

1-1 By: Campbell, et al. S.B. No. 531
 1-2 (In the Senate - Filed February 10, 2015; February 18, 2015,
 1-3 read first time and referred to Committee on State Affairs;
 1-4 May 18, 2015, reported adversely, with favorable Committee
 1-5 Substitute by the following vote: Yeas 6, Nays 2; May 18, 2015,
 1-6 sent to printer.)

1-7 COMMITTEE VOTE

	Yea	Nay	Absent	PNV
1-8				
1-9	X			
1-10		X		
1-11	X			
1-12	X			
1-13			X	
1-14	X			
1-15	X			
1-16	X			
1-17		X		

1-18 COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR S.B. No. 531 By: Creighton

1-19 A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
 1-20 AN ACT

1-21 relating to the application of foreign laws and foreign forum
 1-22 selection in a proceeding involving marriage, a suit for
 1-23 dissolution of a marriage, or a suit affecting the parent-child
 1-24 relationship in this state.

1-25 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

1-26 SECTION 1. Subtitle A, Title 1, Family Code, is amended by
 1-27 adding Chapter 1A to read as follows:

1-28 CHAPTER 1A. APPLICATION OF FOREIGN LAWS; SELECTION OF FOREIGN
 1-29 FORUM

1-30 Sec. 1A.001. DEFINITIONS; PUBLIC POLICY. (a) In this
 1-31 chapter:

1-32 (1) "Foreign court" means any court, tribunal,
 1-33 administrative adjudicator, or arbitrator outside of the states and
 1-34 territories of the United States.

1-35 (2) "Foreign judgment" means a judgment issued by a
 1-36 foreign court.

1-37 (3) "Foreign law" means a law, rule, or legal code of a
 1-38 jurisdiction outside of the states and territories of the United
 1-39 States.

1-40 (b) For purposes of this chapter, the application of a law
 1-41 is contrary to the public policy of this state if application of the
 1-42 law would:

1-43 (1) violate a fundamental right guaranteed by the
 1-44 United States Constitution;

1-45 (2) violate a fundamental right guaranteed by the
 1-46 constitution of this state;

1-47 (3) violate good morals or natural justice; or

1-48 (4) be prejudicial to the general interests of the
 1-49 citizens of this state.

1-50 Sec. 1A.002. PROHIBITION AGAINST ENFORCEMENT OF CERTAIN
 1-51 FOREIGN JUDGMENTS. A court of this state may not enforce a foreign
 1-52 judgment involving the marriage relationship if the court finds
 1-53 that the foreign court's application of foreign law to the dispute
 1-54 was contrary to the public policy of this state.

1-55 Sec. 1A.003. PROHIBITION AGAINST ENFORCEMENT OF CERTAIN
 1-56 ARBITRATION DECISIONS. A court of this state may not enforce an
 1-57 arbitration decision involving the marriage relationship if the
 1-58 court finds that the arbitrator's application of foreign law to the
 1-59 dispute was contrary to the public policy of this state.

1-60 Sec. 1A.004. CONFLICTS OF LAW. A court of this state may

2-1 not apply foreign law to a dispute involving the marriage
 2-2 relationship if the court finds that application of the foreign law
 2-3 to the dispute would be contrary to the public policy of this state.

2-4 Sec. 1A.005. CHOICE OF FOREIGN LAW OR FORUM IN CONTRACT.

2-5 (a) A contract provision involving the marriage relationship
 2-6 providing that a foreign law is to govern a dispute arising under
 2-7 the contract is void to the extent that the application of the
 2-8 foreign law to the dispute would be contrary to the public policy of
 2-9 this state.

2-10 (b) A contract provision involving the marriage
 2-11 relationship providing that the forum to resolve a dispute arising
 2-12 under the contract is located outside the states and territories of
 2-13 the United States is void if the foreign law that would be applied
 2-14 to the dispute in that forum would, as applied, be contrary to the
 2-15 public policy of this state.

2-16 Sec. 1A.006. FORUM NON CONVENIENS. A court of this state
 2-17 that has jurisdiction to adjudicate a suit affecting the marriage
 2-18 relationship may not decline jurisdiction because a foreign court
 2-19 is a more convenient forum if the foreign court would apply foreign
 2-20 law to the dispute that, as applied, would be contrary to the public
 2-21 policy of this state.

2-22 Sec. 1A.007. APPLICATION OF CHAPTER. This chapter does not
 2-23 apply to a corporation or other legal entity that contracts to
 2-24 subject the entity to foreign law.

2-25 SECTION 2. Subtitle A, Title 5, Family Code, is amended by
 2-26 adding Chapter 112 to read as follows:

2-27 CHAPTER 112. APPLICATION OF FOREIGN LAWS; SELECTION OF FOREIGN
 2-28 FORUM

2-29 Sec. 112.001. DEFINITIONS; PUBLIC POLICY. (a) In this
 2-30 chapter:

2-31 (1) "Foreign court" means any court, tribunal,
 2-32 administrative adjudicator, or arbitrator outside of the states and
 2-33 territories of the United States.

2-34 (2) "Foreign judgment" means a judgment issued by a
 2-35 foreign court.

2-36 (3) "Foreign law" means a law, rule, or legal code of a
 2-37 jurisdiction outside of the states and territories of the United
 2-38 States.

2-39 (b) For purposes of this chapter, the application of a law
 2-40 is contrary to the public policy of this state if application of the
 2-41 law would:

2-42 (1) violate a fundamental right guaranteed by the
 2-43 United States Constitution;

2-44 (2) violate a fundamental right guaranteed by the
 2-45 constitution of this state;

2-46 (3) violate good morals or natural justice; or

2-47 (4) be prejudicial to the general interests of the
 2-48 citizens of this state.

2-49 Sec. 112.002. PROHIBITION AGAINST ENFORCEMENT OF CERTAIN
 2-50 FOREIGN JUDGMENTS. A court of this state may not enforce a foreign
 2-51 judgment involving the parent-child relationship if the court finds
 2-52 that the foreign court's application of foreign law to the dispute
 2-53 was contrary to the public policy of this state.

2-54 Sec. 112.003. PROHIBITION AGAINST ENFORCEMENT OF CERTAIN
 2-55 ARBITRATION DECISIONS. A court of this state may not enforce an
 2-56 arbitration decision involving the parent-child relationship if
 2-57 the court finds that the arbitrator's application of foreign law to
 2-58 the dispute was contrary to the public policy of this state.

2-59 Sec. 112.004. CONFLICTS OF LAW. A court of this state may
 2-60 not apply foreign law to a dispute involving the parent-child
 2-61 relationship if the court finds that application of the foreign law
 2-62 to the dispute would be contrary to the public policy of this state.

2-63 Sec. 112.005. CHOICE OF FOREIGN LAW OR FORUM IN CONTRACT.

2-64 (a) A contract provision involving the parent-child relationship
 2-65 providing that a foreign law is to govern a dispute arising under
 2-66 the contract is void to the extent that the application of the
 2-67 foreign law to the dispute would be contrary to the public policy of
 2-68 this state.

2-69 (b) A contract provision involving the parent-child

3-1 relationship providing that the forum to resolve a dispute arising
3-2 under the contract is located outside the states and territories of
3-3 the United States is void if the foreign law that would be applied
3-4 to the dispute in that forum would, as applied, be contrary to the
3-5 public policy of this state.

3-6 Sec. 112.006. FORUM NON CONVENIENS. A court of this state
3-7 that has jurisdiction to adjudicate a suit affecting the
3-8 parent-child relationship may not decline jurisdiction because a
3-9 foreign court is a more convenient forum if the foreign court would
3-10 apply foreign law to the dispute that, as applied, would be contrary
3-11 to the public policy of this state.

3-12 Sec. 112.007. APPLICATION OF CHAPTER. This chapter does
3-13 not apply to a corporation or other legal entity that contracts to
3-14 subject the entity to foreign law.

3-15 SECTION 3. Sections 1A.005 and 112.005, Family Code, as
3-16 added by this Act, apply only to a contract entered into on or after
3-17 the effective date of this Act. A contract entered into before the
3-18 effective date of this Act is governed by the law in effect
3-19 immediately before that date, and that law is continued in effect
3-20 for that purpose.

3-21 SECTION 4. This Act takes effect September 1, 2015.

3-22

* * * * *