SENATE AMENDMENTS

2nd Printing

By: Ritter H.B. No. 1732

	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
1	AN ACT
2	relating to the applicability of the constitutional limit on state
3	debt payable from the general revenues of the state to bonds issued
4	by the Texas Water Development Board.
5	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
6	SECTION 1. Section 17.003, Water Code, is amended by adding
7	Subsections (c), (d), and (e) to read as follows:
8	(c) In requesting approval for the issuance of bonds under
9	this chapter, the executive administrator shall certify to the bond
10	review board the debt service on the bonds that is reasonably
11	<pre>expected to be paid from:</pre>
12	(1) the general revenues of the state; and
13	(2) revenue sources other than the general revenues of
14	the state.
15	(d) The bond review board shall determine the portion of the
16	debt service on bonds to be issued by the board under this chapter
17	that is state debt payable from the general revenues of the state,
18	in accordance with the findings made by the board in the resolution
19	authorizing the issuance of the bonds and the certification
20	provided by the executive administrator under Subsection (c).
21	(e) Bonds issued under this chapter that are designed to be
22	paid from the general revenues of the state shall cease to be
23	considered bonds payable from those revenues if:
24	(1) the bonds are backed by insurance or another form

H.B. No. 1732

- 1 of guarantee that ensures payment from a source other than the
- 2 general revenues of the state; or
- 3 (2) the board demonstrates to the satisfaction of the
- 4 bond review board that the bonds no longer require payment from the
- 5 general revenues of the state and the bond review board so certifies
- 6 to the Legislative Budget Board.
- 7 SECTION 2. This Act takes effect September 1, 2011.

<u>H</u>.B. No. <u>1732</u>

Lete the following for H.B. No. 1732:

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

1 AN ACT

relating to the applicability of the constitutional limit on state 2

debt payable from the general revenues of the state to bonds issued 3

4 by the Texas Water Development Board.

5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

6 SECTION 1. Section 17.003, Water Code, is amended by adding

Subsections (c), (d), (e), and (f) to read as follows:

(c) Water financial assistance bonds that have been 8

authorized but have not been issued are not considered to be state

10 debt payable from the general revenue fund for purposes of Section

49-j, Article III, Texas Constitution, until the legislature makes 11

an appropriation from the general revenue fund to the board to pay

13 the debt service on the bonds.

14 (d) In requesting approval for the issuance of bonds under

this chapter, the executive administrator shall certify to the bond

review board whether the bonds are reasonably expected to be paid 16

17 from:

7

9

12

15

18

(1) the general revenues of the state; or

(2) revenue sources other than the general revenues of 19

20 the state.

21 (e) The bond review board shall verify whether debt service

22 on bonds to be issued by the board under this chapter is state debt

payable from the general revenues of the state, in accordance with 23

24 the findings made by the board in the resolution authorizing the

```
1
   issuance of the bonds and the certification provided by the
2
   executive administrator under Subsection (d).
3
         (f) Bonds issued under this chapter that are designed to be
   paid from the general revenues of the state shall cease to be
4
5
   considered bonds payable from those revenues if:
               (1) the bonds are backed by insurance or another form
6
7
   of guarantee that ensures payment from a source other than the
   general revenues of the state; or
8
9
               (2) the board demonstrates to the satisfaction of the
   bond review board that the bonds no longer require payment from the
10
   general revenues of the state and the bond review board so certifies
11
```

SECTION 2. This Act takes effect September 1, 2011.

to the Legislative Budget Board.

12

13

ADOPTE

MAY 2 3_B201

Secretary of the Senate

Amend H.B. 1732 (Senate Committee Report) by adding a new

2 SECTION ___ as follows:

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO.

3

4 SECTION ____. Section 15.9751, Water Code is amended as

5 follows:

6 Sec. 15.9751. PRIORITY FOR APPLICATIONS [WATER

7 CONSERVATION]. The board shall give priority to applications

8 for funds for the implementation of water supply projects in the

9 state water plan based on factors determined by the board,

10 including but not limited to recommended implementation date,

11 <u>historical need for water supply infrastructure investment, per</u>

12 capita water supply need, ability of the applicant to finance

13 the project, and whether an applicant [by entities that]:

14 (1) <u>has</u> [have] already demonstrated significant water

15 conservation savings; or

16 (2) will achieve significant water conservation savings by

17 implementing the proposed project for which the financial

18 assistance is sought.

ADOPTED

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO.

MAY 2 3 2011 BY:

Latary Saw

1 Amend House Bill 1732 by adding the following SECTIONS and

- 2 renumbering the subsequent SECTIONS accordingly:
- 3 SECTION ____. Section 15.975, Water Code, is amended by
- 4 adding Subsection (d) to read as follows:
- 5 (d) The board may not approve an application if the
- 6 applicant has failed to satisfactorily complete a request by the
- 7 executive administrator or a regional planning group for
- 8 information relevant to the project, including a water
- 9 infrastructure financing survey under Section 16.053(q).
- 10 SECTION ____. Section 15.912, Water Code, is amended to
- 11 read as follows:
- 12 Sec. 15.912. CONSIDERATIONS IN ACTING ON APPLICATION.
- 13 (a) In acting on an application for financial assistance, the
- 14 board shall consider:
- 15 (1) the needs of the area to be served by the project
- 16 and the benefit of the project to the area in relation to the
- 17 needs of other areas requiring state assistance in any manner
- 18 and the benefits of those projects to the other areas;
- 19 (2) the availability of revenue to the political
- 20 subdivision or water supply corporation from all sources for any
- 21 necessary repayment of the cost of the project, including all
- 22 interest;
- 23 (3) the relationship of the project to overall
- 24 statewide needs; and
- 25 (4) any other factors that the board considers
- 26 relevant.
- 27 (b) The board may not accept an application for a loan or
- 28 grant of financial assistance from the fund for a project
- 29 recommended through the state and regional water planning

- 1 processes under Sections 16.051 and 16.053 if the applicant has
- 2 failed to satisfactorily complete a request by the executive
- 3 administrator or a regional planning group for information
- 4 relevant to the project, including a water infrastructure
- 5 financing survey under Section 16.053(q).
- 6 SECTION ____. Section 16.131, Water Code, is amended to
- 7 read as follows:
- 8 Sec. 16.131. AUTHORIZED PROJECTS. (a) The board may use
- 9 the state participation account of the development fund to
- 10 encourage optimum regional development of projects including the
- 11 design, acquisition, lease, construction, reconstruction,
- 12 development, or enlargement in whole or part of:
- 13 (1) reservoirs and storm water retention basins for
- 14 water supply, flood protection, and groundwater recharge;
- 15 (2) facilities for the transmission and treatment of
- 16 water; and
- 17 (3) treatment works as defined by Section 17.001 [of
- 18 this code].
- 19 (b) The board may not use the state participation account of
- 20 the development fund to finance a project recommended through
- 21 the state and regional water planning processes under Sections
- 22 16.051 and 16.053 if the applicant has failed to satisfactorily
- 23 complete a request by the executive administrator or a regional
- 24 planning group for information relevant to the project,
- 25 <u>including</u> a water infrastructure financing survey under Section
- 26 16.053(q).

FISCAL NOTE, 82ND LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 23, 2011

TO: Honorable Joe Straus, Speaker of the House, House of Representatives

FROM: John S O'Brien, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1732 by Ritter (Relating to the applicability of the constitutional limit on state debt payable from the general revenues of the state to bonds issued by the Texas Water Development Board.), As Passed 2nd House

No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would exempt water financial assistance bonds that have been authorized but not issued from the Constitutional Debt Limit (CDL) until the legislature makes an appropriation from the General Revenue Fund to pay the debt service on the bonds. The bill would also require the Executive Administrator of the Water Development Board to certify to the Bond Review Board the source of debt service on a proposed issuance of bonds by the Water Development Board. The bill would require the Bond Review Board to determine the portion of debt service that is to be paid from the General Revenue and subsequently what portion of the debt would be included in the CDL calculation. The bill provides a mechanism for the Bond Review Board to remove previous issuances of the Water Development Board from the CDL if the previous issuance meets certain criteria.

The bill would authorize the Water Development Board to give priority to applications for funds for the implementation of water supply projects in the State Water Plan based on factors determined by the Board.

The bill would prohibit the Water Development Board from approving applications if the applicant has failed to satisfactorily complete a request by the Executive Administrator or a regional planning group for information relevant to the project, including a water infrastructure financing survey.

Based on the analysis of the Water Development Board, the Bond Review Board, and the Office of the Attorney General, any anticipated additional work that would result from the passage of the bill could be reasonably absorbed within current resources.

The bill would take effect September 1, 2011.

Local Government Impact

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 352 Bond Review Board, 580 Water Development Board, 302 Office of the Attorney

General

LBB Staff: JOB, KK, ZS, AH, SZ

FISCAL NOTE, 82ND LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 19, 2011

TO: Honorable Steve Ogden, Chair, Senate Committee on Finance

FROM: John S O'Brien, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1732 by Ritter (relating to the applicability of the constitutional limit on state debt payable from the general revenues of the state to bonds issued by the Texas Water Development Board), Committee Report 2nd House, Substituted

No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would exempt water financial assistance bonds that have been authorized but not issued from the Constitutional Debt Limit (CDL) until the legislature makes an appropriation from the General Revenue Fund to pay the debt service on the bonds. The bill would also require the Executive Administrator of the Water Development Board to certify to the Bond Review Board the source of debt service on a proposed issuance of bonds by the Water Development Board. The bill would require the Bond Review Board to determine the portion of debt service that is to be paid from the General Revenue and subsequently what portion of the debt would be included in the CDL calculation. The bill provides a mechanism for the Bond Review Board to remove previous issuances of the Water Development Board from the CDL if the previous issuance meets certain criteria.

Based on the analysis of the Water Development Board, the Bond Review Board, and the Office of the Attorney General, any anticipated additional work that would result from the passage of the bill could be reasonably absorbed within current resources.

The bill would take effect September 1, 2011.

Local Government Impact

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 352 Bond Review Board, 580 Water Development Board, 302 Office of the Attorney

General

LBB Staff: JOB, KK, ZS, AH, SZ

FISCAL NOTE, 82ND LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

April 14, 2011

TO: Honorable Steve Ogden, Chair, Senate Committee on Finance

FROM: John S O'Brien, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1732 by Ritter (Relating to the applicability of the constitutional limit on state debt payable from the general revenues of the state to bonds issued by the Texas Water Development Board.), As Engrossed

No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would require the Executive Administrator of the Water Development Board to certify to the Bond Review Board the source of debt service on a proposed issuance of bonds by the Water Development Board. The bill would require the Bond Review Board to determine the portion of debt service that is to be paid from the General Revenue and subsequently what portion of the debt would be included in the Constitutional Debt Limit (CDL) calculation. The bill provides a mechanism for the Bond Review Board to remove previous issuances of the Water Development Board from the CDL if the previous issuance meets certain criteria.

Based on the analysis of the Water Development Board, the Bond Review Board, and the Office of the Attorney General, any anticipated additional work that would result from the passage of the bill could be reasonably absorbed within current resources.

The bill would take effect September 1, 2011.

Local Government Impact

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 352 Bond Review Board, 580 Water Development Board, 302 Office of the Attorney

General

LBB Staff: JOB, SZ, AH, KK

FISCAL NOTE, 82ND LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

March 11, 2011

TO: Honorable Allan Ritter, Chair, House Committee on Natural Resources

FROM: John S O'Brien, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1732 by Ritter (Relating to the applicability of the constitutional limit on state debt payable from the general revenues of the state to bonds issued by the Texas Water Development Board.), As Introduced

No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would require the Executive Administrator of the Water Development Board to certify to the Bond Review Board the source of debt service on a proposed issuance of bonds by the Water Development Board. The bill would require the Bond Review Board to determine the portion of debt service that is to be paid from the General Revenue and subsequently what portion of the debt would be included in the Constitutional Debt Limit (CDL) calculation. The bill provides a mechanism for the Bond Review Board to remove previous issuances of the Water Development Board from the CDL if the previous issuance meets certain criteria.

Based on the analysis of the Water Development Board, the Bond Review Board, and the Office of the Attorney General, any anticipated additional work that would result from the passage of the bill could be reasonably absorbed within current resources.

The bill would take effect September 1, 2011.

Local Government Impact

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 352 Bond Review Board, 580 Water Development Board, 302 Office of the Attorney

General

LBB Staff: JOB, SZ, AH, KK