# **BILL ANALYSIS**

H.B. 1073 By: Blanco County Affairs Committee Report (Unamended)

### **BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE**

Interested parties note that municipalities have greater flexibility than counties in considering the location of a bidder's principal place of business for certain contracts, when a contract's value is for less than certain amounts, because a municipality may consider a competitive sealed bid from a bidder whose principal place of business is in the municipality if the bid is within five percent of the lowest bid price received from a bidder who is not a resident of the municipality. Other local governments are limited to considering bids from a local business only if the bid is within three percent of the lowest bid price received from a nonresident, regardless of the contract's value. The parties contend that counties should have the same authority as municipalities in regard to such contracts. H.B. 1073 seeks to address this issue.

### **CRIMINAL JUSTICE IMPACT**

It is the committee's opinion that this bill does not expressly create a criminal offense, increase the punishment for an existing criminal offense or category of offenses, or change the eligibility of a person for community supervision, parole, or mandatory supervision.

# **RULEMAKING AUTHORITY**

It is the committee's opinion that this bill does not expressly grant any additional rulemaking authority to a state officer, department, agency, or institution.

# **ANALYSIS**

H.B. 1073 amends the Local Government Code to extend to a county the authority granted to a municipality to consider the location of a bidder's principal place of business when entering into a contract for construction services in an amount of less than \$100,000 or a contract for other purchases of real property, personal property that is not affixed to real property, or services in an amount of less than \$500,000.

#### **EFFECTIVE DATE**

On passage, or, if the bill does not receive the necessary vote, September 1, 2015.

84R 19707 15.90.797