LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE, 82ND LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 18, 2011

TO: Honorable David Dewhurst, Lieutenant Governor, Senate

FROM: John S O'Brien, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: SB1106 by Harris (Relating to the exchange of confidential information among certain governmental entities concerning certain juveniles.), **As Passed 2nd House**

No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Family Code, Education Code, and the Health and Safety code to require certain entities that provide services to multi-system youth, as defined by the bill, to share certain information and records. The bill defines the entities that would be required to share information as juvenile service providers and includes juvenile justice agencies, health and human service agencies, school districts, the Texas Education Agency, and local mental health authorities among others. The bill would allow juvenile service providers to enter into memorandums of understanding with each other in order to share information according to certain protocols and without violating federal law, including any federal funding requirements. The bill would require juvenile service providers to pay a fee to other juvenile service providers for the costs associated with disclosure of information, unless certain memorandums of understanding are agreed upon, the disclosing provider waives the fee, or the disclosure of the information is required by law elsewhere.

This analysis assumes any costs associated with implementation of the bill's provisions would be partially offset by the establishment of fees or memorandums of understanding for the provision of requested information. This analysis also assumes information currently shared among the applicable entities would continue in the same manner. The provisions of the bill may also result in an indeterminate amount of cost savings due to reduced inefficiencies and reduced duplicative services to multi-system youth.

Local Government Impact

This analysis assumes local entities such as county juvenile probation departments, independent school districts, and local mental health authorities would only request information under the provisions of the bill within their available funding if certain memorandums of understanding are not established. This analysis assumes any costs associated with sharing information would be partially offset by the establishment of fees or memorandums of understanding for the provision of requested information.

Source Agencies: 212 Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council, 405 Department of Public

Safety, 529 Health and Human Services Commission, 530 Family and Protective Services, Department of, 537 State Health Services, Department of, 538 Assistive and Rehabilitative Services, Department of, 539 Aging and Disability Services, Department of, 665 Juvenile Probation Commission, 694 Youth Commission, 701 Central Education

Agency

LBB Staff: JOB, SD, ESi, JT, MC, TB