

**LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD**  
**Austin, Texas**

**FISCAL NOTE, 83RD LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION**

**March 27, 2013**

**TO:** Honorable John Whitmire, Chair, Senate Committee on Criminal Justice

**FROM:** Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

**IN RE: SB1289** by Williams (relating to certain business entities engaged in the publication of mug shots and other information regarding the involvement of an individual in the criminal justice system; providing a civil penalty. ), **Committee Report 1st House, Substituted**

<p><b>No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.</b></p>
--

The bill would amend the Business and Commerce Code to require a business entity to ensure that the criminal record information it publishes is complete and accurate. The bill would establish a process by which a person who is the subject of the criminal history information may dispute the completeness or accuracy of the information.

The business entity is required to verify the disputed information with an appropriate law enforcement agency at no cost; investigate the dispute; and complete its investigation within 45 days. If the business finds that the published information is inaccurate or incomplete, it shall promptly remove the inaccurate information. The business must notify the person who launched the dispute within five business days after the investigation is completed.

The bill would establish a civil penalty for not more than \$500 for each violation in cases where a business entity publishes criminal record information it knows to be incomplete or inaccurate.

The bill would authorize the Attorney General or an appropriate prosecuting attorney to collect a civil penalty not to exceed \$500 for each violation of this bill's provision. The bill would authorize the Attorney General to bring an action to restrain or enjoin a violation or the threat of a violation. The bill would authorize the Attorney General or an appropriate prosecuting attorney to recover reasonable costs incurred in obtaining injunctive relief or a civil penalty.

The bill would require actions authorized by the bill would need to be brought to a district court, either in Travis County or in a county in which either the business entity or person who is the subject of the criminal record information resides.

The Comptroller has noted the fiscal impact of these penalties on the state cannot be estimated.

It is assumed the costs of implementing the provisions of the bill could be absorbed within current appropriations and that indeterminate potential revenues would not be significant.

The bill would take effect September 1, 2013.

**Local Government Impact**

No significant fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

**Source Agencies:** 302 Office of the Attorney General, 304 Comptroller of Public Accounts,  
405 Department of Public Safety

**LBB Staff:** UP, ESi, AI, JAW