after the effective date.

In fiscal year 2005, one person was placed on misdemeanor community supervision for a dog attack that resulted in serious bodily injury/death. It is assumed the number of persons convicted under this statute would not result in a significant impact on the programs and workload of state corrections agencies or on the demand for resources and services of those agencies.

### **Local Government Impact**

No significant fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 696 Department of Criminal Justice

LBB Staff: JOB, ES, TM, DB, GG, LM

#### FISCAL NOTE, 80TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

### **April 3, 2007**

TO: Honorable Wayne Smith, Chair, House Committee on County Affairs

FROM: John S. O'Brien, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1355 by Gattis (Relating to dog attacks on persons; creating an offense.), As Introduced

### No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Health and Safety Code as it relates to dog attacks on persons. Under the provisions of the bill, a dog attack that results in serious bodily injury would be punishable as a felony of the third degree and a dog attack that results in death would be punishable as a felony of the second degree. A dog attack that results in bodily injury would remain punishable as a Class C misdemeanor. The bill would also repeal Section 822.044 (d), Health and Safety Code.

The bill would take effect September 1, 2007 and apply only to an offense committed on or after the effective date.

In fiscal year 2005, one person was placed on misdemeanor community supervision for a dog attack that resulted in serious bodily injury/death. It is assumed the number of persons convicted under this statute would not result in a significant impact on the programs and workload of state corrections agencies or on the demand for resources and services of those agencies.

### **Local Government Impact**

No significant fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 696 Department of Criminal Justice

LBB Staff: JOB, DB, GG, LM

#### CRIMINAL JUSTICE IMPACT STATEMENT

#### 80TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 10, 2007

TO: Honorable John Whitmire, Chair, Senate Committee on Criminal Justice

FROM: John S. O'Brien, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1355 by Gattis (Relating to dog attacks on persons; creating an offense.), Committee Report 2nd House, Substituted

This bill would amend the Health and Safety Code as it relates to dog attacks on persons. Under the provisions of this bill, a dog attack which results in serious bodily injury would be punishable as a felony of the third degree and a dog attack which results in death would be punishable as a felony of the second degree. A dog attack that results in bodily injury would remain punishable as a Class C Misdemeanor. The bill would also repeal Section 822.044 (d), Health and Safety Code.

A Class C Misdemeanor is punishable by a fine not to exceed \$500.

A felony of the third degree is punishable by imprisonment in the institutional division for any term of not more than 10 years or less than 2 years, or, in addition to confinement, a fine not to exceed \$10,000.

A felony of the second degree is punishable by imprisonment in the institutional division for any term of not more than 20 years or less than 2 years, or, in addition to confinement, a fine not to exceed \$10,000.

The impact of this bill on correctional populations would depend on how many persons would be prosecuted under the provision of this bill. For this analysis it is assumed the number of offenders convicted under this statute would not result in a significant impact on the programs and workload of state corrections agencies or on the demand for resources and services of those agencies.

**Source Agencies:** 

LBB Staff: JOB, GG, LM

#### CRIMINAL JUSTICE IMPACT STATEMENT

#### 80TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 4, 2007

TO: Honorable John Whitmire, Chair, Senate Committee on Criminal Justice

FROM: John S. O'Brien, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1355 by Gattis (Relating to dog attacks on persons; creating an offense.), As Engrossed

This bill would amend the Health and Safety Code as it relates to dog attacks on persons. Under the provisions of this bill, a dog attack which results in serious bodily injury would be punishable as a felony of the third degree and a dog attack which results in death would be punishable as a felony of the second degree. A dog attack that results in bodily injury would remain punishable as a Class C Misdemeanor. The bill would also repeal Section 822.044 (d), Health and Safety Code.

A Class C Misdemeanor is punishable by a fine not to exceed \$500.

A felony of the third degree is punishable by imprisonment in the institutional division for any term of not more than 10 years or less than 2 years, or, in addition to confinement, a fine not to exceed \$10,000.

A felony of the second degree is punishable by imprisonment in the institutional division for any term of not more than 20 years or less than 2 years, or, in addition to confinement, a fine not to exceed \$10,000.

The impact of this bill on correctional populations would depend on how many persons would be prosecuted under the provision of this bill. For this analysis it is assumed the number of offenders convicted under this statute would not result in a significant impact on the programs and workload of state corrections agencies or on the demand for resources and services of those agencies.

**Source Agencies:** 

LBB Staff: JOB, GG, LM

### CRIMINAL JUSTICE IMPACT STATEMENT

### 80TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

**April 3, 2007** 

TO: Honorable Wayne Smith, Chair, House Committee on County Affairs

FROM: John S. O'Brien, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1355 by Gattis (Relating to dog attacks on persons; creating an offense.), As Introduced

This bill would amend the Health and Safety Code as it relates to dog attacks on persons. Under the provisions of this bill, a dog attack which results in serious bodily injury would be punishable as a felony of the third degree and a dog attack which results in death would be punishable as a felony of the second degree. A dog attack that results in bodily injury would remain punishable as a Class C Misdemeanor. The bill would also repeal Section 822.044 (d), Health and Safety Code.

A Class C Misdemeanor is punishable by a fine not to exceed \$500.

A felony of the third degree is punishable by imprisonment in the institutional division for any term of not more than 10 years or less than 2 years, or, in addition to confinement, a fine not to exceed \$10,000.

A felony of the second degree is punishable by imprisonment in the institutional division for any term of not more than 20 years or less than 2 years, or, in addition to confinement, a fine not to exceed \$10,000.

The impact of this bill on correctional populations would depend on how many persons would be prosecuted under the provision of this bill. For this analysis it is assumed that the number of offenders convicted under this statute would not result in a significant impact on the programs and workload of state corrections agencies or on the demand for resources and services of those agencies.

Source Agencies: LBB Staff: JOB, LM