# **LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas**

# FISCAL NOTE, 81ST LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION Revision 1

May 13, 2009

TO: Honorable Joe Deshotel, Chair, House Committee on Business & Industry

FROM: John S. O'Brien, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: SB394 by Lucio (Relating to the appointment of an attorney for a workers' compensation claimant in certain proceedings initiated by a workers' compensation insurance carrier.), As Engrossed

**Estimated Two-year Net Impact to General Revenue Related Funds** for SB394, As Engrossed: an impact of \$0 through the biennium ending August 31, 2011.

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

#### **General Revenue-Related Funds, Five-Year Impact:**

Fiscal Year	Probable Net Positive/(Negative) Impact to General Revenue Related Funds	
2010	\$0	
2011	\$0	
2012	\$0	
2013	\$0	
2014	\$0	

### All Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Savings from Subsequent Injury Fund 5101	Probable (Cost) from Subsequent Injury Fund 5101	Probable (Cost) from Interagency Contracts 777
2010	\$647,500	(\$1,007,000)	(\$317,906)
2011	\$647,500	(\$1,007,000)	(\$317,906)
2012	\$647,500	(\$1,007,000)	(\$317,906)
2013	\$647,500	(\$1,007,000)	(\$317,906)
2014	\$647,500	(\$1,007,000)	(\$317,906)

#### **Fiscal Analysis**

The bill would amend the Labor Code to allow the appointment of an attorney for a workers' compensation claimant in certain judicial review proceedings initiated by a workers' compensation insurance carrier. The bill would make the Subsequent Injury Fund liable for the appointed attorney's reasonable and necessary fees on any issue on which the insurance carrier prevails. The bill would make the insurance carrier liable for the appointed attorney's fees on any issue on which the claimant prevails.

The bill would take effect on September 1, 2009.

#### Methodology

Based on the analysis provided by the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI), it is estimated that carriers will initiate approximately 140 judicial appeals involving claimants annually and carriers prevail in 50 percent of these cases. It is estimated that the average attorney fees are \$19,000 per case. The analysis is based on a court appointed attorney representing the claimant in 75 percent of the cases, resulting in an estimated annual cost to the Subsequent Injury Fund for attorney fees of \$1,007,000 annually.

TDI indicates that currently approximately 27 carrier-initiated appeals annually do not have a claimant attorney involved, and therefore resolve in favor of the carrier through a no evidence summary judgment. These cases result in the Subsequent Injury Fund reimbursing carriers approximately \$1,000,000 annually for carrier overpayment of benefits. TDI assumes that the bill would result in an attorney being appointed to represent the claimant in 75 percent of the cases and that attorney representation would reduce the number of no evidence summary judgments, which would consequently reduce the Subsequent Injury Fund reimbursements made for overpayment of benefits. It is anticipated that the claimant with attorney representation will prevail in about half of these cases, resulting in \$370,000 not being reimbursed to the carriers. Among the cases that would be resolved in favor of the carrier, TDI estimates that 75 percent would settle. Because the Subsequent Injury Fund does not reimburse carriers for overpayment of benefits in judicial appeals that resolve in favor of the claimant or that settle, an additional \$277,500 annually would not be reimbursed by the fund, resulting in a savings to the fund of approximately \$647,500 annually.

Based on the analysis provided by the State Office of Risk Management (SORM), implementation of the bill is anticipated to increase costs by \$317,906 in each fiscal year to SORM for the payment of attorney fees for court-appointed counsel. This analysis assumes that SORM will have 6.09375 cases with court appointed attorneys at \$52,169 average cost per case.

Based on the analysis provided by the University of Texas System Administration, implementation of the bill would have a cost, but the cost is anticipated to be absorbed within existing agency resources.

Based on the analysis provided by the Texas A&M University System, the bill would have no significant fiscal impact on the system.

#### **Technology**

No technology impact is anticipated.

## **Local Government Impact**

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

**Source Agencies:** 454 Department of Insurance, 304 Comptroller of Public Accounts, 479 State Office of

Risk Management, 710 Texas A&M University System Administrative and General

Offices, 720 The University of Texas System Administration

LBB Staff: JOB, CH, KJG, MW, JRO