By: Blanco H.B. No. 4027

## A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT

2 relating to products liability action related to a pharmaceutical

2 relating to products liability action related to a pharmaceutical 3 product.

- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
- 5 SECTION 1. Section 82.007, Civil Practice and Remedies 6 Code, is amended to read as follows:
- 7 Sec. 82.007. MEDICINES. (a) In a products liability action
- 8 alleging that an injury was caused by a failure to provide adequate
- 9 warnings or information with regard to a pharmaceutical product,
- 10 such as a failure of the manufacturer to place notice to the United
- 11 States Food and Drug Administration of a potential safety signal,
- 12 there is a rebuttable presumption that the defendant or defendants,
- 13 including a health care provider, manufacturer, distributor, and
- 14 prescriber, are not liable with respect to the allegations
- 15 involving failure to provide adequate warnings or information if:
- 16 (1) the warnings or information that accompanied the
- 17 product in its distribution were those approved by the United
- 18 States Food and Drug Administration for a product approved under
- 19 the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. Section 301 et
- 20 seq.), as amended, or Section 351, Public Health Service Act (42
- 21 U.S.C. Section 262), as amended; or

1

- 22 (2) the warnings provided were those stated in
- 23 monographs developed by the United States Food and Drug
- 24 Administration for pharmaceutical products that may be distributed

- 1 without an approved new drug application.
- 2 (b) The claimant may rebut the presumption in Subsection (a)
- 3 as to each defendant by establishing that:
- 4 (1) the defendant, before or after pre-market approval
- 5 or licensing of the product, withheld from or misrepresented to the
- 6 United States Food and Drug Administration <u>relevant</u> [required]
- 7 information that was material [and relevant] to the performance of
- 8 the product and was causally related to the claimant's injury;
- 9 (2) the pharmaceutical product was sold or prescribed
- 10 in the United States by the defendant after the effective date of an
- 11 order of the United States Food and Drug Administration to remove
- 12 the product from the market or to withdraw its approval of the
- 13 product;
- 14 (3)(A) the defendant recommended, promoted, or
- 15 advertised the pharmaceutical product for an indication not
- 16 approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration;
- 17 (B) the product was used as recommended,
- 18 promoted, or advertised; and
- 19 (C) the claimant's injury was causally related to
- 20 the recommended, promoted, or advertised use of the product;
- 21 (4)(A) the defendant prescribed the pharmaceutical
- 22 product for an indication not approved by the United States Food and
- 23 Drug Administration;
- 24 (B) the product was used as prescribed; and
- (C) the claimant's injury was causally related to
- 26 the prescribed use of the product; or
- 27 (5) the defendant, before or after pre-market approval

H.B. No. 4027

- 1 or licensing of the product, engaged in conduct that would
- 2 constitute a violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 201 and that conduct
- 3 caused the warnings or instructions approved for the product by the
- 4 United States Food and Drug Administration to be inadequate.
- 5 (6) the defendant, before or after pre-market approval
- 6 or licensing of the product, failed to report any adverse event (or
- 7 adverse experience), adverse drug reaction or unexpected adverse
- 8 drug reaction for the product as defined by the United States Food
- 9 and Drug Administration.
- 10 (7) the United States Food and Drug Administration
- adds or amends a boxed warning as defined by USC §201.57(c)(1).
- 12 (c) The claimant is entitled to reasonable discovery on any
- 13 rebuttable presumption before a dispositive motion under (a).
- 14 SECTION 2. This Act takes effect September 1, 2015.