By: Veasey, Thompson, Anchia, Vaught, et al. H.B. No. 616 Substitute the following for H.B. No. 616: By: Gallego C.S.H.B. No. 616

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

1	AN ACT
2	relating to a study regarding the effectiveness of the James Byrd
3	Jr. Hate Crimes Act.
4	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
5	SECTION 1. Chapter 2, Code of Criminal Procedure, is
6	amended by adding Article 2.212 to read as follows:
7	Art. 2.212. STUDY OF EFFECTIVENESS OF JAMES BYRD JR. HATE
8	CRIMES ACT. (a) The attorney general shall conduct a study to
9	examine the success of Chapter 85 (H.B. 587), Acts of the 77th
10	Legislature, Regular Session, 2001, and subsequent amendments to
11	that chapter.
12	(b) To accumulate data for purposes of Subsection (a), the
13	attorney general shall:
14	(1) examine the characteristics of crimes reported as
15	crimes of bias or prejudice and categorize each crime by:
16	(A) type;
17	(B) severity;
18	(C) year of commission;
19	(D) any protected class status of the victim;
20	(E) any protected class status of the alleged
21	perpetrator; and
22	(F) any other factor that would assist in
23	improving the effectiveness of the hate crimes law;
24	(2) for each crime identified under Subdivision (1),

1

1 examine: 2 (A) whether an affirmative finding under the hate 3 crimes law was requested and obtained; 4 (B) whether a protective order was requested and 5 obtained; 6 (C) whether other charges related to the incident 7 were filed; 8 (D) whether any charging instrument for the crime included an enhancement of punishment under Section 12.47, Penal 9 Code, based on an affirmative finding under the hate crimes law; 10 (E) whether other convictions were obtained; and 11 12 (F) if no affirmative finding under the hate 13 crimes law was requested: 14 (i) whether the alleged perpetrator was 15 ever identified; 16 (ii) whether there was any basis found for 17 the affirmative finding or other charge; and (iii) whether a victim declined 18 to 19 participate in the investigation; 20 (3) interview the attorneys representing the state in 21 the prosecution of a representative sample of crimes identified under Subdivision (1) to determine whether plea negotiations were 22 influenced by the possibility of an enhancement of punishment under 23 24 Section 12.47, Penal Code, based on an affirmative finding under the hate crimes law; 25 26 (4) interview a representative sample of victims of crimes recorded as crimes of bias or prejudice and examine their 27

C.S.H.B. No. 616

1	experiences with the hate crimes prosecution process to determine
2	problems, burdens, or disincentives to the prosecution of hate
3	crimes;
4	(5) for Section 22.111, Government Code, examine:
5	(A) the amount of money appropriated for
6	prosecutorial training;
7	(B) how many training sessions were provided;
8	(C) how many training sessions were requested;
9	and
10	(D) the number of attendees of any training
11	sessions provided;
12	(6) for Article 104.004 of this code, examine:
13	(A) the amount of money appropriated;
14	(B) the number of requests made for money and the
15	amounts requested; and
16	(C) the total amount of money distributed;
17	(7) for Section 29.905, Education Code, examine:
18	(A) the amount of money appropriated for
19	community and public curricula;
20	(B) the results of any curriculum feedback model;
21	(C) the number of requests for a curriculum;
22	(D) when a curriculum was developed; and
23	(E) the amount of any actual instruction under a
24	curriculum; and
25	(c) Not later than January 1, 2011, the attorney general
26	shall deliver a report to each house of the legislature on the data
27	collected under Subsection (b).

C.S.H.B. No. 616

C.S.H.B. No. 616

1 (d) This article expires February 1, 2011.

2 SECTION 2. This Act takes effect immediately if it receives 3 a vote of two-thirds of all the members elected to each house, as 4 provided by Section 39, Article III, Texas Constitution. If this 5 Act does not receive the vote necessary for immediate effect, this 6 Act takes effect September 1, 2009.