# LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

## FISCAL NOTE, 83RD LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

## **April 19, 2013**

**TO**: Honorable Harvey Hilderbran, Chair, House Committee on Ways & Means

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

**IN RE: HB229** by Isaac (Relating to an exemption from ad valorem taxation of the residence homestead of the surviving spouse of a member of the armed services of the United States who dies while on active duty.), **As Introduced** 

**Estimated Two-year Net Impact to General Revenue Related Funds** for HB229, As Introduced: a negative impact of (\$258,000) through the biennium ending August 31, 2015.

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

## **General Revenue-Related Funds, Five-Year Impact:**

Fiscal Year	Probable Net Positive/(Negative) Impact to General Revenue Related Funds
2014	\$0
2015	(\$258,000)
2016	(\$579,000)
2017	(\$594,000)
2018	(\$610,000)

## All Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Savings/(Cost) from Foundation School Fund 193	Probable Revenue Gain/(Loss) from School Districts	Probable Revenue Gain/(Loss) from Counties	Probable Revenue Gain/(Loss) from <i>Cities</i>
2014	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2015	(\$258,000)	(\$411,000)	(\$204,000)	(\$226,000)
2016	(\$579,000)	(\$108,000)	(\$209,000)	(\$232,000)
2017	(\$594,000)	(\$114,000)	(\$214,000)	(\$238,000)
2018	(\$610,000)	(\$120,000)	(\$220,000)	(\$245,000)

Fiscal Year	Probable Revenue Gain/(Loss) from Other Special Districts
2014	\$0
2015	(\$149,000)
2016	(\$152,000)
2017	(\$156,000)
2018	(\$161,000)

## **Fiscal Analysis**

This bill would amend Chapter 11 of the Tax Code, regarding property taxation, taxable property and exemptions, to grant a total property tax exemption on the homestead of a surviving spouse of a person who dies while on active duty as a member of the armed services of the United States if (1) the surviving spouse has not remarried since the death of the member of the armed services; and (2) the property was the residence homestead of the member of the armed services when the member died. The bill would require an exemption of the same dollar amount on the surviving spouse's subsequent homestead if the surviving spouse has not remarried.

The bill would make conforming changes to Chapter 403 of the Government Code, regarding the Comptroller of Public Accounts.

The bill would take effect on January 1, 2014, but only if the constitutional amendment proposed by the 83rd Legislature, Regular Session, 2013, authorizing the Legislature to provide for an exemption from ad valorem taxation of all or part of the market value of the residence homestead of the surviving spouse of a member of the armed services of the U.S. who dies while on active duty is approved by the voters. If that amendment is not approved by the voters, this bill would have no effect.

## Methodology

The total property tax exemption of the homesteads of surviving spouses of individuals who die while on active duty as a member of the armed services of the U.S. would create a cost to units of local government and to the state through the operation of the school funding formulas. The number of surviving spouses who would qualify under the bill was estimated based on information from appraisal districts, the U.S. Census Bureau, the Congressional Research Service, and the Center for Disease Control. The number of spouses who would qualify in each year was multiplied by the projected average taxable value of veterans' homesteads to develop an annual taxable value loss in each year of the projection period.

The applicable projected tax rates were applied to estimate the levy loss to special districts, cities and counties, and to estimate the initial school district loss. Because of the operation of the hold harmless provisions of the Education Code, about 60 percent of the school district cost related to the compressed rate would be transferred to the state in the first year of a taxable property value loss and 100 percent in later years. Because lagged year property values are used in the enrichment formula, school districts lose enrichment funding (state savings) in the first year of a taxable property value reduction. In the second and successive years the enrichment cost and a portion of the school district debt (facilities) cost are transferred to the state through the relevant funding formulas. All costs were estimated over the five year projection period.

## **Local Government Impact**

The fiscal implication to units of local government is reflected in the table above and is contingent upon passage of a constitutional amendment authorizing the exemption.

**Source Agencies:** 304 Comptroller of Public Accounts

LBB Staff: UP, KK, SD, SJS