By: Gallego H.B. No. 4466

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

| 1 | AN ACT |
|----|--|
| 2 | relating to the applicability of the death penalty to a capital |
| 3 | offense committed by a person with mental retardation. |
| 4 | BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS: |
| 5 | SECTION 1. Part I, Code of Criminal Procedure, is amended by |
| 6 | adding Chapter 46D to read as follows: |
| 7 | CHAPTER . CAPITAL CASE: EFFECT OF MENTAL RETARDATION |
| 8 | Art. 46D.01. DEFINITION. In this chapter, "mental |
| 9 | retardation" has the meaning assigned by Section 591.003, Health |
| 10 | and Safety Code. |

- Art. 46D.02. RESTRICTION ON DEATH PENALTY. Notwithstanding

 Section 19.03, Penal Code, a defendant convicted of a capital

 offense who is determined under this chapter to be a person with
- 14 mental retardation may not be sentenced to death.
- Art. 46D.03. INTENT TO RAISE MENTAL RETARDATION AS ISSUE. A
 defendant in a capital case may request the submission of a special
 issue under Section 2(e)(2), Article 37.071, only if the defendant
 files a notice of intent to request the submission with the court
 and the attorney representing the state not later than the 30th day
- 20 <u>before the date the trial commences.</u>

 21 <u>Art. 46D.04. HEARING. (a) If a jury in a capital case</u>

 22 <u>returns an affirmative finding on each issue submitted under</u>

 23 <u>Section 2(b), Article 37.071, and a negative finding under Section</u>

 24 <u>2(e)(2), Article 37.071, the defendant immediately after the jury</u>

- 1 returns findings under Article 37.071 may file a petition for a
- 2 hearing described by Subsection (c).
- 3 (b) On receipt of a petition under Subsection (a), the court
- 4 shall appoint two disinterested experts experienced and qualified
- 5 in the field of diagnosing mental retardation to examine the
- 6 defendant and determine whether the defendant is a person with
- 7 mental retardation. The court shall order the defendant to submit
- 8 to an examination by experts appointed under this article.
- 9 (c) After the examination of the defendant by the experts
- 10 appointed under this article, the court in a hearing shall consider
- 11 the findings of those experts and the findings of other experts, if
- 12 any, offered by the attorney representing the state or the
- 13 defendant.
- 14 (d)(1) If after considering all findings offered under
- 15 Subsection (c) the court finds by a preponderance of the evidence
- 16 that the defendant is a person with mental retardation, the court
- 17 shall sentence the defendant to imprisonment as provided by Section
- 18 2(j), Article 37.071.
- 19 (2) If the court finds by a preponderance of the
- 20 evidence that the defendant is not a person with mental
- 21 retardation, the court shall sentence the defendant to death as
- 22 provided by Section 2(g), Article 37.071.
- 23 (3) If the court is unable to make a finding under
- 24 Subdivision (1) and is also unable to make a finding under
- 25 Subdivision (2), the court shall sentence the defendant to
- 26 imprisonment as provided by Section 2(j), Article 37.071.
- 27 Art. 46D.05. APPEAL. (a) The defendant and the state are

- 1 entitled to appeal a finding of a court described by Article
- 2 46D.04(d).
- 3 (b) The court of criminal appeals shall adopt rules as
- 4 necessary for the administration of the appeals process established
- 5 by this article.
- 6 (c) An appeal under this article is a direct appeal to the
- 7 court of criminal appeals, and the court of criminal appeals, as
- 8 provided by court rule, shall give priority to the review of an
- 9 appeal under this article over other cases before the court.
- SECTION 2. Section 2(a)(1), Article 37.071, Code of
- 11 Criminal Procedure, is amended to read as follows:
- 12 (a)(1) If a defendant is tried for a capital offense in
- 13 which the state seeks the death penalty, on a finding that the
- 14 defendant is guilty of a capital offense, the court shall conduct a
- 15 separate sentencing proceeding to determine whether the defendant
- 16 shall be sentenced to death or life imprisonment. The proceeding
- 17 shall be conducted in the trial court and, except as provided by
- 18 Article 44.29(c) [of this code], before the trial jury as soon as
- 19 practicable. In the proceeding, evidence may be presented by the
- 20 state and the defendant or the defendant's counsel as to any matter
- 21 that the court deems relevant to sentence, including evidence of
- 22 the defendant's background or character or the circumstances of the
- 23 offense that mitigates against the imposition of the death penalty
- 24 and including evidence as to whether the defendant is a person with
- 25 <u>mental retardation</u>. This subdivision shall not be construed to
- 26 authorize the introduction of any evidence secured in violation of
- 27 the Constitution of the United States or of the State of Texas. The

- 1 state and the defendant or the defendant's counsel shall be
- 2 permitted to present argument for or against sentence of death. The
- 3 court, the attorney representing the state, the defendant, or the
- 4 defendant's counsel may not inform a juror or a prospective juror of
- 5 the effect of a failure of a jury to agree on issues submitted under
- 6 Subsection $\underline{\text{(b)}}$ [$\underline{\text{(c)}}$] or (e) [$\underline{\text{of this article}}$].
- 7 SECTION 3. Section 2(e), Article 37.071, Code of Criminal
- 8 Procedure, is amended to read as follows:
- 9 (e)(1) The court shall instruct the jury that if the jury
- 10 returns an affirmative finding to each issue submitted under
- 11 Subsection (b) [of this article], it shall answer the following
- 12 issue:
- Whether, taking into consideration all of the evidence,
- 14 including the circumstances of the offense, the defendant's
- 15 character and background, and the personal moral culpability of the
- 16 defendant, there is a sufficient mitigating circumstance or
- 17 circumstances to warrant that a sentence of life imprisonment
- 18 rather than a death sentence be imposed.
- 19 (2) <u>If raised by the evidence</u>, the court, on the
- 20 written request of the attorney representing the defendant, shall
- 21 instruct the jury that if the jury returns an affirmative finding to
- 22 <u>each issue submitted under Subsection (b)</u>, the jury shall answer
- 23 <u>the following issue:</u>
- Whether the defendant is a person with mental retardation.
- 25 <u>(3)</u> The court, on the written request of the attorney
- 26 representing the defendant, shall:
- 27 (A) instruct the jury that if the jury answers

H.B. No. 4466

- 1 that a circumstance or circumstances warrant that a sentence of
- 2 life imprisonment rather than a death sentence be imposed or
- 3 answers that the defendant is a person with mental retardation, the
- 4 court will sentence the defendant to imprisonment in the
- 5 institutional division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice
- 6 for life; and
- 7 (B) charge the jury in writing as follows:
- 8 "Under the law applicable in this case, if the defendant is
- 9 sentenced to imprisonment in the institutional division of the
- 10 Texas Department of Criminal Justice for life, the defendant will
- 11 become eligible for release on parole, but not until the actual time
- 12 served by the defendant equals 40 years, without consideration of
- 13 any good conduct time. It cannot accurately be predicted how the
- 14 parole laws might be applied to this defendant if the defendant is
- 15 sentenced to a term of imprisonment for life because the
- 16 application of those laws will depend on decisions made by prison
- 17 and parole authorities, but eligibility for parole does not
- 18 guarantee that parole will be granted."
- 19 SECTION 4. Section 2(f), Article 37.071, Code of Criminal
- 20 Procedure, is amended to read as follows:
- 21 (f) The court shall charge the jury that in answering <u>an</u>
- 22 [the] issue submitted under Subsection (e) [of this article], the
- 23 jury:
- 24 (1) shall answer the issue "yes" or "no";
- 25 (2) may not answer the issue "no" unless it agrees
- 26 unanimously and may not answer the issue "yes" unless 10 or more
- 27 jurors agree;

- 1 (3) need not agree on what particular evidence
- 2 supports an affirmative finding on the issue; and
- 3 (4) <u>in respect to the issue submitted under Subsection</u>
- 4 (e)(1), shall consider mitigating evidence to be evidence that a
- 5 juror might regard as reducing the defendant's moral
- 6 blameworthiness.
- 7 SECTION 5. Section 2, Article 37.071, Code of Criminal
- 8 Procedure, is amended by amending Subsection (g) and adding
- 9 Subsection (j) to read as follows:
- 10 (g) If the jury returns an affirmative finding on each issue
- 11 submitted under Subsection (b) [of this article] and a negative
- 12 finding on each [an] issue submitted under Subsection (e) [of this
- 13 article], except as provided by Subsection (j) the court shall
- 14 sentence the defendant to death. If the jury returns a negative
- 15 finding on any issue submitted under Subsection (b) [of this
- 16 $\frac{\text{article}}{\text{article}}$ or an affirmative finding on $\frac{\text{any}}{\text{an}}$ [and issue submitted]
- 17 under Subsection (e) [of this article] or is unable to answer any
- 18 issue submitted under Subsection (b) or (e) [of this article], the
- 19 court shall sentence the defendant to imprisonment [confinement] in
- 20 the institutional division of the Texas Department of Criminal
- 21 Justice for life.
- 22 <u>(j) The court shall sentence the defendant to imprisonment</u>
- 23 <u>in the institutional division of the Texas Department of Criminal</u>
- 24 Justice for life, if as provided by Article 46D.04 the court:
- 25 (1) finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the
- 26 defendant is a person with mental retardation; or
- 27 (2) is unable to find by a preponderance of the

H.B. No. 4466

- 1 evidence that the defendant is a person with mental retardation and
- 2 is also unable to find by a preponderance of the evidence that the
- 3 <u>defendant is not a person with mental retardation.</u>
- 4 SECTION 6. The change in law made by this Act applies only
- 5 to a capital case that commences on or after the effective date of
- 6 this Act. A capital case that commences before the effective date
- 7 of this Act is covered by the law in effect when the case commenced,
- 8 and the former law is continued in effect for that purpose.
- 9 SECTION 7. This Act takes effect September 1, 2009.