SENATE AMENDMENTS

2nd Printing

By: Anderson of Dallas H.B. No. 2404

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

1	AN ACT		
2	relating to certain security devices for residential tenancies.		
3	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:		
4	SECTION 1. Section 92.156, Property Code, is amended by		
5	amending Subsection (a) and adding Subsection (e) to read as		
6	follows:		
7	(a) Except as otherwise provided by Subsection (e), $a [A]$		
8	security device operated by a key, card, or combination shall be		
9	rekeyed by the landlord at the landlord's expense not later than the		
10	seventh day after each tenant turnover date.		
11	(e) If a tenant breaches a written lease and vacates the		
12	premises, the landlord may deduct from the tenant's security		
13	deposit the reasonable cost incurred by the landlord to rekey a		
14	security device as required by this section only if the lease		
15	includes a provision that is underlined or printed in boldface type		
16	authorizing the deduction.		
17	SECTION 2. Section 92.157(a), Property Code, is amended to		
18	read as follows:		
19	(a) At a tenant's request made at any time, a landlord, at		
20	the tenant's expense, shall install:		
21	(1) a keyed dead bolt on an exterior door if the door		
22	has:		
23	(A) a doorknob lock but not a keyed dead bolt; or		
24	(B) a keyless bolting device but not a keyed dead		

- 1 bolt or doorknob lock; and
- 2 (2) a sliding door <u>handle latch</u> [pin lock] or sliding
- 3 door security bar if the door is an exterior sliding glass door
- 4 without a sliding door handle latch [pin lock] or sliding door
- 5 security bar.
- 6 SECTION 3. Section 92.1641, Property Code, is amended to
- 7 read as follows:
- 8 Sec. 92.1641. LANDLORD'S DEFENSES RELATING TO INSTALLING OR
- 9 REKEYING CERTAIN SECURITY DEVICES. The landlord has a defense to
- 10 liability under Section 92.164 if:
- 11 (1) the tenant has not fully paid all rent then due
- 12 from the tenant on the date the tenant gives a request under
- 13 [Subsection (a) of] Section 92.157(c) [92.157] or the notice
- 14 required by Section 92.164; or
- 15 (2) on the date the tenant terminates the lease or
- 16 files suit the tenant has not fully paid costs requested by the
- 17 landlord and authorized by Section 92.162.
- SECTION 4. The changes in law made by this Act apply only to
- 19 a lease entered into or renewed on or after the effective date of
- 20 this Act. A lease entered into or renewed before the effective date
- 21 of this Act is governed by the law as it existed immediately before
- 22 the effective date of this Act, and that law is continued in effect
- 23 for that purpose.
- SECTION 5. This Act takes effect September 1, 2015.

ADOPTED

MAY 2 6 2015

Actay Daw
Secretary of the Senate

By:	B.	No
Substitute the following forB. No:		
By:	с.s. <u>Н</u> .в.	No. 240 J

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED 1 AN ACT relating to certain security devices for residential tenancies. 2 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS: 3 SECTION 1. Section 92.156, Property Code, is amended by 4 amending Subsection (a) and adding Subsection (e) to read as 6 follows: Except as otherwise provided by Subsection (e), a [A] 7 (a) security device operated by a key, card, or combination shall be 8 rekeyed by the landlord at the landlord's expense not later than the 9 seventh day after each tenant turnover date. 10 (e) If a tenant vacates the premises in breach of a written 11 lease, the landlord may deduct from the tenant's security deposit 12 the reasonable cost incurred by the landlord to rekey a security 13 device as required by this section only if the lease includes a 14 provision that is underlined or printed in boldface type 15 authorizing the deduction. 16 SECTION 2. Section 92.157(a), Property Code, is amended to 17 read as follows: 18 (a) At a tenant's request made at any time, a landlord, at 19 the tenant's expense, shall install: 20 (1) a keyed dead bolt on an exterior door if the door 21 has: 22 a doorknob lock but not a keyed dead bolt; or 23 (A) a keyless bolting device but not a keyed dead 24

- 1 bolt or doorknob lock; and
- 2 (2) a sliding door handle latch [pin lock] or sliding
- 3 door security bar if the door is an exterior sliding glass door
- 4 without a sliding door handle latch [pin lock] or sliding door
- 5 security bar.
- 6 SECTION 3. Section 92.1641, Property Code, is amended to
- 7 read as follows:
- 8 Sec. 92.1641. LANDLORD'S DEFENSES RELATING TO INSTALLING OR
- 9 REKEYING CERTAIN SECURITY DEVICES. The landlord has a defense to
- 10 liability under Section 92.164 if:
- 11 (1) the tenant has not fully paid all rent then due
- 12 from the tenant on the date the tenant gives a request under
- 13 [Subsection (a) of] Section 92.157(c) [92.157] or the notice
- 14 required by Section 92.164; or
- 15 (2) on the date the tenant terminates the lease or
- 16 files suit the tenant has not fully paid costs requested by the
- 17 landlord and authorized by Section 92.162.
- SECTION 4. The changes in law made by this Act apply only to
- 19 a lease entered into or renewed on or after the effective date of
- 20 this Act. A lease entered into or renewed before the effective date
- 21 of this Act is governed by the law as it existed immediately before
- 22 the effective date of this Act, and that law is continued in effect
- 23 for that purpose.
- SECTION 5. This Act takes effect September 1, 2015.

FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 27, 2015

TO: Honorable Joe Straus, Speaker of the House, House of Representatives

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB2404 by Anderson, Rodney (Relating to certain security devices for residential

tenancies.), As Passed 2nd House

No fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

Local Government Impact

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies:

FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 22, 2015

TO: Honorable Kevin Eltife, Chair, Senate Committee on Business & Commerce

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB2404 by Anderson, Rodney (Relating to certain security devices for residential

tenancies.), Committee Report 2nd House, Substituted

No fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

Local Government Impact

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies:

FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 18, 2015

TO: Honorable Kevin Eltife, Chair, Senate Committee on Business & Commerce

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB2404 by Anderson, Rodney (Relating to certain security devices for residential

tenancies.), As Engrossed

No fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

Local Government Impact

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies:

FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

April 27, 2015

TO: Honorable René Oliveira, Chair, House Committee on Business & Industry

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB2404 by Anderson, Rodney (Relating to certain security devices for residential

tenancies.), Committee Report 1st House, Substituted

No fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

Local Government Impact

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies:

FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

April 10, 2015

TO: Honorable René Oliveira, Chair, House Committee on Business & Industry

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB2404 by Anderson, Rodney (Relating to certain security devices for residential

tenancies.), As Introduced

No fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

Local Government Impact

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: