

1-1 By: Hinojosa, et al. S.B. No. 207  
 1-2 (In the Senate - Filed March 13, 2015; March 16, 2015, read  
 1-3 first time and referred to Committee on Health and Human Services;  
 1-4 April 7, 2015, reported adversely, with favorable Committee  
 1-5 Substitute by the following vote: Yeas 9, Nays 0; April 7, 2015,  
 1-6 sent to printer.)

1-7 COMMITTEE VOTE

	Yea	Nay	Absent	PNV
1-8				
1-9	X			
1-10	X			
1-11	X			
1-12	X			
1-13	X			
1-14	X			
1-15	X			
1-16	X			
1-17	X			

1-18 COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR S.B. No. 207 By: Schwertner

1-19 A BILL TO BE ENTITLED  
 1-20 AN ACT

1-21 relating to the authority and duties of the office of inspector  
 1-22 general of the Health and Human Services Commission.

1-23 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

1-24 SECTION 1. Section 531.1011(4), Government Code, is amended  
 1-25 to read as follows:

1-26 (4) "Fraud" means an intentional deception or  
 1-27 misrepresentation made by a person with the knowledge that the  
 1-28 deception could result in some unauthorized benefit to that person  
 1-29 or some other person~~[, including any act that constitutes fraud~~  
 1-30 ~~under applicable federal or state law]. The term does not include~~  
 1-31 unintentional technical, clerical, or administrative errors.

1-32 SECTION 2. Section 531.102, Government Code, is amended by  
 1-33 amending Subsections (a-1), (g), and (k), amending Subsection (f)  
 1-34 as amended by S.B. 219, Acts of the 84th Legislature, Regular  
 1-35 Session, 2015, and adding Subsections (f-1), (p), (q), and (r) to  
 1-36 read as follows:

1-37 (a-1) The executive commissioner ~~[governor]~~ shall appoint  
 1-38 an inspector general to serve as director of the office. The  
 1-39 inspector general serves a one-year term that expires on February  
 1-40 1.

1-41 (f)(1) If the commission receives a complaint or allegation  
 1-42 of Medicaid fraud or abuse from any source, the office must conduct  
 1-43 a preliminary investigation as provided by Section 531.118(c) to  
 1-44 determine whether there is a sufficient basis to warrant a full  
 1-45 investigation. A preliminary investigation must begin not later  
 1-46 than the 30th day, and be completed not later than the 45th day,  
 1-47 after the date the commission receives a complaint or allegation or  
 1-48 has reason to believe that fraud or abuse has occurred. ~~[A~~  
 1-49 ~~preliminary investigation shall be completed not later than the~~  
 1-50 ~~90th day after it began.]~~

1-51 (2) If the findings of a preliminary investigation  
 1-52 give the office reason to believe that an incident of fraud or abuse  
 1-53 involving possible criminal conduct has occurred in Medicaid, the  
 1-54 office must take the following action, as appropriate, not later  
 1-55 than the 30th day after the completion of the preliminary  
 1-56 investigation:

1-57 (A) if a provider is suspected of fraud or abuse  
 1-58 involving criminal conduct, the office must refer the case to the  
 1-59 state's Medicaid fraud control unit, provided that the criminal  
 1-60 referral does not preclude the office from continuing its  
 1-61 investigation of the provider, which investigation may lead to the  
 1-62 imposition of appropriate administrative or civil sanctions; or

2-1 (B) if there is reason to believe that a  
 2-2 recipient has defrauded Medicaid, the office may conduct a full  
 2-3 investigation of the suspected fraud, subject to Section  
 2-4 531.118(c).

2-5 (f-1) The office shall complete a full investigation of a  
 2-6 complaint or allegation of Medicaid fraud or abuse against a  
 2-7 provider not later than the 180th day after the date the full  
 2-8 investigation begins unless the office determines that more time is  
 2-9 needed to complete the investigation. Except as otherwise provided  
 2-10 by this subsection, if the office determines that more time is  
 2-11 needed to complete the investigation, the office shall provide  
 2-12 notice to the provider who is the subject of the investigation  
 2-13 stating that the length of the investigation will exceed 180 days  
 2-14 and specifying the reasons why the office was unable to complete the  
 2-15 investigation within the 180-day period. The office is not  
 2-16 required to provide notice to the provider under this subsection if  
 2-17 the office determines that providing notice would jeopardize the  
 2-18 investigation.

2-19 (g)(1) Whenever the office learns or has reason to suspect  
 2-20 that a provider's records are being withheld, concealed, destroyed,  
 2-21 fabricated, or in any way falsified, the office shall immediately  
 2-22 refer the case to the state's Medicaid fraud control  
 2-23 unit. However, such criminal referral does not preclude the office  
 2-24 from continuing its investigation of the provider, which  
 2-25 investigation may lead to the imposition of appropriate  
 2-26 administrative or civil sanctions.

2-27 (2) ~~As [In addition to other instances]~~ authorized  
 2-28 under state and ~~[or]~~ federal law, and except as provided by  
 2-29 Subdivisions (8) and (9), the office shall impose without prior  
 2-30 notice a payment hold on claims for reimbursement submitted by a  
 2-31 provider only to compel production of records, when requested by  
 2-32 the state's Medicaid fraud control unit, or on the determination  
 2-33 that a credible allegation of fraud exists, subject to Subsections  
 2-34 (l) and (m), as applicable. The payment hold is a serious  
 2-35 enforcement tool that the office imposes to mitigate ongoing  
 2-36 financial risk to the state. A payment hold imposed under this  
 2-37 subdivision takes effect immediately. The office must notify the  
 2-38 provider of the payment hold in accordance with 42 C.F.R. Section  
 2-39 455.23(b) and, except as provided by that regulation, not later  
 2-40 than the fifth day after the date the office imposes the payment  
 2-41 hold. In addition to the requirements of 42 C.F.R. Section  
 2-42 455.23(b), the notice of payment hold provided under this  
 2-43 subdivision must also include:

2-44 (A) the specific basis for the hold, including  
 2-45 identification of the claims supporting the allegation at that  
 2-46 point in the investigation, ~~and~~ a representative sample of any  
 2-47 documents that form the basis for the hold, and a detailed summary  
 2-48 of the office's evidence relating to the allegation; ~~and~~

2-49 (B) a description of administrative and judicial  
 2-50 due process rights and remedies, including the provider's option  
 2-51 ~~[right]~~ to seek informal resolution, the provider's right to seek a  
 2-52 formal administrative appeal hearing, or that the provider may seek  
 2-53 both; and

2-54 (C) a detailed timeline for the provider to  
 2-55 pursue the rights and remedies described in Paragraph (B).

2-56 (3) On timely written request by a provider subject to  
 2-57 a payment hold under Subdivision (2), other than a hold requested by  
 2-58 the state's Medicaid fraud control unit, the office shall file a  
 2-59 request with the State Office of Administrative Hearings for an  
 2-60 expedited administrative hearing regarding the hold not later than  
 2-61 the third day after the date the office receives the provider's  
 2-62 request. The provider must request an expedited administrative  
 2-63 hearing under this subdivision not later than the 10th ~~30th~~ day  
 2-64 after the date the provider receives notice from the office under  
 2-65 Subdivision (2). The State Office of Administrative Hearings  
 2-66 shall hold the expedited administrative hearing not later than the  
 2-67 45th day after the date the State Office of Administrative Hearings  
 2-68 receives the request for the hearing. In a hearing held under this  
 2-69 subdivision ~~[Unless otherwise determined by the administrative law~~

3-1 ~~judge for good cause at an expedited administrative hearing, the~~  
 3-2 ~~state and the provider shall each be responsible for]:~~

3-3 (A) ~~the provider and the office are each limited~~  
 3-4 ~~to four hours of testimony, excluding time for responding to~~  
 3-5 ~~questions from the administrative law judge [one-half of the costs~~  
 3-6 ~~charged by the State Office of Administrative Hearings];~~

3-7 (B) ~~the provider and the office are each entitled~~  
 3-8 ~~to two continuances under reasonable circumstances [one-half of the~~  
 3-9 ~~costs for transcribing the hearing]; and~~

3-10 (C) ~~the office is required to show probable cause~~  
 3-11 ~~that the credible allegation of fraud that is the basis of the~~  
 3-12 ~~payment hold has an indicia of reliability and that continuing to~~  
 3-13 ~~pay the provider presents an ongoing significant financial risk to~~  
 3-14 ~~the state and a threat to the integrity of Medicaid [the party's own~~  
 3-15 ~~costs related to the hearing, including the costs associated with~~  
 3-16 ~~preparation for the hearing, discovery, depositions, and~~  
 3-17 ~~subpoenas, service of process and witness expenses, travel~~  
 3-18 ~~expenses, and investigation expenses; and~~

3-19 ~~[(D) all other costs associated with the hearing~~  
 3-20 ~~that are incurred by the party, including attorney's fees].~~

3-21 (4) ~~The office is responsible for the costs of a~~  
 3-22 ~~hearing held under Subdivision (3), but a provider is responsible~~  
 3-23 ~~for the provider's own costs incurred in preparing for the hearing~~  
 3-24 ~~[executive commissioner and the State Office of Administrative~~  
 3-25 ~~Hearings shall jointly adopt rules that require a provider, before~~  
 3-26 ~~an expedited administrative hearing, to advance security for the~~  
 3-27 ~~costs for which the provider is responsible under that~~  
 3-28 ~~subdivision].~~

3-29 (5) ~~In a hearing held under Subdivision (3), the~~  
 3-30 ~~administrative law judge shall decide if the payment hold should~~  
 3-31 ~~continue but may not adjust the amount or percent of the payment~~  
 3-32 ~~hold. The decision of the administrative law judge is final and may~~  
 3-33 ~~not be appealed [Following an expedited administrative hearing~~  
 3-34 ~~under Subdivision (3), a provider subject to a payment hold, other~~  
 3-35 ~~than a hold requested by the state's Medicaid fraud control unit,~~  
 3-36 ~~may appeal a final administrative order by filing a petition for~~  
 3-37 ~~judicial review in a district court in Travis County].~~

3-38 (6) ~~The executive commissioner shall adopt rules that~~  
 3-39 ~~allow a provider subject to a payment hold under Subdivision (2),~~  
 3-40 ~~other than a hold requested by the state's Medicaid fraud control~~  
 3-41 ~~unit, to seek an informal resolution of the issues identified by the~~  
 3-42 ~~office in the notice provided under that subdivision. A provider~~  
 3-43 ~~must request an initial informal resolution meeting under this~~  
 3-44 ~~subdivision not later than the deadline prescribed by Subdivision~~  
 3-45 ~~(3) for requesting an expedited administrative hearing. On~~  
 3-46 ~~receipt of a timely request, the office shall decide whether to~~  
 3-47 ~~grant the provider's request for an initial informal resolution~~  
 3-48 ~~meeting, and if the office decides to grant the request, the office~~  
 3-49 ~~shall schedule the [an] initial informal resolution meeting [not~~  
 3-50 ~~later than the 60th day after the date the office receives the~~  
 3-51 ~~request, but the office shall schedule the meeting on a later date,~~  
 3-52 ~~as determined by the office, if requested by the provider]. The~~  
 3-53 ~~office shall give notice to the provider of the time and place of~~  
 3-54 ~~the initial informal resolution meeting [not later than the 30th~~  
 3-55 ~~day before the date the meeting is to be held]. A provider may~~  
 3-56 ~~request a second informal resolution meeting [not later than the~~  
 3-57 ~~20th day] after the date of the initial informal resolution~~  
 3-58 ~~meeting. On receipt of a timely request, the office shall decide~~  
 3-59 ~~whether to grant the provider's request for a second informal~~  
 3-60 ~~resolution meeting, and if the office decides to grant the request,~~  
 3-61 ~~the office shall schedule the [a] second informal resolution~~  
 3-62 ~~meeting [not later than the 45th day after the date the office~~  
 3-63 ~~receives the request, but the office shall schedule the meeting on a~~  
 3-64 ~~later date, as determined by the office, if requested by the~~  
 3-65 ~~provider]. The office shall give notice to the provider of the~~  
 3-66 ~~time and place of the second informal resolution meeting [not later~~  
 3-67 ~~than the 20th day before the date the meeting is to be held]. A~~  
 3-68 ~~provider must have an opportunity to provide additional information~~  
 3-69 ~~before the second informal resolution meeting for consideration by~~

4-1 the office. A provider's decision to seek an informal resolution  
 4-2 under this subdivision does not extend the time by which the  
 4-3 provider must request an expedited administrative hearing under  
 4-4 Subdivision (3). The informal resolution process shall run  
 4-5 concurrently with the administrative hearing process, and the  
 4-6 informal resolution process shall be discontinued once the State  
 4-7 Office of Administrative Hearings issues a final determination on  
 4-8 the payment hold. [However, a hearing initiated under Subdivision  
 4-9 (3) shall be stayed until the informal resolution process is  
 4-10 completed.]

4-11 (7) The office shall, in consultation with the state's  
 4-12 Medicaid fraud control unit, establish guidelines under which  
 4-13 payment holds or program exclusions:

4-14 (A) may permissively be imposed on a provider; or

4-15 (B) shall automatically be imposed on a provider.

4-16 (8) In accordance with 42 C.F.R. Sections 455.23(e)  
 4-17 and (f), on the determination that a credible allegation of fraud  
 4-18 exists, the office may find that good cause exists to not impose a  
 4-19 payment hold, to not continue a payment hold, to impose a payment  
 4-20 hold only in part, or to convert a payment hold imposed in whole to  
 4-21 one imposed only in part, if any of the following are applicable:

4-22 (A) law enforcement officials have specifically  
 4-23 requested that a payment hold not be imposed because a payment hold  
 4-24 would compromise or jeopardize an investigation;

4-25 (B) available remedies implemented by the state  
 4-26 other than a payment hold would more effectively or quickly protect  
 4-27 Medicaid funds;

4-28 (C) the office determines, based on the  
 4-29 submission of written evidence by the provider who is the subject of  
 4-30 the payment hold, that the payment hold should be removed;

4-31 (D) Medicaid recipients' access to items or  
 4-32 services would be jeopardized by a full or partial payment hold  
 4-33 because the provider who is the subject of the payment hold:

4-34 (i) is the sole community physician or the  
 4-35 sole source of essential specialized services in a community; or

4-36 (ii) serves a large number of Medicaid  
 4-37 recipients within a designated medically underserved area;

4-38 (E) the attorney general declines to certify that  
 4-39 a matter continues to be under investigation; or

4-40 (F) the office determines that a full or partial  
 4-41 payment hold is not in the best interests of Medicaid.

4-42 (9) The office may not impose a payment hold on claims  
 4-43 for reimbursement submitted by a provider for medically necessary  
 4-44 services for which the provider has obtained prior authorization  
 4-45 from the commission or a contractor of the commission unless the  
 4-46 office has evidence that the provider has materially misrepresented  
 4-47 documentation relating to those services.

4-48 (k) A final report on an audit or investigation is subject  
 4-49 to required disclosure under Chapter 552. All information and  
 4-50 materials compiled during the audit or investigation remain  
 4-51 confidential and not subject to required disclosure in accordance  
 4-52 with Section 531.1021(g). A confidential draft report on an audit  
 4-53 or investigation that concerns the death of a child may be shared  
 4-54 with the Department of Family and Protective Services. A draft  
 4-55 report that is shared with the Department of Family and Protective  
 4-56 Services remains confidential and is not subject to disclosure  
 4-57 under Chapter 552.

4-58 (p) The executive commissioner, on behalf of the office,  
 4-59 shall adopt rules establishing criteria:

4-60 (1) for opening a case;

4-61 (2) for prioritizing cases for the efficient  
 4-62 management of the office's workload, including rules that direct  
 4-63 the office to prioritize:

4-64 (A) provider cases according to the highest  
 4-65 potential for recovery or risk to the state as indicated through the  
 4-66 provider's volume of billings, the provider's history of  
 4-67 noncompliance with the law, and identified fraud trends;

4-68 (B) recipient cases according to the highest  
 4-69 potential for recovery and federal timeliness requirements; and



5-1 (C) internal affairs investigations according to  
 5-2 the seriousness of the threat to recipient safety and the risk to  
 5-3 program integrity in terms of the amount or scope of fraud, waste,  
 5-4 and abuse posed by the allegation that is the subject of the  
 5-5 investigation; and

5-6 (3) to guide field investigators in closing a case  
 5-7 that is not worth pursuing through a full investigation.

5-8 (q) The executive commissioner, on behalf of the office,  
 5-9 shall adopt rules establishing criteria for determining  
 5-10 enforcement and punitive actions with regard to a provider who has  
 5-11 violated state law, program rules, or the provider's Medicaid  
 5-12 provider agreement that include:

5-13 (1) direction for categorizing provider violations  
 5-14 according to the nature of the violation and for scaling resulting  
 5-15 enforcement actions, taking into consideration:

5-16 (A) the seriousness of the violation;

5-17 (B) the prevalence of errors by the provider;

5-18 (C) the financial or other harm to the state or  
 5-19 recipients resulting or potentially resulting from those errors;  
 5-20 and

5-21 (D) mitigating factors the office determines  
 5-22 appropriate; and

5-23 (2) a specific list of potential penalties, including  
 5-24 the amount of the penalties, for fraud and other Medicaid  
 5-25 violations.

5-26 (r) The office shall review the office's investigative  
 5-27 process, including the office's use of sampling and extrapolation  
 5-28 to audit provider records. The review shall be performed by staff  
 5-29 who are not directly involved in investigations conducted by the  
 5-30 office.

5-31 SECTION 3. Section 531.113, Government Code, is amended by  
 5-32 adding Subsection (d-1) and amending Subsection (e) as amended by  
 5-33 S.B. 219, Acts of the 84th Legislature, Regular Session, 2015, to  
 5-34 read as follows:

5-35 (d-1) The commission's office of inspector general shall:

5-36 (1) investigate, including by means of regular audits,  
 5-37 possible fraud, waste, and abuse by managed care organizations  
 5-38 subject to this section;

5-39 (2) establish requirements for the provision of  
 5-40 training to and regular oversight of special investigative units  
 5-41 established by managed care organizations under Subsection (a)(1)  
 5-42 and entities with which managed care organizations contract under  
 5-43 Subsection (a)(2);

5-44 (3) establish requirements for approving plans to  
 5-45 prevent and reduce fraud and abuse adopted by managed care  
 5-46 organizations under Subsection (b);

5-47 (4) evaluate statewide fraud, waste, and abuse trends  
 5-48 in Medicaid and communicate those trends to special investigative  
 5-49 units and contracted entities to determine the prevalence of those  
 5-50 trends; and

5-51 (5) assist managed care organizations in discovering  
 5-52 or investigating fraud, waste, and abuse, as needed.

5-53 (e) The executive commissioner shall adopt rules as  
 5-54 necessary to accomplish the purposes of this section, including  
 5-55 rules defining the investigative role of the commission's office of  
 5-56 inspector general with respect to the investigative role of special  
 5-57 investigative units established by managed care organizations  
 5-58 under Subsection (a)(1) and entities with which managed care  
 5-59 organizations contract under Subsection (a)(2). The rules adopted  
 5-60 under this section must specify the office's role in:

5-61 (1) reviewing the findings of special investigative  
 5-62 units and contracted entities;

5-63 (2) investigating cases where the overpayment amount  
 5-64 sought to be recovered exceeds \$100,000; and

5-65 (3) investigating providers who are enrolled in more  
 5-66 than one managed care organization.

5-67 SECTION 4. Section 531.118(b), Government Code, is amended  
 5-68 to read as follows:

5-69 (b) If the commission receives an allegation of fraud or

6-1 abuse against a provider from any source, the commission's office  
 6-2 of inspector general shall conduct a preliminary investigation of  
 6-3 the allegation to determine whether there is a sufficient basis to  
 6-4 warrant a full investigation. A preliminary investigation must  
 6-5 begin not later than the 30th day, and be completed not later than  
 6-6 the 45th day, after the date the commission receives or identifies  
 6-7 an allegation of fraud or abuse.

6-8 SECTION 5. Section 531.120(b), Government Code, is amended  
 6-9 to read as follows:

6-10 (b) A provider may ~~[must]~~ request an ~~[initial]~~ informal  
 6-11 resolution meeting under this section, and on ~~[not later than the~~  
 6-12 ~~30th day after the date the provider receives notice under~~  
 6-13 ~~Subsection (a). On]~~ receipt of the ~~[a timely]~~ request, the office  
 6-14 shall schedule the ~~[an initial]~~ informal resolution meeting ~~[not~~  
 6-15 ~~later than the 60th day after the date the office receives the~~  
 6-16 ~~request, but the office shall schedule the meeting on a later date,~~  
 6-17 ~~as determined by the office if requested by the provider].~~ The  
 6-18 office shall give notice to the provider of the time and place of  
 6-19 the ~~[initial]~~ informal resolution meeting ~~[not later than the 30th~~  
 6-20 ~~day before the date the meeting is to be held].~~ The informal  
 6-21 resolution process shall run concurrently with the administrative  
 6-22 hearing process, and the administrative hearing process may not be  
 6-23 delayed on account of the informal resolution process. ~~[A provider~~  
 6-24 ~~may request a second informal resolution meeting not later than the~~  
 6-25 ~~20th day after the date of the initial informal resolution~~  
 6-26 ~~meeting. On receipt of a timely request, the office shall schedule~~  
 6-27 ~~a second informal resolution meeting not later than the 45th day~~  
 6-28 ~~after the date the office receives the request, but the office shall~~  
 6-29 ~~schedule the meeting on a later date, as determined by the office if~~  
 6-30 ~~requested by the provider. The office shall give notice to the~~  
 6-31 ~~provider of the time and place of the second informal resolution~~  
 6-32 ~~meeting not later than the 20th day before the date the meeting is~~  
 6-33 ~~to be held. A provider must have an opportunity to provide~~  
 6-34 ~~additional information before the second informal resolution~~  
 6-35 ~~meeting for consideration by the office.]~~

6-36 SECTION 6. Section 531.1201(b), Government Code, is amended  
 6-37 to read as follows:

6-38 (b) The commission's office of inspector general is  
 6-39 responsible for the costs of an administrative hearing held under  
 6-40 Subsection (a), but a provider is responsible for the provider's  
 6-41 own costs incurred in preparing for the hearing [Unless otherwise  
 6-42 determined by the administrative law judge for good cause, at any  
 6-43 administrative hearing under this section before the State Office  
 6-44 of Administrative Hearings, the state and the provider shall each  
 6-45 be responsible for:

6-46 ~~[(1) one-half of the costs charged by the State Office~~  
 6-47 ~~of Administrative Hearings,~~

6-48 ~~[(2) one-half of the costs for transcribing the~~  
 6-49 ~~hearing,~~

6-50 ~~[(3) the party's own costs related to the hearing,~~  
 6-51 ~~including the costs associated with preparation for the hearing,~~  
 6-52 ~~discovery, depositions, and subpoenas, service of process and~~  
 6-53 ~~witness expenses, travel expenses, and investigation expenses, and~~

6-54 ~~[(4) all other costs associated with the hearing that~~  
 6-55 ~~are incurred by the party, including attorney's fees].~~

6-56 SECTION 7. Subchapter C, Chapter 531, Government Code, is  
 6-57 amended by adding Section 531.1203 to read as follows:

6-58 Sec. 531.1203. RIGHTS OF AND PROVISION OF INFORMATION TO  
 6-59 PHARMACIES SUBJECT TO CERTAIN AUDITS. (a) A pharmacy has a right  
 6-60 to request an informal hearing before the commission's appeals  
 6-61 division to contest the findings of an audit conducted by the  
 6-62 commission's office of inspector general or an entity that  
 6-63 contracts with the federal government to audit Medicaid providers  
 6-64 if the findings of the audit do not include that the pharmacy  
 6-65 engaged in Medicaid fraud.

6-66 (b) In an informal hearing held under this section, staff of  
 6-67 the commission's appeals division, assisted by staff responsible  
 6-68 for the commission's vendor drug program who have expertise in the  
 6-69 law governing pharmacies' participation in Medicaid, make the final

7-1 decision on whether the findings of an audit are accurate. Staff of  
7-2 the commission's office of inspector general may not serve on the  
7-3 panel that makes the decision on the accuracy of an audit.

7-4 (c) In order to increase transparency, the commission's  
7-5 office of inspector general shall, if the office has access to the  
7-6 information, provide to pharmacies that are subject to audit by the  
7-7 office or an entity that contracts with the federal government to  
7-8 audit Medicaid providers detailed information relating to the  
7-9 extrapolation methodology used as part of the audit and the methods  
7-10 used to determine whether the pharmacy has been overpaid under  
7-11 Medicaid.

7-12 SECTION 8. The following provisions are repealed:

7-13 (1) Section 531.1201(c), Government Code; and

7-14 (2) Section 32.0422(k), Human Resources Code, as  
7-15 amended by S.B. 219, Acts of the 84th Legislature, Regular Session,  
7-16 2015.

7-17 SECTION 9. Notwithstanding Section 531.004, Government  
7-18 Code, the Sunset Advisory Commission shall conduct a  
7-19 special-purpose review of the overall performance of the Health and  
7-20 Human Services Commission's office of inspector general. In  
7-21 conducting the review, the Sunset Advisory Commission shall  
7-22 particularly focus on the office's investigations and the  
7-23 effectiveness and efficiency of the office's processes, as part of  
7-24 the Sunset Advisory Commission's review of agencies for the 87th  
7-25 Legislature. The office is not abolished solely because the office  
7-26 is not explicitly continued following the review.

7-27 SECTION 10. The change in law made by this Act to Section  
7-28 531.102(a-1), Government Code, does not affect the entitlement of  
7-29 the person serving as inspector general for the Health and Human  
7-30 Services Commission immediately before the effective date of this  
7-31 Act to continue to serve as inspector general for the remainder of  
7-32 the person's term, unless otherwise removed. The change in law  
7-33 applies only to a person appointed as inspector general on or after  
7-34 the effective date of this Act.

7-35 SECTION 11. Section 531.102, Government Code, as amended by  
7-36 this Act, applies only to a complaint or allegation of Medicaid  
7-37 fraud or abuse received by the Health and Human Services Commission  
7-38 or the commission's office of inspector general on or after the  
7-39 effective date of this Act. A complaint or allegation received  
7-40 before the effective date of this Act is governed by the law as it  
7-41 existed when the complaint or allegation was received, and the  
7-42 former law is continued in effect for that purpose.

7-43 SECTION 12. Not later than March 1, 2016, the executive  
7-44 commissioner of the Health and Human Services Commission shall  
7-45 adopt rules necessary to implement the changes in law made by this  
7-46 Act to Section 531.102(g)(2), Government Code, regarding the  
7-47 circumstances in which a payment hold may be placed on claims for  
7-48 reimbursement submitted by a Medicaid provider.

7-49 SECTION 13. Sections 531.120 and 531.1201, Government Code,  
7-50 as amended by this Act, apply only to a proposed recoupment of an  
7-51 overpayment or debt of which a provider is notified on or after the  
7-52 effective date of this Act. A proposed recoupment of an overpayment  
7-53 or debt that a provider was notified of before the effective date of  
7-54 this Act is governed by the law as it existed when the provider was  
7-55 notified, and the former law is continued in effect for that  
7-56 purpose.

7-57 SECTION 14. Not later than March 1, 2016, the executive  
7-58 commissioner of the Health and Human Services Commission shall  
7-59 adopt rules necessary to implement Section 531.1203, Government  
7-60 Code, as added by this Act.

7-61 SECTION 15. If before implementing any provision of this  
7-62 Act a state agency determines that a waiver or authorization from a  
7-63 federal agency is necessary for implementation of that provision,  
7-64 the agency affected by the provision shall request the waiver or  
7-65 authorization and may delay implementing that provision until the  
7-66 waiver or authorization is granted.

7-67 SECTION 16. This Act takes effect September 1, 2015.

7-68

\* \* \* \* \*