LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE, 83RD LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

April 15, 2013

TO: Honorable Harvey Hilderbran, Chair, House Committee on Ways & Means

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB585 by Villarreal (relating to ad valorem taxation; creating an offense.), Committee

Report 1st House, Substituted

The bill contains several provisions related to district court procedures. To the extent that taxable values would be reduced pursuant to these provisions, the bill would create a cost to the state through the operation of the school funding formulas.

This bill would amend various chapters of the Tax Code, with regard to property taxes, to implement procedural changes related to appraisal review board education, Comptroller communications on matters before the appraisal review board, appraisal review board appointment and removal, ex-parte communications with a local administrative district judge that appoints appraisal review board members, ex-parte communications with appraisal review board members, refund applications for the overpayment or erroneous payment of property taxes, recouping of electronic filing fees in delinquent tax suits, and related matters. The Comptroller would be required to prepare model hearing procedures for appraisal review boards, prescribe a survey form for the public to provide comments and suggestions regarding appraisal review boards, and compile those surveys into annual reports. An appraisal district's taxpayer liaison officer would be responsible for receiving and compiling a list of comments and suggestions related to appraisal review boards filed by the chief appraiser, property owner, or agent and the liaison officer would have to forward the information to the Comptroller.

The bill would provide an application process for personal property owners requesting interstate allocation.

The bill would make procedural changes regarding taxpayer rights at an appraisal review board hearing, scheduling of hearings, other appraisal review board hearing matters, and appeals from appraisal review board orders to district court.

The bill would repeal Section 41A.031, which provides an expedited binding arbitration process for appeals from appraisal review board orders.

The bill's provisions, including a provision requiring certain appraisal review board hearings to be set for a time and date certain, appraisal review board hearing postponements under certain circumstances, same-day hearing scheduling requirements, and limitations on hearing panel assignments, could create local compliance costs for the taxing units that contribute to the appraisal district budget. The extent of these local compliance costs are unknown and therefore cannot be estimated.

The bill contains several provisions related to district court procedures. These provisions include:

- 1) allowing multiple plaintiffs;
- 2) allowing the amendment of an appeal to include additional properties in the same county that are owned or leased by the same person;
- 3) allowing court jurisdiction over an appeal regardless of the plaintiff identified in the petition under certain circumstances; and
- 4) allowing evidence, argument, or other testimony offered at appraisal review board hearing under certain circumstances.

To the extent that taxable values would be reduced pursuant to these provisions, the bill would create a cost to units of local government and to the state through the operation of the school funding formulas. Taxable value reductions would be dependent on the outcome of future taxable value appeals in district court and the outcome of such appeals cannot be predicted. Consequently the bill's fiscal impact cannot be estimated.

The Comptroller's office estimates administrative costs of \$64,000 a year to hire one FTE to adopt uniform practice and procedure rules for appraisal review boards, develop and implement policies for the public to register complaints, receive and compile the complaints, and draft an annual report.

This bill would take effect immediately upon enactment, assuming that it received the requisite two-thirds majority votes in both houses of the Legislature. Otherwise, it would take effect September 1, 2013. Certain specified provisions would take effect January 1, 2014.

Local Government Impact

The bill's provisions, including a provision requiring certain appraisal review board hearings to be set for a time and date certain, appraisal review board hearing postponements under certain circumstances, same-day hearing scheduling requirements, and limitations on hearing panel assignments, could create local compliance costs for the taxing units that contribute to the appraisal district budget.

In addition, the bill contains several provisions related to district court procedures. To the extent that taxable values would be reduced pursuant to these provisions, the bill would create a cost to units of local government.

Source Agencies: 304 Comptroller of Public Accounts

LBB Staff: UP, KK, SD, SJS