By: Wentworth S.C.R. No. 72

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

- 1 WHEREAS, Gulf Energy Exploration Corp. alleges that:
- 2 (1) Gulf Energy Exploration Corp. acquired four
- 3 inactive "orphaned" wellbores in Sherman Field, Offshore Calhoun
- 4 County, Texas, that are strategically located to enhance the
- 5 capture of reserves that would otherwise be uneconomical to
- 6 recover;
- 7 (2) One of these orphaned wellbores is designated
- 8 "State Tract 708-S No. 5";
- 9 (3) Gulf Energy Exploration Corp. intends to rework
- 10 the orphaned wellbores it owns in Sherman Field and tie them back in
- 11 to the Sherman pipeline system;
- 12 (4) In a conference on May 19, 2008, representatives
- 13 from Gulf Energy Exploration Corp., the Railroad Commission of
- 14 Texas, the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas,
- 15 American Coastal Energy, Inc., and bankruptcy counsel for American
- 16 Coastal Energy, Inc., reached a tentative settlement and
- 17 forbearance agreement, pending approval of the commission,
- 18 attorney general, and bankruptcy court;
- 19 (5) On or about May 25, 2008, the Railroad Commission
- 20 of Texas wrongfully plugged and abandoned State Tract 708-S No. 5,
- 21 using Superior Energy Services, Inc., as the plugging contractor;
- 22 (6) By June 9, 2008, all parties to the agreement of
- 23 May 19, 2008, had signed the settlement and forbearance agreement;
- 24 (7) On June 27, 2008, Gulf Energy Exploration Corp.

- 1 paid a deposit of \$400,000 and filed Certificates of Compliance and
- 2 Transportation Authority with the Railroad Commission of Texas;
- 3 (8) On June 30, 2008, the Railroad Commission of Texas
- 4 held a hearing and legal examination, and J.W. "Bill" Rhea IV, who
- 5 was at the time the president and chief executive officer of Gulf
- 6 Energy Exploration Corp., testified on the merit and benefit of
- 7 Gulf Energy Exploration Corp.'s Certificates of Compliance and
- 8 Transportation Authority;
- 9 (9) In a memorandum dated August 11, 2008, requested
- 10 by the Railroad Commission of Texas following the hearing on June
- 11 30, 2009, Gulf Energy Exploration Corp. submitted a brief
- 12 discussion and proposed operation of each of its orphaned wells in
- 13 Sherman Field, including State Tract 708-S No. 5, and its reserve
- 14 potential;
- 15 (10) On September 9, 2008, the Railroad Commission of
- 16 Texas approved Gulf Energy Exploration Corp.'s Certificates of
- 17 Compliance and Transportation Authority and rescinded its previous
- 18 plugging orders;
- 19 (11) On November 10, 2008, Superior Energy Service,
- 20 Inc., provided a wellbore diagram in support of the workover or
- 21 recompletion procedure to be performed by Gulf Energy Exploration
- 22 Corp. on State Tract 708-S No. 5;
- 23 (12) Under the State of Texas Oil and Gas Lease
- 24 #M-107592, the amount of royalties Gulf Energy Exploration Corp.
- 25 would have to pay to the State of Texas would increase if production
- 26 in paying quantities was not established by February 6, 2009;
- 27 (13) On December 30, 2008, Gulf Energy Exploration

- S.C.R. No. 72
- 1 Corp. commenced operations on State Tract 708-S No. 5 in
- 2 anticipation of completing workover operations and placing the well
- 3 in production ahead of the February 6, 2009, deadline only to
- 4 discover that State Tract 708-S No. 5 had been wrongfully plugged;
- 5 (14) One week later, on January 9, 2009,
- 6 representatives of Gulf Energy Exploration Corp. and the Railroad
- 7 Commission of Texas met to discuss the wrongful plugging of State
- 8 Tract 708-S No. 5;
- 9 (15) On January 14, 2009, representatives of Gulf
- 10 Energy Exploration Corp. and the Railroad Commission of Texas met
- 11 again, and the commission admitted to wrongfully plugging State
- 12 Tract 708-S No. 5;
- 13 (16) To date, Gulf Energy Exploration Corp. has
- 14 invested, spent, or committed to spend \$2,810,000, in addition to
- 15 the \$400,000 deposit paid to the Railroad Commission of Texas, in
- 16 support of workover, recompletion, pipeline, and facilities
- 17 repairs to the Sherman Field area gathering system and two of its
- 18 other orphaned wells;
- 19 (17) Gulf Energy Exploration Corp. has calculated the
- 20 known cost to unplug and drill out State Tract 708-S No. 5 to 2,000
- 21 feet to be at least \$1,596,650;
- 22 (18) Due to the manner in which State Tract 708-S No. 5
- 23 was plugged, a very real risk exists that substantial, unforeseen
- 24 problems may emerge in unplugging and drilling out the well and the
- 25 amount of contingency to use in calculating total costs cannot be
- 26 estimated with any degree of certainty;
- 27 (19) Given the degree of uncertainty in calculating

- 1 the contingency, Gulf Energy Exploration Corp. has used a range of
- 2 15 to 50 percent of the known costs, estimating the total cost to
- 3 unplug and drill out State Tract 708-S No. 5 to 2,000 feet to be
- 4 anywhere from \$1,836,200 to \$2,395,000; however, Gulf Energy
- 5 Exploration Corp. also recognizes, based on experience, that any
- 6 cost overrun could exceed the amount of known costs by 100 percent
- 7 or more and could result in the abandonment of the well;
- 8 (20) Alternatively, Gulf Energy Exploration Corp. has
- 9 received from Applied Drilling Technology, Inc., a viable, turnkey
- 10 proposal to redrill the well to 3,250 feet for known costs amounting
- 11 to \$2,075,000 plus nominally estimated additional costs for setting
- 12 and cementing production casing, mobilization and demobilization,
- 13 a structural link to the existing caisson and structure, the
- 14 expected use of the wellhead, tubing, packer, and supplies, and a
- 15 prefabricated flowline bridge connection, which brings the
- 16 estimated total cost to redrill State Tract 708-S No. 5 to 3,250
- 17 feet to \$2,426,000;
- 18 (21) Taking advantage of a third-party, turnkey
- 19 operation like Applied Drilling Technology, Inc.'s proposal
- 20 transfers the risk and responsibility of unforeseen problems and
- 21 expenses to the third party, making it a risk-free alternative;
- 22 (22) A risk-free option does not exist for the
- 23 Railroad Commission of Texas to reenter the abandoned well and
- 24 return the wellbore to useable condition for Gulf Energy
- 25 Exploration Corp., and a very real risk exists that the time and
- 26 money spent attempting a reentry would very likely exceed the cost
- 27 of a turnkey operation;

S.C.R. No. 72

- 1 (23) Gulf Energy Exploration Corp. respectfully
- 2 requests that the Railroad Commission of Texas provide the funds
- 3 necessary to proceed with a risk-free alternative like the proposal
- 4 submitted by Applied Drilling Technology, Inc.;
- 5 (24) Gulf Energy Exploration Corp. also requests a
- 6 timely response from the Railroad Commission of Texas in providing
- 7 these funds considering the corporation's lost opportunity in the
- 8 well due to the wrongful plugging of State Tract 708-S No. 5; now,
- 9 therefore, be it
- 10 RESOLVED by the Legislature of the State of Texas, That Gulf
- 11 Energy Exploration Corp. is granted permission to sue the State of
- 12 Texas and the Railroad Commission of Texas subject to Chapter 107,
- 13 Civil Practice and Remedies Code; and, be it further
- RESOLVED, That the total of all damages awarded in the suit
- 15 authorized by this resolution, including any court costs,
- 16 attorney's fees, and prejudgment interest awarded under the law,
- 17 may not exceed \$2,500,000, and that Gulf Energy Exploration Corp.
- 18 may not plead an amount in excess of that amount in any suit
- 19 authorized by this resolution; and, be it further
- 20 RESOLVED, That the chairman of the Railroad Commission of
- 21 Texas and the attorney general be served process as provided by
- 22 Section 107.002(a)(3), Civil Practice and Remedies Code.