

**LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD**  
**Austin, Texas**

**FISCAL NOTE, 82ND LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION**

**April 13, 2011**

**TO:** Honorable Florence Shapiro, Chair, Senate Committee on Education

**FROM:** John S O'Brien, Director, Legislative Budget Board

**IN RE: SB536** by Davis (Relating to the use of certain disciplinary management practices or behavior management techniques by peace officers employed or commissioned by school districts.), **Committee Report 1st House, Substituted**

**No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.**

TEA estimates cost associated with reporting requirements. It is anticipated that any additional costs associated with implementation of the legislation could be absorbed within existing resources.

**Local Government Impact**

No significant fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

The TEA assumes that school districts likely already provide information on requirements under Section 37.0021 to affected school district staff and that including district-employed police officers among those who receive this information would not present any significant administrative costs.

School districts could incur costs to update their local software systems to comply with the additional reporting requirements related to use of restraint.

**Source Agencies:** 701 Central Education Agency

**LBB Staff:** JOB, LXH, JGM, RBI