LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 17, 2015

TO: Honorable Charles Schwertner, Chair, Senate Committee on Health & Human Services

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB2053 by Farney (Relating to the protection of certain children who may be subject to child abuse or neglect through the operation of the child safety check alert list.), As Engrossed

Estimated Two-year Net Impact to General Revenue Related Funds for HB2053, As Engrossed: a negative impact of (\$3,189,026) through the biennium ending August 31, 2017.

General Revenue-Related Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Net Positive/(Negative) Impact to General Revenue Related Funds
2016	(\$2,093,167)
2017	(\$1,095,859)
2018	(\$1,095,859)
2019	(\$1,095,859)
2020	(\$1,095,859)

All Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Yea	Probable Savings/(Cost) from Fiscal Year General Revenue Fund 1		Change in Number of State Employees from FY 2015	
2016		(\$2,093,167)	11.0	
2017		(\$1,095,859)	11.0	
2018		(\$1,095,859)	11.0	
2019		(\$1,095,859)	11.0	
2020		(\$1,095,859)	11.0	

Fiscal Analysis

The bill would amend the Family Code to require the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS), during an investigation of a Priority 1 report of abuse or neglect, to notify the Department of Public Safety (DPS) that DFPS is unable to locate a child who is the subject of the Priority 1 report and and/or the child's family. The bill would require DPS to conduct an investigation to locate the child and/or the child's family using all available resources, including placing the child/family on the Child Safety Check Alert List (CSCAL).

This bill would delete the requirement that DFPS obtain a court order requiring DPS to place the child/family on the CSCAL. The bill also requires responding law enforcement to detain and take into temporary custody individuals in the officer's presence that are described in the alert list.

The bill would take effect on September 1, 2015

Methodology

It is assumed DPS would require additional resources to implement the provisions of the bill. It is also assumed such costs would be out of the General Revenue Fund 01.

It is assumed the bill would require an additional two Crime Analyst II FTEs for the Missing Persons Clearinghouse (in DPS' Intelligence and Counterterrorism Division). These positions would assist in locating a child and/or the child's family who is the subject of a Priority 1 report, upon request of DFPS. The salary and benefits costs total \$125,783 in each fiscal year.

It is assumed the bill would also require an additional three Customer Services Representative III FTEs for DPS' Law Enforcement Support Division. These positions would be responsible for the maintenance of the Child Safety Check Alert List. They would also be available to respond to information requests on a 24/7 basis, and would assist DFPS and other agencies on a 24/7 basis. The salary and benefits costs total \$150,005 in each fiscal year.

It is assumed the bill would require an additional Crimes Against Children Investigators for each of the agency's six regions. These Investigators would possess the skills required to locate missing children and/or their families. DPS has indicated that these positions would not be Texas Rangers or Special Agents in the Criminal Investigations Division. These Investigators would be the equivalent of Sergeants/Special Agents within DPS. The salary and benefits costs for these six Investigators total \$752,151 in each fiscal year.

It is also assumed the above positions would require a total of \$1,065,228 in fiscal year 2016 for certain one-time costs, including office furniture, training, vehicles, equipment, computers, phones, and background checks. Ongoing support is assumed to be \$67,920 in each fiscal year thereafter.

Technology

It is assumed the bill would not have a significant technology impact.

Local Government Impact

The bill would require responding law enforcement officers to detain and take into temporary custody individuals that are described in the alert list and notify the Department of Family and Protective Services of the detention. The fiscal impact to local law enforcement agencies would vary depending on the resources of the agency and the number of detentions.

Source Agencies: 405 Department of Public Safety, 530 Family and Protective Services,

Department of

LBB Staff: UP, NB, AI, JAW, ESi, KVe, SJ