# **SENATE AMENDMENTS**

# 2<sup>nd</sup> Printing

By: Clardy H.B. No. 1964

#### A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

1 AN ACT

- 2 relating to the definitions of "convention center facilities" and
- 3 "eligible central municipality" for purposes of the municipal hotel
- 4 occupancy tax.
- 5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
- 6 SECTION 1. Sections 351.001(2) and (7), Tax Code, are
- 7 amended to read as follows:
- 8 (2) "Convention center facilities" or "convention
- 9 center complex" means facilities that are primarily used to host
- 10 conventions and meetings. The term means civic centers, civic
- 11 center buildings, auditoriums, exhibition halls, and coliseums
- 12 that are owned by the municipality or other governmental entity or
- 13 that are managed in whole or part by the municipality. In a
- 14 municipality with a population of 1.5 million or more, "convention
- 15 center facilities" or "convention center complex" means civic
- 16 centers, civic center buildings, auditoriums, exhibition halls,
- 17 and coliseums that are owned by the municipality or other
- 18 governmental entity or that are managed in part by the
- 19 municipality, hotels owned by the municipality or a nonprofit
- 20 municipally sponsored local government corporation created under
- 21 Chapter 431, Transportation Code, within 1,000 feet of a convention
- 22 center owned by the municipality, or a historic hotel owned by the
- 23 municipality or a nonprofit municipally sponsored local government
- 24 corporation created under Chapter 431, Transportation Code, within

H.B. No. 1964

1 one mile of a convention center owned by the municipality. The term includes parking areas or facilities that are for the parking or 2 3 storage of conveyances and that are located at or in the vicinity of other convention center facilities. The term also includes a hotel 4 5 owned by or located on land that is owned by an eligible central municipality or by a nonprofit corporation acting on behalf of an 6 eligible central municipality and that is located within 1,000 feet 7 8 of a convention center facility owned by the municipality. The term also includes a hotel that is owned in part by an eligible central 9 municipality described by Subdivision (7)(C) and that is located 10 within 1,000 feet of a convention center facility. The term also 11 12 includes a hotel proposed to be constructed, remodeled, rehabilitated by a municipality or a nonprofit municipally 13 14 sponsored local government corporation created under Chapter 431, 15 Transportation Code, that is within 3,000 feet of the property line of a convention center owned by a municipality having a population 16 17 of more than 500,000 and that borders the United Mexican States.

- 18 (7) "Eligible central municipality" means:
- (A) a municipality with a population of more than 140,000 but less than 1.5 million that is located in a county with a population of one million or more and that has adopted a capital improvement plan for the expansion of an existing convention center
- 23 facility; [or]
- (B) a municipality with a population of 250,000
- 25 or more that:
- 26 (i) is located wholly or partly on a barrier
- 27 island that borders the Gulf of Mexico;

H.B. No. 1964

- 1 (ii) is located in a county with a
- 2 population of 300,000 or more; and
- 3 (iii) has adopted a capital improvement
- 4 plan to expand an existing convention center facility; or
- 5 (C) a municipality with a population of less than
- 6 50,000 that contains a general academic teaching institution that
- 7 <u>is not a component institution of a university system, as those</u>
- 8 terms are defined by Section 61.003, Education Code.
- 9 SECTION 2. This Act takes effect immediately if it receives
- 10 a vote of two-thirds of all the members elected to each house, as
- 11 provided by Section 39, Article III, Texas Constitution. If this
- 12 Act does not receive the vote necessary for immediate effect, this
- 13 Act takes effect September 1, 2015.

ADOPTED

MAY 1 5 2015

Societary of the Senate

By:	<u>H</u> .B.	No.	1964
Substitute the following forB. No:			
By: Zerlto	C.SB.	No.	

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED AN ACT 1 relating to certain convention center hotel projects. 2. BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS: 3 SECTION 1. Sections 351.001(2) and (7), Tax Code, 4 amended to read as follows: 5 (2) "Convention center facilities" or "convention 6 center complex" means facilities that are primarily used to host 7 8

conventions and meetings. The term means civic centers, civic center buildings, auditoriums, exhibition halls, and coliseums 9 that are owned by the municipality or other governmental entity or 10 that are managed in whole or part by the municipality. In a 11 municipality with a population of 1.5 million or more, "convention 12 center facilities" or "convention center complex" means civic 13 centers, civic center buildings, auditoriums, exhibition halls, 14 and coliseums that are owned by the municipality or other 15 governmental entity or that are managed in part by 16 municipality, hotels owned by the municipality or a nonprofit 17 municipally sponsored local government corporation created under 18 Chapter 431, Transportation Code, within 1,000 feet of a convention 19 center owned by the municipality, or a historic hotel owned by the 20 municipality or a nonprofit municipally sponsored local government 21 corporation created under Chapter 431, Transportation Code, within 22 one mile of a convention center owned by the municipality. The term 23 includes parking areas or facilities that are for the parking or 24

```
storage of conveyances and that are located at or in the vicinity of
 1
    other convention center facilities. The term also includes a hotel
 2
 3
    owned by or located on land that is owned by an eligible central
   municipality or by a nonprofit corporation acting on behalf of an
    eligible central municipality and that is located within 1,000 feet
    of a convention center facility owned by the municipality. The term
    also includes a hotel that is owned in part by an eligible central
 7
   municipality described by Subdivision (7)(D) and that is located
 8
   within 1,000 feet of a convention center facility. [The term also
 9
   includes a hotel proposed to be constructed, remodeled, or
10
11
   rehabilitated by a municipality or a nonprofit municipally
12
   sponsored local government corporation created under Chapter 431,
   Transportation Code, that is within 3,000 feet of the property line
13
   of a convention center owned by a municipality having a population
14
   of more than 500,000 and that borders the United Mexican States.
15
16
                    "Eligible central municipality" means:
17
                         a municipality with a population of more than
    140,000 but less than 1.5 million that is located in a county with a
18
   population of one million or more and that has adopted a capital
19
20
    improvement plan for the construction or expansion of a [an
21
   existing] convention center facility; [or]
22
                     (B) a municipality with a population of 250,000
23
   or more that:
                               is located wholly or partly on a barrier
24
                          (i)
25
   island that borders the Gulf of Mexico;
                          (ii) is located
                                              in
26
                                                              with
                                                  a
                                                      county
```

27

population of 300,000 or more; and

```
(iii) has adopted a capital improvement
1
   plan to expand an existing convention center facility:
2
                    (C) a municipality with a population of 116,000
3
4
   or more that:
                         (i) is located in two counties both of which
5
   have a population of 660,000 or more; and
6
                         (ii) has adopted a capital improvement plan
7
   for the construction or expansion of a convention center facility;
8
                    (D) a municipality with a population of less than
9
   50,000 that contains a general academic teaching institution that
10
    is not a component institution of a university system, as those
11
    terms are defined by Section 61.003, Education Code; or
12
                    (E) a municipality with a population of 640,000
13
    or more that:
14
                          (i) is located on an international border;
15
16
    and
                          (ii) has adopted a capital improvement plan
17
    for the construction or expansion of a convention center facility.
18
          SECTION 2. Section 351.102, Tax Code, is amended by
19
    amending Subsections (a) and (b) and adding Subsection (d) to read
20
    as follows:
21
               Subject to the limitations provided by this subchapter,
          (a)
22
    a municipality may pledge the revenue derived from the tax imposed
23
    under this chapter for the payment of bonds that are issued under
24
    Section 1504.002(a), Government Code, for one or more of the
25
    purposes provided by Section 351.101 or, in the case of a
26
    municipality of 1,500,000 or more [or a municipality having a
27
```

9 1 3 2.1 2.2 population of more than 500,000 and that borders the United Mexican States], for the payment of principal of or interest on bonds or other obligations of a municipally sponsored local government corporation created under Chapter 431, Transportation Code, that were issued to pay the cost of the acquisition and construction of a convention center hotel or the cost of acquisition, remodeling, or rehabilitation of a historic hotel structure; provided, however, such pledge may only be that portion of the tax collected at such hotel.

(b) An eligible central municipality, [ex] a municipality with a population of 173,000 or more that is located within two or

9 10 (b) An eligible central municipality, [or] a municipality with a population of 173,000 or more that is located within two or 11 12 more counties, a municipality with a population of 96,000 or more that is located in a county that borders Lake Palestine or contains 13 the headwaters of the San Gabriel River, or a municipality with a 14 population of at least 99,900 but not more than 111,000 that is 15 16 located in a county with a population of at least 135,000 may pledge the revenue derived from the tax imposed under this chapter from a 17 18 hotel project that is owned by or located on land owned by the municipality or, in an eligible central municipality, by a 19 nonprofit corporation acting on behalf of an eligible central 20 municipality, and that is located within 1,000 feet of a convention 21 center facility owned by the municipality for the payment of bonds 22 or other obligations issued or incurred to acquire, lease, 23 construct, and equip the hotel and any facilities ancillary to the 24 including convention center entertainment-related 25 hotel, facilities, meeting spaces, restaurants, shops, street and water 26 and sewer infrastructure necessary for the operation of the hotel 27

- 1 or ancillary facilities, and parking facilities within 1,000 feet
- 2 of the hotel or convention center facility. For bonds or other
- 3 obligations issued under this subsection, an eligible central
- 4 municipality or a municipality described by this subsection [with a
- 5 population of 173,000 or more that is located within two counties]
- 6 may only pledge revenue or other assets of the hotel project
- 7 benefiting from those bonds or other obligations.
- 8 (d) Except as provided by this subsection, an eligible
- 9 central municipality or another municipality described by
- 10 Subsection (b) that uses revenue derived from the tax imposed under
- 11 this chapter or funds received under Subsection (c) for a hotel
- 12 project described by Subsection (b) may not reduce the percentage
- 13 of revenue from the tax imposed under this chapter and allocated for
- a purpose described by Section 351.101(a)(3) to a percentage that
- 15 is less than the average percentage of that revenue allocated by the
- 16 municipality for that purpose during the 36-month period preceding
- 17 the date the municipality begins using the revenue or funds for the
- 18 hotel project. This subsection does not apply to an eligible
- 19 central municipality described by Section 351.001(7)(D).
- SECTION 3. Section 151.429(h), Tax Code, is amended to read
- 21 as follows:
- (h) [This subsection does not apply to a qualified hotel
- 23 project described by Section 2303.003(8)(B), Government Code.
- 24 Notwithstanding the other provisions of this section, the owner of
- 25 a qualified hotel project shall receive a rebate, refund, or
- 26 payment of 100 percent of the sales and use taxes paid or collected
- 27 by the qualified hotel project or businesses located in the

- qualified hotel project pursuant to this chapter and 100 percent of the hotel occupancy taxes paid by persons for the use or possession of or for the right to the use or possession of a room or space at 3 the qualified hotel project pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 4 156 during the first 10 years after such qualified hotel project is 5 open for initial occupancy. The comptroller shall deposit the 6 taxes in trust in a separate suspense account of the qualified hotel 7 project. A suspense account is outside the state treasury, and the comptroller may make a rebate, refund, or payment authorized by 9 this section without the necessity of an appropriation. 10 comptroller shall rebate, refund, or pay to each qualified hotel 11 project eligible taxable proceeds to which the project is entitled 12 under this section at least monthly. 13
- SECTION 4. Section 2303.003(8), Government Code, is amended to read as follows:
- 16 (8) "Qualified hotel project" means[+
- [(A)] a hotel proposed to be constructed by a municipality or a nonprofit municipally sponsored local government corporation created under the Texas Transportation Corporation Act, Chapter 431, Transportation Code, that is within 1,000 feet of a convention center owned by a municipality having a population of 1,500,000 or more, including shops, parking facilities, and any other facilities ancillary to the hotel[; and
- [(B) a hotel proposed to be constructed, remodeled, or rehabilitated by a municipality or a nonprofit municipally sponsored local government corporation created under the Texas Transportation Corporation Act, Chapter 431,

- 1 Transportation Code, that is within 3,000 feet of the property line
- 2 of a convention center owned by a municipality having a population
- 3 of more than 500,000 and that borders the United Mexican States].
- 4 SECTION 5. Section 2303.5055(b), Government Code, is
- 5 amended to read as follows:
- 6 (b) A municipality with a population of 1,500,000 or more
- 7 [or a municipality having a population of more than 500,000 and that
- 8 borders the United Mexican States] may agree to guarantee from
- 9 hotel occupancy taxes the bonds or other obligations of a
- 10 municipally sponsored local government corporation created under
- 11 the Texas Transportation Corporation Act, Chapter 431,
- 12 Transportation Code, that were issued or incurred to pay the cost of
- 13 construction, remodeling, or rehabilitation of a qualified hotel
- 14 project.
- SECTION 6. This Act takes effect immediately if it receives
- 16 a vote of two-thirds of all the members elected to each house, as
- 17 provided by Section 39, Article III, Texas Constitution. If this
- 18 Act does not receive the vote necessary for immediate effect, this
- 19 Act takes effect September 1, 2015.

# FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

# May 15, 2015

**TO:** Honorable Joe Straus, Speaker of the House, House of Representatives

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1964 by Clardy (Relating to certain convention center hotel projects.), As Passed 2nd

House

**Estimated Two-year Net Impact to General Revenue Related Funds** for HB1964, As Passed 2nd House: a negative impact of (\$170,000) through the biennium ending August 31, 2017.

# General Revenue-Related Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Year Probable Net Positive/(Negative) Impact to General Revenue Related Funds	
2016	\$0	
2017	(\$170,000)	
2018	(\$2,255,000)	
2019	(\$3,870,000)	
2020	(\$4,740,000)	

### All Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Revenue Gain/(Loss) from Fiscal Year  General Revenue Fund  1	
2016	\$0	
2017	(\$170,000)	
2018	(\$2,255,000) (\$3,870,000) (\$4,740,000)	
2019	(\$3,870,000)	
2020	(\$4,740,000)	

#### **Fiscal Analysis**

The bill would amend Section 351.001(2) of the Tax Code to redefine "convention center facilities" or "convention center complex" to include a hotel that is owned in part by an eligible central municipality described by Subdivision (7)(D) and that is located within 1,000 feet of a convention center facility.

The bill would amend Section 351.001(7)(A) to allow eligible central municipalities to adopt a capital improvement plan to also "construct", not just expand, a convention center facility.

The bill would amend Section 351.001(7) to add new Subsections (C)-(E) to expand the definition of an eligible central municipality to other municipalities. Subsection (C) would include a municipality with a population of 116,000 or more that is located in two counties both of which have a population off 660,000 or more, and that has adopted a capital improvement plan for the construction or expansion of a convention center facility; Subsection (D) would include a municipality with a population of less than 50,000 that contains a general academic teaching institution that is not a component institution of a university system, as those terms are defined by Section 61.003, Education Code; and Subsection (E) would include a municipality with a population of 640,000 or more that is located on an international border, and that has adopted a capital improvement plan for the construction or expansion of a convention center facility. The bill would amend Section 351.102 of the Tax Code, which authorizes a municipality to pledge municipal hotel occupancy tax revenue to repay bond debt related to hotel projects, by amending Subsections (a) and (b) and adding Subsection (d).

The bill would amend Subsection (a) to remove language including a municipality having a population of more than 500,000 and that borders the United Mexican States. The bill would also amend Subsection (b) to extend its application to 1) a municipality with a population of 173,000 or more that is located in more than two counties, 2) a municipality with a population of 96,000 or more that is located in a county that borders Lake Palestine, 3) a municipality with population of 96,000 or more that is located in a county that contains the headwaters of the San Gabriel River, and 4) a municipality with a population of at least 99,900 but not more than 111,000 that is located in a county with a population of at least 135,000.

The bill would also amend this Subsection to expand the facilities ancillary to the hotel for which hotel tax revenue may be pledged to include meeting spaces, street and water and sewer infrastructure necessary for the operation of the hotel or ancillary facilities.

The bill would also add Subsection (d), which would not apply to an eligible central municipality described by Section 351.001(7)(D), to provide that an eligible central municipality that uses revenue derived from the tax imposed under this chapter or funds received under Subsection (c) for a hotel project may not reduce the percentage of revenue from the tax to a percentage that is less than the average percentage of that revenue allocated by the municipality for that purpose during the 36-month period preceding the date the municipality begins using the revenue or funds for the hotel project.

The bill would amend Section 151.429 of the Tax Code, regarding tax refunds for enterprise projects, to eliminate language to disqualify a qualified hotel project described by Section 2303.003(8)(B) of the Government Code from receiving a 100 percent refund of state sales tax and hotel tax.

The bill would amend Chapter 2303 of the Government Code to redefine a "qualified hotel project" to mean only a hotel proposed to be constructed by a municipality or a nonprofit municipally sponsored local government corporation created under the Texas Transportation Corporation Act, Chapter 431, Transportation Code, that is within 1,000 feet of a convention center owned by a municipality having a population of 1,500,000 or more, including shops, parking facilities, and any other facilities ancillary to the hotel.

The bill would amend Section 2303.5055(b) of the Government Code, regarding the refund of tax proceeds to a qualified hotel project, to make conforming changes with regarding the definition of a qualified hotel project in Chapter 2303 Government Code.

# Methodology

The City of Frisco has begun planning for a resort convention center and hotel that would be a qualified hotel project; the planned hotel is not expected to be completed and operational before fiscal year 2018. Consequently, the municipality would not be entitled to receive state hotel tax and state sales tax revenue under Section 151.429(h), Tax Code, until sometime in fiscal year 2018.

The City of Nacogdoches has a prospective investor that is interested in purchasing and renovating the Fredonia hotel but is seeking additional funding beyond the investment that the interested buyer is prepared to make before agreeing to undertake the project. To address the investor's concerns and promote the project the city has agreed to use hotel occupancy taxes it would collect on the Fredonia to help renovate and operate the hotel.

The City of Tyler has acquired land and begun planning for a convention center and hotel that would be a qualified hotel project; the planned hotel would include 250 rooms and is not expected to be completed and operational before November, 2017 at the earliest. Consequently, the municipality would not be entitled to receive state hotel tax and state sales tax revenue under Section 151.429(h), Tax Code, until sometime in fiscal year 2018.

The City of Round Rock does not currently own land suitable for a qualified hotel project and is not actively planning for one. Consequently, that municipality would not be expected to be entitled to receive state hotel tax and state sales tax revenue before fiscal 2020.

The City of Odessa has purchased a site and expects to enter a development agreement regarding a downtown hotel and convention center later in 2015. The hotel would include 200 or more rooms and may be operational in 2017. Consequently, the owner of the qualified hotel project and the municipality would be entitled to receive state hotel tax and state sales tax revenue under Subsection 151.429(h), Tax Code, beginning late in fiscal 2017.

In fiscal year 2014, a total of \$11,308,406 in state revenue was allocated for qualified hotel projects. Currently the cities of Dallas, Fort Worth and San Antonio receive allocations of state sales and use tax and state hotel tax associated with qualified hotel projects.

This estimate is based on the planned or an assumed room size for the prospective convention center hotels, an assumed average nightly room rate and annual average occupancy rate, an incremental gain in room nights sold in the state, and the ratio of state sales tax to state hotel tax revenues paid to the owners of the extant qualified hotel projects.

## **Local Government Impact**

As eligible central municipalities Frisco, Nacogdoches, El Paso, Tyler, Round Rock, and Odessa could be entitled to state sales tax and state hotel tax associated with a qualified hotel project under Section 151.429(h) of the Tax Code via Section 351.102 of the Tax Code. Such funds must be deposited in a suspense account outside the state treasury to be paid to the owner of the qualified hotel project.

Source Agencies: 304 Comptroller of Public Accounts

LBB Staff: UP, CL, SD, AG

#### FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

# May 11, 2015

TO: Honorable Kevin Eltife, Chair, Senate Committee on Business & Commerce

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1964 by Clardy (Relating to certain convention center hotel projects.), Committee

Report 2nd House, Substituted

**Estimated Two-year Net Impact to General Revenue Related Funds** for HB1964, Committee Report 2nd House, Substituted: a negative impact of (\$170,000) through the biennium ending August 31, 2017.

#### **General Revenue-Related Funds, Five-Year Impact:**

Fiscal Year	Probable Net Positive/(Negative) Impact to General Revenue Related Funds
2016	\$0
2017	(\$170,000)
2018	(\$2,255,000)
2019	(\$3,870,000)
2020	(\$4,740,000)

#### All Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Revenue Gain/(Loss) from iscal Year General Revenue Fund	
2016	\$0	
2017	(\$170,000)	
2018	(\$170,000) (\$2,255,000)	
2019	(\$3,870,000)	
2020	(\$4,740,000)	

#### **Fiscal Analysis**

The bill would amend Section 351.001(2) of the Tax Code to redefine "convention center facilities" or "convention center complex" to include a hotel that is owned in part by an eligible central municipality described by Subdivision (7)(D) and that is located within 1,000 feet of a convention center facility.

The bill would amend Section 351.001(7)(A) to allow eligible central municipalities to adopt a

capital improvement plan to also "construct", not just expand, a convention center facility.

The bill would amend Section 351.001(7) to add new Subsections (C)-(E) to expand the definition of an eligible central municipality to other municipalities. Subsection (C) would include a municipality with a population of 116,000 or more that is located in two counties both of which have a population off 660,000 or more, and that has adopted a capital improvement plan for the construction or expansion of a convention center facility; Subsection (D) would include a municipality with a population of less than 50,000 that contains a general academic teaching institution that is not a component institution of a university system, as those terms are defined by Section 61.003, Education Code; and Subsection (E) would include a municipality with a population of 640,000 or more that is located on an international border, and that has adopted a capital improvement plan for the construction or expansion of a convention center facility. The bill would amend Section 351.102 of the Tax Code, which authorizes a municipality to pledge municipal hotel occupancy tax revenue to repay bond debt related to hotel projects, by amending Subsections (a) and (b) and adding Subsection (d).

The bill would amend Subsection (a) to remove language including a municipality having a population of more than 500,000 and that borders the United Mexican States. The bill would also amend Subsection (b) to extend its application to 1) a municipality with a population of 173,000 or more that is located in more than two counties, 2) a municipality with a population of 96,000 or more that is located in a county that borders Lake Palestine, 3) a municipality with population of 96,000 or more that is located in a county that contains the headwaters of the San Gabriel River, and 4) a municipality with a population of at least 99,900 but not more than 111,000 that is located in a county with a population of at least 135,000.

The bill would also amend this Subsection to expand the facilities ancillary to the hotel for which hotel tax revenue may be pledged to include meeting spaces, street and water and sewer infrastructure necessary for the operation of the hotel or ancillary facilities.

The bill would also add Subsection (d), which would not apply to an eligible central municipality described by Section 351.001(7)(D), to provide that an eligible central municipality that uses revenue derived from the tax imposed under this chapter or funds received under Subsection (c) for a hotel project may not reduce the percentage of revenue from the tax to a percentage that is less than the average percentage of that revenue allocated by the municipality for that purpose during the 36-month period preceding the date the municipality begins using the revenue or funds for the hotel project.

The bill would amend Section 151.429 of the Tax Code, regarding tax refunds for enterprise projects, to eliminate language to disqualify a qualified hotel project described by Section 2303.003(8)(B) of the Government Code from receiving a 100 percent refund of state sales tax and hotel tax.

The bill would amend Chapter 2303 of the Government Code to redefine a "qualified hotel project" to mean only a hotel proposed to be constructed by a municipality or a nonprofit municipally sponsored local government corporation created under the Texas Transportation Corporation Act, Chapter 431, Transportation Code, that is within 1,000 feet of a convention center owned by a municipality having a population of 1,500,000 or more, including shops, parking facilities, and any other facilities ancillary to the hotel.

The bill would amend Section 2303.5055(b) of the Government Code, regarding the refund of tax proceeds to a qualified hotel project, to make conforming changes with regarding the definition of a qualified hotel project in Chapter 2303 Government Code.

#### Methodology

The City of Frisco has begun planning for a resort convention center and hotel that would be a qualified hotel project; the planned hotel is not expected to be completed and operational before fiscal year 2018. Consequently, the municipality would not be entitled to receive state hotel tax and state sales tax revenue under Section 151.429(h), Tax Code, until sometime in fiscal year 2018.

The City of Nacogdoches has a prospective investor that is interested in purchasing and renovating the Fredonia hotel but is seeking additional funding beyond the investment that the interested buyer is prepared to make before agreeing to undertake the project. To address the investor's concerns and promote the project the city has agreed to use hotel occupancy taxes it would collect on the Fredonia to help renovate and operate the hotel.

The City of Tyler has acquired land and begun planning for a convention center and hotel that would be a qualified hotel project; the planned hotel would include 250 rooms and is not expected to be completed and operational before November, 2017 at the earliest. Consequently, the municipality would not be entitled to receive state hotel tax and state sales tax revenue under Section 151.429(h), Tax Code, until sometime in fiscal year 2018.

The City of Round Rock does not currently own land suitable for a qualified hotel project and is not actively planning for one. Consequently, that municipality would not be expected to be entitled to receive state hotel tax and state sales tax revenue before fiscal 2020.

The City of Odessa has purchased a site and expects to enter a development agreement regarding a downtown hotel and convention center later in 2015. The hotel would include 200 or more rooms and may be operational in 2017. Consequently, the owner of the qualified hotel project and the municipality would be entitled to receive state hotel tax and state sales tax revenue under Subsection 151.429(h), Tax Code, beginning late in fiscal 2017.

In fiscal year 2014, a total of \$11,308,406 in state revenue was allocated for qualified hotel projects. Currently the cities of Dallas, Fort Worth and San Antonio receive allocations of state sales and use tax and state hotel tax associated with qualified hotel projects.

This estimate is based on the planned or an assumed room size for the prospective convention center hotels, an assumed average nightly room rate and annual average occupancy rate, an incremental gain in room nights sold in the state, and the ratio of state sales tax to state hotel tax revenues paid to the owners of the extant qualified hotel projects.

#### **Local Government Impact**

As eligible central municipalities Frisco, Nacogdoches, El Paso, Tyler, Round Rock, and Odessa could be entitled to state sales tax and state hotel tax associated with a qualified hotel project under Section 151.429(h) of the Tax Code via Section 351.102 of the Tax Code. Such funds must be deposited in a suspense account outside the state treasury to be paid to the owner of the qualified hotel project.

Source Agencies: 304 Comptroller of Public Accounts

LBB Staff: UP, CL, SD, AG

## FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

#### May 4, 2015

**TO:** Honorable Kevin Eltife, Chair, Senate Committee on Business & Commerce

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1964 by Clardy (Relating to the definitions of "convention center facilities" and "eligible central municipality" for purposes of the municipal hotel occupancy tax.), As

**Engrossed** 

# No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend Chapter 351 of the Tax Code, regarding municipal hotel occupancy taxes, to expand the definition of an eligible central municipality authorized to levy this tax to include a municipality with a population of less than 50,000 that contains a general academic teaching institution that is not a component institution of a university system, as those terms are defined by Section 61.003, Education Code. The bill would amend Subsection 351.001(2) to revise the definition of convention center facilities or convention center complex to include a hotel that is owned in part by an eligible central municipality described by Subsection 351.001(7)(C), and that is located within 1,000 feet of a convention center facility.

According to the Comptroller's Office (CPA), under current law, the city of Nacogdoches can levy a municipal hotel occupancy tax at a rate of 7 percent. As the only city that would be affected by this bill's provisions, it would become an eligible central municipality for purposes of this tax. As an eligible central municipality Nacogdoches would not qualify under Section 351.003(b) of the Tax Code to levy the tax at a rate up to 9 percent. However, the city could be entitled to state sales tax and state hotel tax associated with a qualified hotel project under Section 151.429(h) of the Tax Code via Section 351.102(b) & (c) of the Tax Code. Such funds must be deposited in a suspense account outside the state treasury to be paid to the owner of the qualified hotel project. There could be an indeterminate loss to the state; however it is not anticipated significant.

CPA noted in fiscal 2014, a total of \$11,308,406 in state revenue was allocated for qualified hotel projects. Currently the cities of Dallas, Fort Worth and San Antonio receive allocations of state sales and use tax and state hotel tax associated with qualified hotel projects.

#### **Local Government Impact**

There could be an indeterminate gain to the city of Nacogdoches.

**Source Agencies:** 304 Comptroller of Public Accounts

LBB Staff: UP, CL, KK, SD, AG

# FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

## April 10, 2015

TO: Honorable Dennis Bonnen, Chair, House Committee on Ways & Means

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

**IN RE: HB1964** by Clardy (relating to the definitions of "convention center facilities" and "eligible central municipality" for purposes of the municipal hotel occupancy tax.),

**Committee Report 1st House, Substituted** 

# No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend Chapter 351 of the Tax Code, regarding municipal hotel occupancy taxes, to expand the definition of an eligible central municipality authorized to levy this tax to include a municipality with a population of less than 50,000 that contains a general academic teaching institution that is not a component institution of a university system, as those terms are defined by Section 61.003, Education Code. The bill would amend Subsection 351.001(2) to revise the definition of convention center facilities or convention center complex to include a hotel that is owned in part by an eligible central municipality described by Subsection 351.001(7)(C), and that is located within 1,000 feet of a convention center facility.

According to the Comptroller's Office (CPA), under current law, the city of Nacogdoches can levy a municipal hotel occupancy tax at a rate of 7 percent. As the only city that would be affected by this bill's provisions, it would become an eligible central municipality for purposes of this tax. As an eligible central municipality Nacogdoches would not qualify under Section 351.003(b) of the Tax Code to levy the tax at a rate up to 9 percent. However, the city could be entitled to state sales tax and state hotel tax associated with a qualified hotel project under Section 151.429(h) of the Tax Code via Section 351.102(b) & (c) of the Tax Code. Such funds must be deposited in a suspense account outside the state treasury to be paid to the owner of the qualified hotel project. There could be an indeterminate loss to the state; however it is not anticipated significant.

CPA noted in fiscal 2014, a total of \$11,308,406 in state revenue was allocated for qualified hotel projects. Currently the cities of Dallas, Fort Worth and San Antonio receive allocations of state sales and use tax and state hotel tax associated with qualified hotel projects.

#### **Local Government Impact**

There could be an indeterminate gain to the city of Nacogdoches.

Source Agencies: 304 Comptroller of Public Accounts

LBB Staff: UP, KK, SD, AG

### FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

#### March 23, 2015

TO: Honorable Dennis Bonnen, Chair, House Committee on Ways & Means

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1964 by Clardy (Relating to the definition of "eligible central municipality" for

purposes of the municipal hotel occupancy tax.), As Introduced

## No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend Chapter 351 of the Tax Code, regarding municipal hotel occupancy taxes, to expand the definition of an eligible central municipality authorized to levy this tax to include a municipality with a population of less than 50,000 that contains a general academic teaching institution that is not a component institution of a university system, as those terms are defined by Section 61.003, Education Code.

According to the Comptroller's Office (CPA), under current law, the city of Nacogdoches can levy a municipal hotel occupancy tax at a rate of 7 percent. As the only city that would be affected by this bill's provisions, it would become an eligible central municipality for purposes of this tax.

As an eligible central municipality Nacogdoches would not qualify under Section 351.003(b) of the Tax Code to levy the tax at a rate up to 9 percent. However, the city could be entitled to state sales tax and state hotel tax associated with a qualified hotel project under Section 151.429(h) of the Tax Code via Section 351.102(b) & (c) of the Tax Code. Such funds must be deposited in a suspense account outside the state treasury to be paid to the owner of the qualified hotel project. There could be an indeterminate loss to the state; however it is not anticipated significant.

CPA noted in fiscal 2014, a total of \$11,308,406 in state revenue was allocated for qualified hotel projects. Currently the cities of Dallas, Fort Worth and San Antonio receive allocations of state sales and use tax and state hotel tax associated with qualified hotel projects.

#### **Local Government Impact**

There could be an indeterminate gain to the city of Nacogdoches.

**Source Agencies:** 304 Comptroller of Public Accounts

LBB Staff: UP, KK, SD, AG