```
By: Solomons (Senate Sponsor - Fraser)

(In the Senate - Received from the House April 28, 2011;
May 3, 2011, read first time and referred to Committee on Natural
Resources: May 18, 2011, reported forward f
1-1
1-2
1-3
                                                                        Resources; May 18, 2011, reported favorably by the following vote: Yeas 8, Nays 0; May 18, 2011, sent to printer.)
 1-4
 1-5
```

1-6 1-7

1-8

1-9

1-10 1-11

1-12

1-13

1-14

1**-**15 1**-**16

1-17

1-18

1-19 1-20 1-21 1-22 1-23

1-24 1-25 1-26

1-27 1-28

1-29

1-30 1-31

1-32 1-33

1-34

1-35

1-36

1-37

1-38

1-39

1-40 1-41

1-42 1-43

1-44 1-45 1-46

1 - 47

1-48

1-49 1-50 1-51 1-52

1-53 1-54

1-55

1-56

1-57 1-58

1-59

1-60 1-61

1-62

1-63

1-64

## A BILL TO BE ENTITLED AN ACT

relating to the Public Utility Commission of Texas' authority to disgorge revenue obtained as a result of certain violations; providing an administrative penalty.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. The heading to Section 15.023, Utilities Code, is amended to read as follows:

Sec. 15.023. ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY, DISGORGEMENT ORDER, OR MITIGATION PLAN.

SECTION 2. Section 15.023, Utilities Code, is amended by adding Subsections (e), (f), and (g) to read as follows:

- (e) For a violation of Section 39.157, the commission shall, in addition to the assessment of a penalty, order disgorgement of all excess revenue resulting from the violation. For any other violation of the statutes, rules, or protocols relating to wholesale electric markets, the commission may, in addition to the assessment of a penalty, order disgorgement of all excess revenue
- resulting from the violation.

  (f) The commission and a person may develop and enter into voluntary mitigation plan relating to a violation of Section 39.157 or rules adopted by the commission under that section. If the commission and a person enter into a voluntary mitigation plan, adherence to the plan constitutes an absolute defense against an alleged violation with respect to activities covered by the plan.
  (g) In this subchapter, "excess revenue" means revenue

(g) In this subchapter, excess of revenue that would have occurred absent a violation.

SECTION 3. The heading to Section 15.024, Utilities Code, is amended to read as follows:

Sec. 15.024. ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY ASSESSMENT OR DISGORGEMENT ORDER PROCEDURE.

SECTION 4. Section 15.024(f), Utilities Code, is amended to read as follows:

(f) If the person requests a hearing or fails to timely respond to the notice, the executive director shall set a hearing and give notice of the hearing to the person. The parties to a proceeding under this subchapter shall be limited to the person and the commission, including the independent market monitor. The hearing shall be held by an administrative law judge of the State Office of Administrative Hearings. The administrative law judge shall make findings of fact and conclusions of law and promptly issue to the commission a proposal for a decision about the occurrence of the violation and the amount of a proposed penalty. Based on the findings of fact, conclusions of law, and proposal for a decision, the commission by order may find that a violation has occurred and impose a penalty or disgorgement order or may find that no violation occurred.

SECTION 5. Section 15.025, Utilities Code, is amended by adding Subsections (e) and (f) to read as follows:

Any excess revenue ordered disgorged under this section violation of the statutes, rules, or protocols relating to wholesale electric markets shall be returned to the affected wholesale electric market participants to be used to reduce costs or fees incurred by retail electric customers. The commission shall adopt rules to prescribe how revenue shall be returned to the affected wholesale electric market participants under this subsection.

(f) For purposes of this section and Section 15.026, a reference to a penalty shall be construed to include disgorgement.

H.B. No. 2133

SECTION 6. Sections 15.026(a) and (b), Utilities Code, are 2-1 amended to read as follows:

- Judicial review of a commission order imposing an (a) administrative penalty or disgorgement is:
- (1) instituted by filing a petition as provided by Subchapter G, Chapter 2001, Government Code; and
  (2) under the substantial evidence rule.
- If the court sustains the occurrence of the violation, the court may uphold or reduce the amount of the penalty or disgorgement and order the person to pay the full or reduced amount of the penalty or disgorgement. If the court does not sustain the occurrence of the violation, the court shall order that no penalty or disgorgement is owed.

SECTION 7. Section 39.157(a), Utilities Code, is amended to read as follows:

The commission shall monitor market power associated (a) generation, transmission, distribution, and sale of with the electricity in this state. On a finding that market power abuses or other violations of this section are occurring, the commission shall require reasonable mitigation of the market power by ordering the construction of additional transmission or distribution facilities, by seeking an injunction or civil penalties as necessary to eliminate or to remedy the market power abuse or violation as authorized by Chapter 15, by imposing an administrative penalty as authorized by Chapter 15, by ordering the disgorgement of excess revenue as authorized by Chapter 15, or by suspending, revoking, or amending a certificate or registration as authorized by Section 39.356. Section 15.024(c) does not apply to an administrative penalty imposed under this section. For purposes of this subchapter, market power abuses are practices by persons possessing market power that are unreasonably discriminatory or tend to unreasonably restrict, impair, or reduce the level of competition, including practices that tie unregulated products or services to regulated products or services or unreasonably discriminate in the provision of regulated services. For purposes of this section, "market power abuses" include predatory pricing, withholding of production, precluding entry, and collusion. A violation of the code of conduct provided by Subsection (d) that materially impairs the ability of a person to compete in a competitive market shall be deemed to be an abuse of market power. The possession of a high market share in a market open to competition may not, of itself, be deemed to be an abuse of market power; however, this sentence shall not affect the application of state and federal antitrust laws.

SECTION 8. The changes in law made by this Act apply only to a violation that occurs on or after the effective date of this Act. A violation that occurs before the effective date of this Act is covered by the law in effect at the time the violation occurred, and the former law is continued in effect for that purpose.

SECTION 9. This Act takes effect September 1, 2011.

\* \* \* \* \* 2-51

2-2 2-3

2-4 2**-**5 2**-**6

2-7 2-8

2-9

2**-**10 2**-**11

2-12

2-13

2-14 2**-**15 2**-**16

2-17

2-18 2-19 2**-**20 2**-**21

2-22

2-23 2-24 2**-**25 2**-**26 2-27 2-28

2-29 2**-**30 2**-**31

2-32

2-33

2-34 2-35 2-36

2-37 2-38

2-39 2-40 2-41 2-42 2-43

2-44

2-45

2-46

2-47

2-48 2-49 2-50