By: DarbyH.B. No. 2032Substitute the following for H.B. No. 2032:Example 2000By: Harper-BrownC.S.H.B. No. 2032

## A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT

2 relating to performance and payment security for certain 3 comprehensive development agreements.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

5 SECTION 1. Section 223.205, Transportation Code, is amended 6 to read as follows:

Sec. 223.205. PERFORMANCE 7 AND PAYMENT SECURITY. (a) Notwithstanding Section 223.006 and the requirements of 8 9 Subchapter B, Chapter 2253, Government Code, the department shall 10 require a private entity entering into a comprehensive development agreement under this subchapter to provide a performance and 11 payment bond issued by a corporate surety authorized to issue bonds 12 in this state or an alternative form of security in an amount 13 14 sufficient to:

15 (1) ensure the proper performance of the agreement; 16 and

17

1

4

(2) protect:

18

(A) the department; and

(B) <u>security</u> [payment bond] beneficiaries who
 have a direct contractual relationship with the private entity or a
 subcontractor of the private entity to supply labor or material.

(b) <u>Except as provided by Subsection (c), the</u> [A
 performance and payment bond or alternative form of] security shall
 be in an amount equal to the cost of constructing or maintaining the

1 project.

2 (c) the contract amount exceeds \$250 million If in construction costs, and the department determines that it 3 is impracticable for a private entity to provide security in the 4 5 amount described by Subsection (b), the department may [shall] set the amount of the [bonds or the alternative forms of] security at or 6 above \$250 million, as determined by the department to be in the 7 8 best interest of this state.

9 (d) <u>The</u> [A payment or performance bond or alternative form 10 of] security is not required for <u>and may not cover</u> the portion of an 11 agreement that includes only design or planning services, the 12 performance of preliminary studies, or the acquisition of real 13 property.

14 (e) The amount of the payment security must not be less than15 the amount of the performance security.

16 (f) In addition to or instead of a performance and payment 17 bond, the department may require one or more of the following 18 alternative forms of security:

19 (1) a cashier's check drawn on a financial entity20 specified by the department;

21

(2) a United States bond or note; or

(3) an irrevocable bank letter of credit <u>from a United</u>
States domiciled bank acceptable to the department [<del>; or</del>

24 [(4) any other form of security determined suitable by 25 the department].

26 [(g) The department by rule shall prescribe requirements
27 for an alternative form of security provided under this section.]

SECTION 2. Section 366.404, Transportation Code, is amended
to read as follows:

Sec. 366.404. PERFORMANCE 3 AND PAYMENT SECURITY. Notwithstanding the requirements of Subchapter B, Chapter 4 (a) 5 2253, Government Code, an authority shall require a private entity entering into a comprehensive development agreement under this 6 subchapter to provide a performance and payment bond issued by a 7 8 corporate surety authorized to issue bonds in this state or an alternative form of security in an amount sufficient to: 9

10 (1) ensure the proper performance of the agreement; 11 and

12 (

13

(2) protect:

(A) the authority; and

14 (B) <u>security</u> [payment bond] beneficiaries who
15 have a direct contractual relationship with the private entity or a
16 subcontractor of the private entity to supply labor or material.

(b) Except as provided by Subsection (c), the [A performance and payment bond or alternative form of] security shall be in an amount equal to the cost of constructing or maintaining the project.

21 (c) the contract amount exceeds \$250 million in If construction costs, and the [an] authority determines that it is 22 23 impracticable for a private entity to provide security in the 24 amount described by Subsection (b), the authority may [shall] set 25 the amount of the [bonds or the alternative forms of] security at or 26 above \$250 million, as determined by the authority to be in the authority's best interest. 27

1 (d) <u>The</u> [A payment or performance bond or alternative form 2 of] security is not required for <u>and may not cover</u> the portion of an 3 agreement that includes only design or planning services, the 4 performance of preliminary studies, or the acquisition of real 5 property.

6 (e) The amount of the payment security must not be less than7 the amount of the performance security.

8 (f) In addition to, or instead of, performance and payment 9 bonds, an authority may require the following alternative forms of 10 security:

11 (1) a cashier's check drawn on a financial entity 12 specified by the authority;

13 (2) a United States bond or note; or

14 (3) an irrevocable bank letter of credit <u>from a United</u>
15 <u>States domiciled bank acceptable to the authority</u> [; or

16 [(4) any other form of security determined suitable by 17 the authority].

18 [(g) An authority by rule shall prescribe requirements for
 19 alternative forms of security provided under this section.]

20 SECTION 3. Section 370.308, Transportation Code, is amended 21 to read as follows:

Sec. 370.308. PERFORMANCE AND PAYMENT SECURITY. 22 Notwithstanding Section 223.006 and the requirements of 23 (a) 24 Subchapter B, Chapter 2253, Government Code, an authority shall require a private entity entering into a comprehensive development 25 agreement under Section 370.305 to provide a performance and 26 payment bond issued by a corporate surety authorized to issue bonds 27

1 <u>in this state</u> or an alternative form of security in an amount
2 sufficient to:

3 (1) ensure the proper performance of the agreement; 4 and

5 (2) protect:

6

(A) the authority; and

(B) <u>security</u> [payment bond] beneficiaries who
have a direct contractual relationship with the private entity or a
subcontractor of the private entity to supply labor or material.

10 (b) Except as provided by Subsection (c), the [A performance 11 and payment bond or alternative form of] security shall be in an 12 amount equal to the cost of constructing or maintaining the 13 project.

14 (C) If the contract amount exceeds \$250 million in 15 construction costs, and the [an] authority determines that it is impracticable for a private entity to provide security in the 16 17 amount described by Subsection (b), the authority may [shall] set the amount of the [bonds or the alternative forms of] security at or 18 above \$250 million, as determined by the authority to be in the 19 authority's best interest. 20

(d) <u>The</u> [A payment or performance bond or alternative form of] security is not required for <u>and may not cover</u> the portion of an agreement that includes only design or planning services, the performance of preliminary studies, or the acquisition of real property.

(e) The amount of the payment security must not be less thanthe amount of the performance security.

C.S.H.B. No. 2032 In addition to performance and payment bonds, an 1 (f) authority may require the following alternative forms of security: 2 3 (1)a cashier's check drawn on a financial entity 4 specified by the authority; 5 (2) a United States bond or note; or 6 (3) an irrevocable bank letter of credit from a United 7 States domiciled bank acceptable to the authority [; or

8 [(4) any other form of security determined suitable by
9 the authority].

10 [(g) An authority by rule shall prescribe requirements for 11 alternative forms of security provided under this section.]

12 SECTION 4. The changes in law made by this Act apply only to 13 a comprehensive development agreement for which a best value 14 proposer is selected on or after the effective date of this Act. A 15 comprehensive development agreement for which a best value proposer 16 was selected before that date is governed by the law as it existed 17 at the time the best value proposer was selected, and the former law 18 is continued in effect for that purpose.

19 SECTION 5. This Act takes effect September 1, 2011.