By: Isett

H.C.R. No. 64

## CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

1 WHEREAS, The Employee Free Choice Act, a legislative priority 2 of labor organizations, would bring drastic change to the American 3 workplace, distorting the employer-employee relationship in 4 fundamental ways; and

5 WHEREAS, Currently, most workers join unions through secret ballot elections; under the National Labor Relations Act, a union 6 7 seeking to represent employees must show the National Labor Relations Board that there is sufficient interest at a workplace, 8 9 typically by collecting employee signatures or signed authorization cards from 50 to 75 percent of the workforce; the NLRB 10 11 holds a secret ballot election after a period in which both the 12 union and the employer may state their cases; rules forbid employers to threaten, intimidate, or make promises to employees to 13 14 encourage votes against the union, although unions do not have 15 similar constraints; and

WHEREAS, The EFCA would force employers to recognize a union 16 17 without an election; the union would merely need to submit authorization cards from more than 50 percent of employees to the 18 NLRB in order to gain certification; these cards would be signed 19 publicly, exposing reluctant workers to pressure tactics and 20 21 harassment from organizers, who are paid to recruit dues-paying members, while employers would be prevented from informing workers 22 23 of negative aspects of unionization; and

24

WHEREAS, Moreover, the EFCA would have a profound negative

1

## H.C.R. No. 64

1 impact on the bargaining process; under the act, if a company and a newly certified union failed to reach agreement on an initial 2 3 contract after 90 days, they would have only an additional 30 days to reach an agreement with the assistance of the Federal Mediation 4 5 and Conciliation Service; after 120 days of unsuccessful negotiation, the issue would be submitted to binding arbitration 6 and a government arbitrator would write a contract effective for 7 8 the next two years; and

9 WHEREAS, The arbitrator would have little experience with the 10 company or knowledge of its business practices, yet would dictate all wages and working conditions; neither the company nor its 11 12 employees would be able to appeal the ruling of an arbitrator, who would not be held accountable for mistakes; an ill-advised ruling 13 14 could compromise a company's financial health, possibly sending it 15 into bankruptcy, or deprive workers of a wage increase they might have gained through collective bargaining; binding arbitration 16 17 decisions can also take an inordinate amount of time, and uncertainty over future business costs would likely cause a company 18 19 to reduce investment until the contract is handed down; furthermore, the bureaucratic approach of binding arbitration 20 21 stifles firms with innovative business models; and

22 WHEREAS, The Employee Free Choice Act is surely a misnomer; 23 arguably the most far-reaching change in labor law in 70 years, it 24 strips employees of their privacy and their rights, exposing them 25 to intimidation and constraining their ability to bargain with 26 their employers; at the same time, it would have dire economic 27 consequences, resulting in slower growth and fewer jobs; now,

2

H.C.R. No. 64

1 therefore, be it

2 RESOLVED, That the 81st Legislature of the State of Texas 3 hereby respectfully urge the Congress of the United States to 4 oppose any efforts to adopt the Employee Free Choice Act or any 5 similar legislation; and, be it further

6 RESOLVED, That the Texas secretary of state forward official 7 copies of this resolution to the president of the United States, to 8 the speaker of the house of representatives and the president of the 9 senate of the United States Congress, and to all the members of the 10 Texas delegation to the congress with the request that this 11 resolution be officially entered in the Congressional Record as a 12 memorial to the Congress of the United States of America.