By: Coleman H.J.R. No. 96

## A JOINT RESOLUTION

- 1 proposing a constitutional amendment allowing a state mandate
- 2 imposed on a county to have effect only if the state provides for
- 3 payment to the county of the cost of the mandate.
- 4 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
- 5 SECTION 1. Article III, Texas Constitution, is amended by
- 6 adding Section 68 to read as follows:
- Sec. 68. (a) A mandate adopted on or after January 1, 2014,
- 8 by the legislature or by rule of a state agency that requires a
- 9 county to establish, expand, or modify an activity in any way that
- 10 requires the expenditure of revenue by the county that would not
- 11 have been required in the absence of the statute or rule is
- 12 effective only if the legislature appropriates or otherwise
- 13 provides for payment or reimbursement to the county of the costs
- 14 incurred by the county in complying with the requirement.
- 15 (b) This section does not apply to a mandate:
- (1) imposed by the legislature or a state agency to
- 17 comply with a requirement of this constitution, federal law, or a
- 18 court order;
- 19 (2) approved by the voters of this state at a general
- 20 <u>election;</u>
- 21 (3) imposed by a statute enacted by a record vote of
- 22 two-thirds of the members elected to each house that expressly
- 23 provides that the mandate is not subject to this section; or
- 24 (4) estimated by the comptroller to have aggregated

H.J.R. No. 96

- 1 costs to be incurred by the counties of this state in complying with
- 2 the mandate that are less than \$1 million in a state fiscal year.
- 3 SECTION 2. This proposed constitutional amendment shall be
- 4 submitted to the voters at an election to be held November 5, 2013.
- 5 The ballot shall be printed to permit voting for or against the
- 6 proposition: "The constitutional amendment allowing a state
- 7 mandate imposed on a county to have effect only if the state
- 8 provides for payment to the county of the cost of the mandate."