# SENATE AMENDMENTS <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ Printing 

By: Aycock, Meyer
H.B. No. 2804

```
A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
                                    AN ACT
relating to evaluation of public school performance and to student
physical fitness assessment.
    BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
    SECTION 1. The heading to Section 39.053, Education Code,
    is amended to read as follows:
    Sec. 39.053. PERFORMANCE INDICATORS: [STUDENT]
    ACHIEVEMENT.
    SECTION 2. Section 39.053, Education Code, is amended by
    amending Subsections (a), (b), (c), (f), (g), and (g-1) and adding
    Subsection (a-1) to read as follows:
    (a) The commissioner shall adopt a set of indicators of the
    quality of learning and [student] achievement. The commissioner
    biennially shall review the indicators for the consideration of
    appropriate revisions.
    (a-1) The indicators adopted by the commissioner under
    Subsection (a), including the indicators identified under
    Subsection (c), must measure and evaluate school districts and
    campuses with respect to:
    (1) improving student preparedness for success in:
        (A) subsequent grade levels; and
        (B) entering the workforce, the military, or
    postsecondary education;
    (2) reducing, with the goal of eliminating, student
```


## academic achievement differentials among students from different

 racial and ethnic groups and socioeconomic backgrounds; and(3) informing parents and the community regarding campus and district performance in the domains described by Subsection (c) and, for the domain described by Subsection (c) (5), in accordance with local priorities and preferences.
(b) Performance on the [student] achievement indicators adopted under Subsections (c)(1)-(4) [this section] shall be compared to state-established standards. The indicators must be based on information that is disaggregated by race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status.
(c) School districts and campuses must be evaluated based on five domains of indicators [Indicators] of [student] achievement adopted under this section that [must] include:
(1) in the first domain, the results of:
(A) assessment instruments required under Sections $39.023(a),(c)$, and (1), including the results of assessment instruments required for graduation retaken by a student, aggregated across grade levels by subject area, including:
(i) $[(A)]$ for the performance standard determined by the commissioner under Section 39.0241(a), ${ }^{[\text {- }}$
[(i)] the percentage of students who performed satisfactorily on the assessment instruments, aggregated across grade levels by subject area; and
(ii) [for students who did not perform satisfactorily, the pexcentage of students who met the standard fox annual improvement, as determined by the agency under section

```
39.034, on the assessment instruments, aggregated across grade
levelsby subject axea; and
```

    [(B)] for the college readiness performance
    standard as determined under Section $39.0241_{\text {I }}^{[\div}$
[(i)] the percentage of students who performed satisfactorily on the assessment instruments, aggregated across grade levels by subject area; and
(B) assessment instruments required under Section $39.023(\mathrm{~b})$, aggregated across grade levels by subject area, including the percentage of students who performed satisfactorily on the assessment instruments, as determined by the performance standard adopted by the agency, aggregated across grade levels by subject area;
(2) in the second domain:
(A) for assessment instruments under Subdivision (1) (A):
(i) for the performance standard determined by the commissioner under Section $39.0241(a)$, the percentage of students who met the standard for annual improvement on the assessment instruments, as determined by the commissioner by rule or by the method for measuring annual improvement under Section 39.034, aggregated across grade levels by subject area; and
(ii) for the college readiness performance standard as determined under Section 39.0241 , the percentage of students who met the standard for annual improvement on the assessment instruments, as determined by the commissioner by rule or by the method for measuring annual improvement under Section
39.034, aggregated across grade levels by subject area; and
(B) for assessment instruments under Subdivision
(1)(B), the percentage of students who met the standard for annual improvement on the assessment instruments, as determined by the commissioner by rule or by the method for measuring annual improvement under Section 39.034, aggregated across grade levels by subject area;
(3) in the third domain, the student academic achievement differentials among students from different racial and ethnic groups and socioeconomic backgrounds;
(4) in the fourth domain:
(A) for evaluating the performance of high school campuses and districts that include high school campuses:
(i) [(ii) for students who did not pexform satisfactorily, the pexcentage of students who met the standard fox annual improvement, as determined by the agency undex section 39.031, on the assessment instruments, aggregated across grade levels by subject axea;
[(2)] dropout rates, including dropout rates and district completion rates for grade levels 9 through 12, computed in accordance with standards and definitions adopted by the National Center for Education Statistics of the United States Department of Education;
(ii) [(3)] high school graduation rates, computed in accordance with standards and definitions adopted in compliance with the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (20 U.S.C. Section 6301 et seq.) ;
(iii) [(4)] the percentage of students who successfully completed the curriculum requirements for the distinguished level of achievement under the foundation high school program;
(iv) [(5)] the percentage of students who successfully completed the curriculum requirements for an endorsement under Section 28.025(c-1); [and]
(v) the percentage of students who completed a coherent sequence of career and technical courses;

## (vi) [(6) at least three additional

 indicators of student achievement to evaluate district and campus pexformance, which must include eithex:[(A)] the percentage of students who satisfy the Texas Success Initiative (TSI) college readiness benchmarks prescribed by the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board under Section 51.3062(f) on an assessment instrument in reading, writing, or mathematics designated by the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board under Section 51.3062(c); [ox]
(vii) [(B)] the percentage [numbex] of students who earn [:
[(i)] at least 12 hours of postsecondary credit required for the foundation high school program under Section 28.025 or to earn an endorsement under Section $28.025(c-1)$;
(viii) the percentage of students who have completed an advanced placement course;
(ix) the percentage of students who enlist in the armed forces of the United States; and
(x) the percentage of students who earn [(ii) at least 30 hours of postsecondary credit required for the foundation high school program under Section 28.025 or to earn an
endorsement under section $28.025(c-1)$;
[(iii) an associate's degree; ox
[(iv)] an industry certification;
(B) for evaluating the performance of middle and junior high school and elementary school campuses and districts that include those campuses:
(i) student attendance;
(ii) the percentage of elementary school students assigned for two consecutive school years to a teacher who has less than one year of teaching experience or who does not hold the appropriate certificate required under Section 21.003; and (iii) for middle and junior high school campuses, dropout rates, computed in the manner described by Paragraph (A) (i); or
(C) any additional indicators of student achievement not associated with performance on standardized assessment instruments determined appropriate for consideration by the commissioner in consultation with educators, parents, business and industry representatives, and employers; and
(5) in the fifth domain, three programs or specific categories of performance related to community and student engagement locally selected and evaluated as provided by Section 39.0546 .
(f) Annually, the commissioner shall define the state standard for the current school year for each [student] achievement indicator described by Subsections (c)(1)-(4) [Subsection (c)] and shall project the state standards for each indicator for the following two school years. The commissioner shall periodically raise the state standards for the college readiness [student] achievement indicator described by Subsection (c)(1)(A)(ii) [(C)(1)(B)(i)] for accreditation as necessary to reach the goals of achieving, by not later than the 2019-2020 school year:
(1) student performance in this state, disaggregated by race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status, that ranks nationally in the top 10 states in terms of college readiness; and
(2) student performance[ $\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol { r }}$ ] with no significant achievement gaps by race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status.
(g) In defining the required state standard for the dropout rate indicator described by Subsections (c)(4)(A)(i) and (B) (iii) [subsection (c)(2)], the commissioner may not consider as a dropout a student whose failure to attend school results from:
(1) the student's expulsion under Section 37.007; and
(2) as applicable:
(A) adjudication as having engaged in delinquent conduct or conduct indicating a need for supervision, as defined by Section 51.03, Family Code; or
(B) conviction of and sentencing for an offense under the Penal Code.
(g-1) In computing dropout and completion rates under Subsections (c)(4)(A)(i) and (B) (iii) [subsection (c)(2)], the commissioner shall exclude:
(1) students who are ordered by a court to attend a high school equivalency certificate program but who have not yet earned a high school equivalency certificate;
(2) students who were previously reported to the state as dropouts, including a student who is reported as a dropout, reenrolls, and drops out again, regardless of the number of times of reenrollment and dropping out;
(3) students in attendance who are not in membership for purposes of average daily attendance;
(4) students whose initial enrollment in a school in the United States in grades 7 through 12 was as unschooled refugees or asylees as defined by Section 39.027(a-1);
(5) students who are in the district exclusively as a function of having been detained at a county detention facility but are otherwise not students of the district in which the facility is located; and
(6) students who are incarcerated in state jails and federal penitentiaries as adults and as persons certified to stand trial as adults.

SECTION 3. Effective September 1, 2015, Subchapter C, Chapter 39, Education Code, is amended by adding Section 39.0535 to read as follows:

Sec. 39.0535. TEMPORARY PROVISION: ASSIGNMENT OF PERFORMANCE RATINGS. (a) Notwithstanding any other law, the commissioner shall assign each district and campus a performance rating not later than August 15 of each year.
(b) This section expires September 1, 2016.

SECTION 4. Effective September 1, 2016, Section 39.054(a), Education Code, is amended to read as follows:
(a) The commissioner shall adopt rules to evaluate school district and campus performance and assign each district and campus a performance rating $[\theta, B, C, D, O X]$. In adopting rules under this subsection, the commissioner shall determine the criteria for each [designated lettex] performance rating. [A district performance rating of $A, B$, or $C$ reflects acceptable performance and a district performance rating of $D$ or $F$ reflects unacceptable performance. The commissionex shall also assign each campus a pexformance rating of exemplaxy, recognized, acceptable, ox unaceptable. A campus pexformance xating of exemplayy, recognized, or acceptable reflects acceptable performance, and a eampus pexformance xating of unacceptable reflects unaceptable performance. A district may not receive a performance rating of $A$ if the district includes any campus with a performance rating of maceptable.] Not later than August 15 [8] of each year, the performance rating of each district and campus shall be made publicly available as provided by rules adopted under this subsection. If a district or campus received a performance rating that reflected unacceptable performance for the preceding school year, the commissioner shall notify the district of a subsequent such designation on or before June 15.

SECTION 5. Effective September 1, 2017, Section 39.054, Education Code, is amended by amending Subsections (a), (c), (e), and (f) and adding Subsections (a-1), (a-2), (a-3), and (a-4) to read as follows:
(a) The commissioner shall, after consulting with the performance rating work group described by Subsection (a-3), adopt rules to evaluate school district and campus performance and assign each district and campus an overall [z] performance rating of $A, B$,
 commissioner shall assign each district and campus a separate domain performance rating of $A, B, C, D$, or $F$ for each domain under Sections 39.053(c)(1)-(4). An overall or domain [In adopting fules under this subsection, the commissioner shall determine the exiteria for each designated letter performance rating. A district] performance rating of $A$ reflects exemplary performance. An overall or domain performance rating of $B$ reflects recognized performance. An overall or domain performance rating of $[, B, o x] C$ reflects acceptable performance. An overall or domain [ana a district] performance rating of $D$ or $F$ reflects unacceptable performance. [The commissionex shall also assign each campus a performance rating of exemplary, recognized, acceptable, ox unacceptable. A campus performance rating of exemplary, recognized, ox acceptable reflects acceptable pexformance, and a eampus pexformance rating of unacceptable reflects unacceptable performance.] A district may not receive an overall or domain [z] performance rating of $A$ if the district includes any campus with a corresponding overall or domain performance rating of $\underline{D}$ or $F$ [unceptable]. A reference in law to an acceptable rating or acceptable performance includes an overall or domain performance rating of $A, B$, or $C$ or exemplary, recognized, or acceptable performance.
(a-1) For purposes of assigning an overall performance rating under Subsection (a), the commissioner shall attribute 55 percent of the performance evaluation to the achievement indicators for the first, second, and third domains under Sections 39.053(c)(1)-(3), 35 percent of the performance evaluation to the achievement indicators for the fourth domain under Section 39.053(c)(4), and 10 percent of the performance evaluation to the locally selected and evaluated achievement indicators provided for under the fifth domain under Section 39.053(c)(5). The commissioner by rule shall adopt procedures to ensure that a repeated performance rating of $D$ or $F$ or unacceptable in one domain, particularly performance that is not significantly improving, is reflected in the overall performance rating of a district or campus and is not compensated for by a performance rating of $A, B$, or $C$ in another domain.
(a-2) Not later than August 15 [8] of each year, the performance ratings [fing] of each district and campus shall be made publicly available as provided by rules adopted under this section [subsection]. If a district or campus received an overall or domain [z] performance rating of $D$ or $F$ [that reflected unaceptable pexformance] for the preceding school year, the commissioner shall notify the district of a subsequent such designation on or before June 15.
(a-3) For the purpose of adopting rules under Subsection (a), the commissioner shall convene a performance rating work group comprised of individuals designated as follows:
(1) two members of a school district board of

## trustees, each of whom:

(A) is nominated by a statewide association that
serves school district board members; and
(B) serves on a separate school district board of trustees;
(2) two superintendents, each of whom is nominated by a statewide association that serves school superintendents;
(3) one elementary school principal who is nominated by a statewide association that serves elementary school principals;
(4) one secondary school principal who is nominated by a statewide association that serves secondary school principals;
(5) four classroom educators, with one each nominated by each of the four largest associations that serve educators in at least 20 counties in this state; and
(6) three parents designated by the commissioner, at least one of whom must be the parent of a student who was enrolled at a campus that has received the lowest performance rating in one or more of the three years preceding the designation of that parent by the commissioner.
(a-4) If an association described by Subsection (a-3) fails to nominate one or more individuals for a position on the performance rating work group, the commissioner may designate an individual who meets the appropriate qualifications to fill that position.
(c) In evaluating school district and campus performance on the [student] achievement indicators for student performance on assessment instruments [indicators] adopted under Sections 39.053(c)(1) and (2) and the dropout rate indicator adopted under Sections 39.053(c)(4)(A)(i) and (B) (iii), the commissioner shall define acceptable performance as meeting the state standard determined by the commissioner under Section 39.053(f) [39.053(e)] for the current school year based on:
(1) student performance in the current school year; or
(2) student performance as averaged over the current school year and the preceding two school years.
(e) Each annual performance review under this section shall include an analysis of the [student] achievement indicators adopted under Sections 39.053(c)(1)-(4) [Sction 39.053(c)] to determine school district and campus performance in relation to[
[(1)] standards established for each indicator [; and
[(2) required improvement as defined undex section $39.053(c)]$.
(f) In the computation of dropout rates under Sections 39.053(c)(4)(A)(i) and (B) (iii) [Section 39.053(c)(2)], a student who is released from a juvenile pre-adjudication secure detention facility or juvenile post-adjudication secure correctional facility and fails to enroll in school or a student who leaves a residential treatment center after receiving treatment for fewer than 85 days and fails to enroll in school may not be considered to have dropped out from the school district or campus serving the facility or center unless that district or campus is the one to which the student is regularly assigned. The agency may not limit an appeal relating to dropout computations under this subsection.

SECTION 6. Sections 39.0545(b), (c), and (d), Education Code, as added by Chapter 167 (S.B. 1538), Acts of the 83rd Legislature, Regular Session, 2013, are amended to read as follows:
(b) Notwithstanding Section 39.053(c)(4)(A)(i) [39.053(c)(2)], the commissioner shall use the alternative completion rate under this subsection to determine the dropout rate [student achievement indicator under Section 39.053(c)(4)(A)(i) [39.053(c)(2)] for a dropout recovery school. The alternative completion rate shall be the ratio of the total number of students who graduate, continue attending school into the next academic year, or receive a high school equivalency certificate to the total number of students in the longitudinal cohort of students.
(c) Notwithstanding Section 39.053(c)(4)(A)(i) [39.053(c)(2)], in determining the performance rating under Section 39.054 of a dropout recovery school, the commissioner shall include any student described by Section $39.053(g-1)$ who graduates or receives a high school equivalency certificate.
(d) Notwithstanding Section 39.053(c), for purposes of evaluating [fox] a dropout recovery school under the accountability procedures adopted by the commissioner to determine the performance rating of the school under Section 39.054:
(1)[r] only the best result from the primary administration or [and any retake of an assessment instrument administered to a student in the school year evaluated [under the accountability procedures adopted by the commissionex] may be considered; and
(2) only a student enrolled continuously for at least

[^0](B) submit to the agency the criteria the campus will use to evaluate campus performance and assign the campus a performance rating; and
(C) make the information described by Paragraphs (A) and (B) available on the Internet website of the campus.
(b) Based on the evaluation under this section, each school district shall assign the district and each campus shall assign the campus a performance rating of $A, B, C, D$, or $F$, for both overall performance and for each program or category evaluated. An overall or a program or category performance rating of $A$ reflects exemplary performance. An overall or a program or category performance rating of $B$ reflects recognized performance. An overall or a program or category performance rating of $C$ reflects acceptable performance. An overall or a program or category performance rating of $D$ or $F$ reflects unacceptable performance.
(c) On or before the date determined by the commissioner by rule, each school district and campus shall report each performance rating to the agency for the purpose of including the rating in evaluating school district and campus performance and assigning an overall rating under Section 39.054.

SECTION 8. Section 11.252(a), Education Code, is amended to read as follows:
(a) Each school district shall have a district improvement plan that is developed, evaluated, and revised annually, in accordance with district policy, by the superintendent with the assistance of the district-level committee established under Section 11.251. The purpose of the district improvement plan is to guide district and campus staff in the improvement of student performance for all student groups in order to attain state standards in respect to the [stuent] achievement indicators adopted under Sections 39.053(c)(1)-(4) [section 39.053]. The district improvement plan must include provisions for:
(1) a comprehensive needs assessment addressing district student performance on the [student] achievement indicators, and other appropriate measures of performance, that are disaggregated by all student groups served by the district, including categories of ethnicity, socioeconomic status, sex, and populations served by special programs, including students in special education programs under Subchapter A, Chapter 29;
(2) measurable district performance objectives for all appropriate [sutent achievement indicators for all student populations, including students in special education programs under Subchapter A, Chapter 29, and other measures of student performance that may be identified through the comprehensive needs assessment;
(3) strategies for improvement of student performance that include:
(A) instructional methods for addressing the needs of student groups not achieving their full potential;
(B) methods for addressing the needs of students for special programs, including:
(i) suicide prevention programs, in accordance with Subchapter $0-1$, Chapter 161, Health and Safety Code, which includes a parental or guardian notification procedure;
(ii) conflict resolution programs;
(iii) violence prevention programs; and
(iv) dyslexia treatment programs;
(C) dropout reduction;
(D) integration of technology in instructional and administrative programs;
(E) discipline management;
(F) staff development for professional staff of
the district;
(G) career education to assist students in developing the knowledge, skills, and competencies necessary for a broad range of career opportunities; and
(H) accelerated education;
(4) strategies for providing to middle school, junior high school, and high school students, those students' teachers and school counselors, and those students' parents information about:
(A) higher education admissions and financial aid opportunities;
(B) the TEXAS grant program and the Teach for Texas grant program established under Chapter 56;
(C) the need for students to make informed curriculum choices to be prepared for success beyond high school; and
(D) sources of information on higher education admissions and financial aid;
(5) resources needed to implement identified strategies;
(6) staff responsible for ensuring the accomplishment of each strategy;
(7) timelines for ongoing monitoring of the implementation of each improvement strategy;
(8) formative evaluation criteria for determining periodically whether strategies are resulting in intended improvement of student performance; and
(9) the policy under Section 38.0041 addressing sexual abuse and other maltreatment of children.

SECTION 9. Sections $11.253(c)$ and (d), Education Code, are amended to read as follows:
(c) Each school year, the principal of each school campus, with the assistance of the campus-level committee, shall develop, review, and revise the campus improvement plan for the purpose of improving student performance for all student populations, including students in special education programs under Subchapter A, Chapter 29, with respect to the [student] achievement indicators adopted under Sections 39.053(c)(1)-(4) [section 39.053] and any other appropriate performance measures for special needs populations.
(d) Each campus improvement plan must:
(1) assess the academic achievement for each student in the school using the [student] achievement indicator system as described by Section 39.053;
(2) set the campus performance objectives based on the [student] achievement indicator system, including objectives for special needs populations, including students in special education
programs under Subchapter A, Chapter 29;
(3) identify how the campus goals will be met for each student;
(4) determine the resources needed to implement the plan;
(5) identify staff needed to implement the plan;
(6) set timelines for reaching the goals;
(7) measure progress toward the performance objectives periodically to ensure that the plan is resulting in academic improvement;
(8) include goals and methods for violence prevention and intervention on campus;
(9) provide for a program to encourage parental involvement at the campus; and
(10) if the campus is an elementary, middle, or junior high school, set goals and objectives for the coordinated health program at the campus based on:
(A) student fitness assessment data, including any data from research-based assessments such as the school health index assessment and planning tool created by the federal Centers for Disease Control and Prevention;
(B) student academic performance data;
(C) student attendance rates;
(D) the percentage of students who are educationally disadvantaged;
(E) the use and success of any method to ensure that students participate in moderate to vigorous physical activity
as required by Section 28.002(1); and
(F) any other indicator recommended by the local school health advisory council.

SECTION 10. Section $12.1013(\mathrm{c})$, Education Code, is amended to read as follows:
(c) The report must include the performance of each public school in each class described by Subsection (b) as measured by the [student] achievement indicators adopted under sections 39.053(c)(1)-(4) [section 39.053] and student attrition rates.

SECTION 11. Section $29.062(a)$, Education Code, is amended to read as follows:
(a) The legislature recognizes that compliance with this subchapter is an imperative public necessity. Therefore, in accordance with the policy of the state, the agency shall evaluate the effectiveness of programs under this subchapter based on the [student] achievement indicators adopted under Sections 39.053(c)(1)-(4) [section 39.053], including the results of assessment instruments. The agency may combine evaluations under this section with federal accountability measures concerning students of limited English proficiency.

SECTION 12. Section 39.023(a-8), Education Code, as effective on or before September 1, 2015, is amended to read as follows:
(a-8) A school district or open-enrollment charter school may, for its own use in determining whether students are performing at a satisfactory level, administer to a student at the appropriate grade level, other than a student required to be assessed, an
assessment instrument developed for purposes of Subsection (a-4), (a-5), or (a-6). At the request of a district or open-enrollment charter school, the agency shall provide, allow for the administration of, and score each assessment instrument administered under this subsection in the same manner and at the same cost as for assessment instruments required to be administered under the applicable subsection. The results of an assessment instrument administered under this subsection may not be included as an indicator of [student] achievement under Section 39.053 or any other provision.

SECTION 13. Section 39.052(b), Education Code, is amended to read as follows:
(b) In determining the accreditation status of a school district, the commissioner:
(1) shall evaluate and consider:
(A) performance on [student] achievement indicators described by Section 39.053(c); and
(B) performance under the financial accountability rating system developed under Subchapter D; and
(2) may evaluate and consider:
(A) the district's compliance with statutory requirements and requirements imposed by rule of the commissioner or State Board of Education under specific statutory authority that relate to:
(i) reporting data through the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) or other reports required by state or federal law or court order;
(ii) the high school
graduation
requirements under Section 28.025 ; or
(iii) an item listed under Sections 7.056(e)(3)(C)-(I) that applies to the district;
(B) the effectiveness of the district's programs for special populations; and
(C) the effectiveness of the district's career and technology program.

SECTION 14. Section 39.055, Education Code, is amended to read as follows:

Sec. 39.055. STUDENT ORDERED BY A JUVENILE COURT OR STUDENT IN RESIDENTIAL FACILITY NOT CONSIDERED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PURPOSES. Notwithstanding any other provision of this code except to the extent otherwise provided under Section 39.054(f), for purposes of determining the performance of a school district, campus, or open-enrollment charter school under this chapter, a student ordered by a juvenile court into a residential program or facility operated by or under contract with the Texas Juvenile Justice Department, a juvenile board, or any other governmental entity or any student who is receiving treatment in a residential facility is not considered to be a student of the school district in which the program or facility is physically located or of an open-enrollment charter school, as applicable. The performance of such a student on an assessment instrument or other [student] achievement indicator adopted under Section 39.053 or reporting indicator adopted under Section 39.301 shall be determined, reported, and considered separately from the performance of students attending a school of the district in which the program or facility is physically located or an open-enrollment charter school, as applicable.

SECTION 15. Section 39.056(b), Education Code, is amended to read as follows:
(b) The commissioner shall determine the frequency of on-site investigations by the agency according to annual comprehensive analyses of student performance and equity in relation to the [student] achievement indicators adopted under Section 39.053.

SECTION 16. Section 39.102(a), Education Code, is amended to read as follows:
(a) If a school district does not satisfy the accreditation criteria under Section 39.052, the academic performance standards under Section 39.053 or 39.054 , or any financial accountability standard as determined by commissioner rule, the commissioner shall take any of the following actions to the extent the commissioner determines necessary:
(1) issue public notice of the deficiency to the board of trustees;
(2) order a hearing conducted by the board of trustees of the district for the purpose of notifying the public of the insufficient performance, the improvements in performance expected by the agency, and the interventions and sanctions that may be imposed under this section if the performance does not improve;
(3) order the preparation of a student achievement improvement plan that addresses each academic [student]
achievement indicator under Section 39.053(c) for which the district's performance is insufficient, the submission of the plan to the commissioner for approval, and implementation of the plan;
(4) order a hearing to be held before the commissioner or the commissioner's designee at which the president of the board of trustees of the district and the superintendent shall appear and explain the district's low performance, lack of improvement, and plans for improvement;
(5) arrange an on-site investigation of the district;
(6) appoint an agency monitor to participate in and report to the agency on the activities of the board of trustees or the superintendent;
(7) appoint a conservator to oversee the operations of the district;
(8) appoint a management team to direct the operations of the district in areas of insufficient performance or require the district to obtain certain services under a contract with another person;
(9) if a district has a current accreditation status of accredited-warned or accredited-probation, fails to satisfy any standard under Section $39.054(e)$, or fails to satisfy financial accountability standards as determined by commissioner rule, appoint a board of managers to exercise the powers and duties of the board of trustees;
(10) if for two consecutive school years, including the current school year, a district has received an accreditation status of accredited-warned or accredited-probation, has failed to
satisfy any standard under Section 39.054(e), or has failed to
satisfy financial accountability standards as determined by
commissioner rule, revoke the district's accreditation and:
(A) order closure of the district and annex the district to one or more adjoining districts under Section 13.054; or
(B) in the case of a home-rule school district or open-enrollment charter school, order closure of all programs operated under the district's or school's charter; or
(11) if a district has failed to satisfy any standard under Section 39.054(e) due to the district's dropout rates, impose sanctions designed to improve high school completion rates, including:
(A) ordering the development of a dropout prevention plan for approval by the commissioner;
(B) restructuring the district or appropriate school campuses to improve identification of and service to students who are at risk of dropping out of school, as defined by Section 29.081;
(C) ordering lower student-to-counselor ratios on school campuses with high dropout rates; and
(D) ordering the use of any other intervention strategy effective in reducing dropout rates, including mentor programs and flexible class scheduling.

SECTION 17. Section 39.263(a), Education Code, is amended to read as follows:
(a) The criteria that the commissioner shall use to select successful schools and districts must be related to the goals in Section 4.002 and must include consideration of performance on the [stent] achievement indicators adopted under Section 39.053(c) and consideration of the distinction designation criteria prescribed by or developed under Subchapter G.

SECTION 18. Section 39.301(b), Education Code, is amended to read as follows:
(b) Performance on the indicators adopted under this section shall be evaluated in the same manner provided for evaluation of the [student] achievement indicators under Sections 39.053(c)(1)-(4) [section 39.053(c)].

SECTION 19. Section 39.305(b), Education Code, is amended to read as follows:
(b) The report card shall include the following information:
(1) where applicable, the [student] achievement indicators described by Section 39.053(c) and the reporting indicators described by Sections 39.301(c)(1) through (5);
(2) average class size by grade level and subject;
(3) the administrative and instructional costs per student, computed in a manner consistent with Section 44.0071 ; and
(4) the district's instructional expenditures ratio and instructional employees ratio computed under Section 44.0071, and the statewide average of those ratios, as determined by the commissioner.

SECTION 20. Sections 39.332(b)(2) and (20), Education Code, are amended to read as follows:
(2) The report must contain an evaluation of the status of education in the state as reflected by:
(A) the [student] achievement indicators described by Section 39.053; and
(B) the reporting indicators described by Section 39.301.
(20) The report must contain a comparison of the performance of open-enrollment charter schools and school districts on the [student] achievement indicators described by Section 39.053(c), the reporting indicators described by Section 39.301(c), and the accountability measures adopted under Section 39.053(i), with a separately aggregated comparison of the performance of open-enrollment charter schools predominantly serving students at risk of dropping out of school, as described by Section 29.081(d), with the performance of school districts.

SECTION 21. Subchapter C, Chapter 38, and Sections 39.053(e) and 39.054(b), (d), and (d-1), Education Code, are repealed.

SECTION 22. Not later than December 1, 2016, the commissioner of education shall adopt the set of indicators to measure and evaluate school districts and campuses as required by Section 39.053, Education Code, as amended by this Act.

SECTION 23. Not later than January 1, 2017, the commissioner of education shall submit a report to the standing committees of the legislature having primary jurisdiction over primary and secondary education that provides for a preliminary evaluation of school districts and campuses under Section 39.054,

## Education Code. The report must include:

(1) the rating each school district and campus would have received for the first through fourth domains of indicators as provided by Sections 39.053(c)(1)-(4), Education Code, as amended by this Act, for the 2015-2016 school year if the indicators adopted by the commissioner of education under Section 39.053, Education Code, as amended by this Act, existed during the 2015-2016 school year;
(2) the correlation between each designated letter performance rating the school district or campus would have received and the percentage of students at each district and campus:
(A) qualifying for the free or reduced-price breakfast under the national school breakfast programs provided for by the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. Section 1773);
(B) that are students of limited English proficiency as defined by Section 29.052, Education Code; and
(C) disaggregated by race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status used to assign ratings in the system.

SECTION 24. (a) Except as provided by Subsections (b) and (c) of this section, this Act applies beginning with the 2017-2018 school year.
(b) Section 3 of this Act applies beginning with the 2015-2016 school year .
(c) Section 4 of this Act applies beginning with the 2016-2017 school year.

SECTION 25. Except as otherwise provided by this Act, this

1 Act takes effect immediately if it receives a vote of two-thirds of 2 all the members elected to each house, as provided by Section 39, 3 Article III, Texas Constitution. If this Act does not receive the 4 vote necessary for immediate effect, this Act takes effect 5 September 1, 2015.

## ADOPTED
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A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT
relating to evaluation of public school performance.
BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
SECTION 1. The heading to Section 39.053, Education Code, is amended to read as follows:

Sec. 39.053. PERFORMANCE INDICATORS: [STUDENT]
ACHIEVEMENT.
SECTION 2. Section 39.053, Education Code, is amended by amending Subsections (a), (b), (c), (f), (g), and (g-1) and adding Subsection (a-1) to read as follows:
(a) The commissioner shall adopt a set of indicators of the quality of learning and [unt] achievement. The commissioner biennially shall review the indicators for the consideration of appropriate revisions.
(a-1) The indicators adopted by the commissioner under Subsection (a), including the indicators identified under Subsection (c), must measure and evaluate school districts and campuses with respect to:
(1) improving student preparedness for success in:
(A) subsequent grade levels; and
(B) entering the workforce, the military, or postsecondary education;
(2) reducing, with the goal of eliminating, student academic achievement differentials among students from different
racial and ethnic groups and socioeconomic backgrounds; and
(3) informing parents and the community regarding campus and district performance in the domains described by Subsection (c) and, for the domain described by Subsection (c) (5), in accordance with local priorities and preferences.
(b) Performance on the [student achievement indicators adopted under Subsections (c)(1)-(4) [hs shall be compared to state-established standards. The indicators must be based on information that is disaggregated by race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status.
(c) School districts and campuses must be evaluated based on five domains of indicators [Indicors] of [sunt] achievement adopted under this section that [must] include:
(1) in the first domain, the results of:
(A) assessment instruments required under Sections $39.023(a),(c)$, and (1), including the results of assessment instruments required for graduation retaken by a student, aggregated across grade levels by subject area, including:
(i) $[(A)]$ for the performance standard determined by the commissioner under Section 39.0241(a) $[\div$
[(i)] the percentage of students who performed satisfactorily on the assessment instruments, aggregated across grade levels by subject area; and
(ii) [fox students whe did not pexform satisfactoxily, the percentage of students who met the standard fox annual improvement, as determined by the agency undex section 39.034, on the assescment instruments, aggregated across grade
levels by subject axea; and
[(B)] for the college readiness performance standard as determined under Section $39.0241_{1}[\div$
[(i)] the percentage of students who performed satisfactorily on the assessment instruments, aggregated across grade levels by subject area; and
(B) assessment instruments required under Section $39.023(b)$, aggregated across grade levels by subject area, including the percentage of students who performed satisfactorily on the assessment instruments, as determined by the performance standard adopted by the agency, aggregated across grade levels by subject area;
(2) in the second domain:
(A) for assessment instruments under subdivision
(1) (A):
(i) for the performance standard determined by the commissioner under section $39.0241(\mathrm{a})$, the percentage of students who met the standard for annual improvement on the assessment instruments, as determined by the commissioner by rule or by the method for measuring annual improvement under section 39.034, aggregated across grade levels by subject area; and
(ii) for the college readiness performance standard as determined under Section 39.0241, the percentage of students who met the standard for annual improvement on the assessment instruments, as determined by the commissioner by rule or by the method for measuring annual improvement under section 39.034, aggregated across grade levels by subject area; and (B) for assessment instruments under Subdivision (1)(B), the percentage of students who met the standard for annual improvement on the assessment instruments, as determined by the commissioner by rule or by the method for measuring annual improvement under Section 39.034, aggregated across grade levels by subject area;
(3) in the third domain, the student academic achievement differentials among students from different racial and ethnic groups and socioeconomic backgrounds;

## (4) in the fourth domain:

(A) for evaluating the performance of high school

```
campuses and districts that include high school campuses:
```

(i) [(ii) fox students who did not pexfoxm satisfactoxily, the pexcentage of students who met the standaxd fox annual improvement, as determined by the agency undex section 39.034, on the assessment instruments, aggregated across grade levels by subject axeat
[(2)] dropout rates, including dropout rates and district completion rates for grade levels 9 through 12, computed in accordance with standards and definitions adopted by the National Center for Education Statistics of the United States Department of Education;
(ii) $[(3)]$ high school graduation rates, computed in accordance with standards and definitions adopted in compliance with the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (20 U.S.C. Section 6301 et seq.) ;
(iii) [(4)] the percentage of students who
successfully completed the curriculum requirements for the distinguished level of achievement under the foundation high school program;
(iv) [(5)] the percentage of students who successfully completed the curriculum requirements for an endorsement under Section 28.025(c-1); [and]
(v) the percentage of students who
completed a coherent sequence of career and technical courses;
(vi) $[(6)$ at least three additional
indicatoxs of student achievement to evaluate district and campus performance, which must include eithex:
$[(A)]$ the percentage of students who satisfy the Texas Success Initiative (TSI) college readiness benchmarks prescribed by the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board under Section $51.3062(f)$ on an assessment instrument in reading, writing, or mathematics designated by the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board under Section $51.3062(c) ;$ [ $\operatorname{cx}$ ]
(vii) [(B)] the percentage [numbex] of students who earn[ $\div$
[(i)] at least 12 hours of postsecondary credit required for the foundation high school program under Section 28.025 or to earn an endorsement under section 28.025(c-1);
(viii) the percentage of students who have
completed an advanced placement course;
(ix) the percentage of students who enlist
in the armed forces of the United States; and
(x) the percentage of students who earn

```
[(ii) at least 30 hours of postsecondaxy credit required for the
foundation high school program undex section 28.025 or to carn an
endorsement under section 28.025(c-1);
    [fiii) an associate's-degrec;ox
    [(iv)] an industry certification;
    (B) for evaluating the performance of middle and
junior high school and elementary school campuses and districts
that include those campuses:
(i) student attendance; and
(ii) for middle and junior high school
campuses:
(a) dropout rates, computed in the
manner described by Paragraph (A)(i); and
(b) the percentage of students in
grades seven and eight who receive instruction in preparing for
high school, college, and a career that includes information
regarding the creation of a high school personal graduation plan
under Section 28.02121, the distinguished level of achievement
described by Section 28.025(b-15), each endorsement described by
Section 28.025(c-1), college readiness standards, and potential
career choices and the education needed to enter those careers; and
(C) any additional indicators of student
achievement not associated with performance on standardized
assessment instruments determined appropriate for consideration by
the commissioner in consultation with educators, parents, business
and industry representatives, and employers; and
    (5) in the fifth domain, three programs or specific
``` categories of performance related to community and student engagement locally selected and evaluated as provided by section 39.0546 .
(f) Annually, the commissioner shall define the state standard for the current school year for each [student] achievement indicator described by Subsections (c) (1)-(4) [subsection (c)] and shall project the state standards for each indicator for the following two school years. The commissioner shall periodically raise the state standards for the college readiness [student] achievement indicator described by Subsection (c)(1)(A)(ii) [(c)(1)(B)(i)] for accreditation as necessary to reach the goals of achieving, by not later than the 2019-2020 school year:
(1) student performance in this state, disaggregated by race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status, that ranks nationally in the top 10 states in terms of college readiness; and
(2) student performance[т] with no significant achievement gaps by race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status.
(g) In defining the required state standard for the dropout rate indicator described by Subsections (c)(4)(A)(i) and (B) (ii) (a) [subsection (c)(2)], the commissioner may not consider as a dropout a student whose failure to attend school results from:
(1) the student's expulsion under Section 37.007 ; and
(2) as applicable:
(A) adjudication as having engaged in delinquent conduct or conduct indicating a need for supervision, as defined by Section 51.03, Family Code; or
(B) conviction of and sentencing for an offense
under the Penal Code.
(g-1) In computing dropout and completion rates under Subsections (c) (4) (A) (i) and (B) (ii) (a) [subsection (c)(2)], the commissioner shall exclude:
(1) students who are ordered by a court to attend a high school equivalency certificate program but who have not yet earned a high school equivalency certificate;
(2) students who were previously reported to the state as dropouts, including a student who is reported as a dropout, reenrolls, and drops out again, regardless of the number of times of reenrollment and dropping out;
(3) students in attendance who are not in membership for purposes of average daily attendance;
(4) students whose initial enrollment in a school in the United States in grades 7 through 12 was as unschooled refugees or asylees as defined by Section 39.027(a-1);
(5) students who are in the district exclusively as a function of having been detained at a county detention facility but are otherwise not students of the district in which the facility is located; and
(6) students who are incarcerated in state jails and federal penitentiaries as adults and as persons certified to stand trial as adults.

SECTION 3. Effective September 1, 2015, Subchapter C, Chapter 39, Education Code, is amended by adding Section 39.0535 to read as follows:

Sec. 39.0535. TEMPORARY PROVISION: ASSIGNMENT OF

PERFORMANCE RATINGS. (a) Notwithstanding any other law, the commissioner shall assign each district and campus a performance rating not later than August 15 of each year.
(b) This section expires September 1, 2016.

SECTION 4. Effective September 1, 2016, Section 39.054(a), Education Code, is amended to read as follows:
(a) The commissioner shall adopt rules to evaluate school district and campus performance and assign each district and campus a performance rating \([\theta \neq A, B, C, D, o x[1\). In adopting rules under this subsection, the commissioner shall determine the criteria for each [aesignated Iettex] performance rating. [A district pexformance rating of \(A, B\), or \(C\) reflects aceeptable pexformance and a distxict pexfoxmance rating of D-ox r reflects unacceptable pexformance. The commissionex shall also assign each campus a pexformance rating of exemplaxy, recognizec, acceptable, ox unacceptable. A campus pexfoxmance rating of exemplaryt recognized, or acceptable reflects acceptable pexfoxmance, and a campus performance rating of unaceeptable reflects unacceptable рещfoxmance. A district may not receive a pexfoxmancerating of \(A\) if the district includes any campus with a performance rating of unaceptable] Not later than August 15 [8] of each year, the performance rating of each district and campus shall be made publicly available as provided by rules adopted under this subsection. If a district or campus received a performance rating that reflected unacceptable performance for the preceding school Year, the commissioner shall notify the district of a subsequent such designation on or before June 15.

2 Education Code, is amended by amending Subsections (a), (c), (e), 3 and (f) and adding Subsections \((a-1),(a-2)\), and \((a-3)\) to read as 4 follows:

6 district and campus performance and assign each district and campus 7 an overall [ \(\ddagger\) ] performance rating of \(A, B, C, D\), or \(F\). In addition to 8 the overall performance rating, the commissioner shall assign each district and campus a separate domain performance rating of \(A, B, C\), D, or F for each domain under Sections 39.053(c)(1)-(4). An overall or domain [In adopting rules undex this subsection, the commissionex shall detexmine the cxitexia for each designated lettex pexformance rating. A district] performance rating of \(A\) reflects exemplary performance. An overall or domain performance rating of \(B\) reflects recognized performance. An overall or domain performance rating of \([, B, \quad\) ox \(]\) C reflects acceptable performance. An overall or domain [and adistrict] performance rating of \(D\) or \(F\) reflects unacceptable performance. [The commissionex shall also assign each campus a pexfoxmance xating of exemplaxy, recognized, acceptable, ox unaceptable. A campus pexformance rating of exemplaxy, recognized, ox acceptable xeflects acceptable pexformance, and a campus performance rating of unaceeptable reflects unaceeptable pexformance-] A district may not receive an overall or domain [z] performance rating of \(A\) if the district includes any campus with a corresponding overall or domain performance rating of \(D\) or \(F\) [macceptable]. Areference in law to an acceptable rating or acceptable performance includes an overall
```

or domain performance rating of A, B, or C or exemplary, recognized,
or acceptable performance.
(a-1) For purposes of assigning an overall performance
rating under Subsection (a), the commissioner shall attribute:
(1) }55\mathrm{ percent of the performance evaluation to the
achievement indicators for the first, second, and third domains
under Sections 39.053(c)(1)-(3);
(2) for middle and junior high school and elementary
campuses and districts that include only those campuses, 35 percent
of the performance evaluation to the applicable achievement
indicators for the fourth domain under Section 39.053(c)(4);
(3) for high school campuses and districts that
include those campuses:
(A) 20 percent of the performance evaluation to
the high school graduation rate achievement indicator described by
Section 39.053(c)(4)(A)(ii); and
(B) }15\mathrm{ percent to the remaining applicable
achievement indicators for the fourth domain under section
39.053(c) (4); and
(4) }10\mathrm{ percent of the performance evaluation to the
locally selected and evaluated achievement indicators provided for
under the fifth domain under Section 39.053(c)(5).
(a-2) The commissioner by rule shall adopt procedures to
ensure that a repeated performance rating of D or F or unacceptable
in one domain, particularly performance that is not significantly
improving, is reflected in the overall performance rating of a
district or campus and is not compensated for by a performance

```
rating of \(A, B\), or \(C\) in another domain.
(a-3) Not later than August 15 [8] of each year, the performance ratings [rating] of each district and campus shall be made publicly available as provided by rules adopted under this section [susection]. If a district or campus received an overall or domain \([\exists]\) performance rating of \(D\) or \(F\) [that reflectea tnacceptable pexformance] for the preceding school year, the commissioner shall notify the district of a subsequent such designation on or before June 15.
(c) In evaluating school district and campus performance on the [stuent] achievement indicators for student performance on assessment instruments [indicators] adopted under sections 39.053(c)(1) and (2) and the dropout rate indicator adopted under Sections 39.053(c)(4)(A)(i) and (B) (ii)(a), the commissioner shall define acceptable performance as meeting the state standard determined by the commissioner under Section 39.053(f) [39.053(e)] for the current school year based on:
(1) student performance in the current school year; or
(2) student performance as averaged over the current school year and the preceding two school years.
(e) Each annual performance review under this section shall include an analysis of the [student] achievement indicators adopted under Sections 39.053(c)(1)-(4) [Section 39.053(c)] to determine school district and campus performance in relation to [:
[(1)] standards established for each indicator [, and
[(2) required improvement as defined under section \(39.053(c)]\).
(f) In the computation of dropout rates under Sections \(39.053(\mathrm{c})(4)(\mathrm{A})(\mathrm{i})\) and (B)(ii)(a) [section 39.053(c)(2)], a student who is released from a juvenile pre-adjudication secure detention facility or juvenile post-adjudication secure correctional facility and fails to enroll in school or a student who leaves a residential treatment center after receiving treatment for fewer than 85 days and fails to enroll in school may not be considered to have dropped out from the school district or campus serving the facility or center unless that district or campus is the one to which the student is regularly assigned. The agency may not limit an appeal relating to dropout computations under this subsection.

SECTION 6. Sections 39.0545(b), (c), and (d), Education Code, as added by Chapter 167 (S.B. 1538), Acts of the 83 rd Legislature, Regular Session, 2013, are amended to read as follows:
(b) Notwithstanding Section 39.053(c)(4)(A)(i) [39.053(c)(2)], the commissioner shall use the alternative completion rate under this subsection to determine the dropout rate [student achievemen indicator under Section 39.053(c)(4)(A)(i) [39.053(c)(2)] for a dropout recovery school. The alternative completion rate shall be the ratio of the total number of students who graduate, continue attending school into the next academic year, or receive a high school equivalency certificate to the total number of students in the longitudinal cohort of students.
(c) Notwithstanding Section 39.053(c)(4)(A)(i) [39.053(c)(2)], in determining the performance rating under Section 39.054 of a dropout recovery school, the commissioner shall
include any student described by Section 39.053(g-1) who graduates or receives a high school equivalency certificate.
(d) Notwithstanding Section 39.053(c), for purposes of evaluating [fox] a dropout recovery school under the accountability procedures adopted by the commissioner to determine the performance rating of the school under Section 39.054:
(1) \([\boldsymbol{T}]\) only the best result from the primary administration or [an any retake of an assessment instrument administered to a student in the school year evaluated [ the zeountaility produres apted by the commissionex] may be consideredi and
(2) only a student enrolled continuously for at least 90 days during the school year evaluated may be considered [in determining the performance rating of the school undex section 39.054].

SECTION 7. Subchapter \(C\), Chapter 39, Education Code, is amended by adding Section 39.0546 to read as follows:

Sec. 39.0546. PERFORMANCE IN COMMUNITY AND STUDENT ENGAGEMENT AS COMPONENT OF OVERALL DISTRICT AND CAMPUS RATING. (a) For purposes of including the local evaluation of districts and campuses under Section 39.053 (c) (5) and assigning an overall rating under Section 39.054, before the beginning of each school year:
(1) each school district shall:
(A) select and report to the agency three programs or categories under section 39.0545(b)(1), as added by Chapter 211 (H.B. 5), Acts of the 83rd Legislature, Regular Session, 2013, under which the district will evaluate district
```

performance;
(B) submit to the agency the criteria the
district will use to evaluate district performance and assign the
district a performance rating; and
(C) make the information described by Paragraphs
(A) and (B) available on the district's Internet website; and
(2) each campus shall:
(A) select and report to the agency three
programs or categories under Section 39.0545(b)(1), as added by
Chapter 211 (H.B. 5), Acts of the 83rd Legislature, Regular
Session, 2013, under which the campus will evaluate campus
performance;
(B) submit to the agency the criteria the campus
will use to evaluate campus performance and assign the campus a
performance rating; and
(C) make the information described by Paragraphs
(A) and (B) available on the Internet website of the campus.
(b) Based on the evaluation under this section, each school
district shall assign the district and each campus shall assign the
campus a performance rating of A, B, C, D, or F, for both overall
performance and for each program or category evaluated. An overall
or a program or category performance rating of A reflects exemplary
performance. An overall or a program or category performance rating
of B reflects recognized performance. An overall or a program or
category performance rating of C reflects acceptable performance.
An overall or a program or category performance rating of D or F
reflects unacceptable performance.

```
```

    (c) On or before the date determined by the commissioner by
    rule, each school district and campus shall report each performance
rating to the agency for the purpose of including the rating in
evaluating school district and campus performance and assigning an
overall rating under Section 39.054.
SECTION 8. Chapter 39, Education Code, is amended by adding
Subchapter N to read as follows:
SUBCHAPTER N. TEXAS COMMISSION ON NEXT GENERATION ASSESSMENTS AND
ACCOUNTABILITY
Sec. 39.501. DEFINITION. In this subchapter, "commission"
means the Texas Commission on Next Generation Assessments and
Accountability.
Sec. 39.502. TEXAS COMMISSION ON NEXT GENERATION
ASSESSMENTS AND ACCOUNTABILITY. (a) The Texas Commission on Next
Generation Assessments and Accountability is established to
develop and make recommendations for new systems of student
assessment and public school accountability.
(b) The commission is composed of }15\mathrm{ members, consisting of
the following:
(1) four members appointed by the governor;
(2) three members appointed by the lieutenant
governor;
(3) three members appointed by the speaker of the
house of representatives;
(4) the chair of the senate committee on education, or
a representative designated by the chair;
(5) the chair of the senate committee on higher

```
```

education, or a representative designated by the chair;
(6) the chair of the house of representatives
committee on public education, or a representative designated by
the chair;
(7) the chair of the house of representatives
committee on higher education, or a representative designated by
the chair; and
(8) a member of the State Board of Education, as
designated by the chair of that board.
(c) In making appointments under Subsections (b)(1), (2),
and (3), the governor, lieutenant governor, and speaker of the
house of representatives shall coordinate to ensure that the
commission includes at least one of each of the following
representatives:
(1) a parent or person standing in parental relation
to a student enrolled in the public school system;
(2) an educator in the public school system;
(3) an educator in a school district that is a
participant in the Texas High Performance Schools Consortium under
Section 7.0561;
(4) a member of the business community;
(5) a member of the civic community;
(6) a leader in student assessment development and
use; and
(7) a leader in research concerning student assessment
and education outcomes.
Sec. 39.503. PRESIDING OFFICER. The governor shall

```
```

designate the presiding officer of the commission.
Sec. 39.504. COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT. A member of
the commission is not entitled to compensation for service on the
commission but is entitled to reimbursement for actual and
necessary expenses incurred in performing commission duties.
Sec. 39.505. ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT AND FUNDING.
(a) Staff members of the agency shall provide administrative
support for the commission.
(b) Funding for the administrative and operational expenses
of the commission shall be provided by appropriation to the agency
for that purpose.
Sec. 39.506. RECOMMENDATIONS. The commission shall develop
recommendations under this subchapter to address:
(1) the purpose of a state accountability system and
the role of student assessment in that system;
(2) opportunities to assess students that:
(A) provide actionable information for a parent
or person standing in parental relation to a student, an educator,
and the public;
(B) support learning activities;
(C) recognize application of skills and
knowledge;
(D) measure student educational growth toward
mastery; and
(E) value critical thinking;
(3) alignment of state performance standards with
college and career readiness requirements in collaboration with the

```
1 Texas Workforce Commission and Texas Higher Education Coordinating
2 Board;
3 (4) policy changes necessary to enable a student to
4 progress through subject matter and grade levels on demonstration
5 of mastery; and
6

SECTION 9. Section 11.252(a), Education Code, is amended to read as follows:
(a) Each school district shall have a district improvement plan that is developed, evaluated, and revised annually, in accordance with district policy, by the superintendent with the assistance of the district-level committee established under Section 11.251. The purpose of the district improvement plan is to guide district and campus staff in the improvement of student performance for all student groups in order to attain state standards in respect to the [stent] achievement indicators adopted under Sections 39.053(c)(1)-(4) [section 39.053]. The district improvement plan must include provisions for:
(1) a comprehensive needs assessment addressing district student performance on the [udent] achievement indicators, and other appropriate measures of performance, that are disaggregated by all student groups served by the district, including categories of ethnicity, socioeconomic status, sex, and populations served by special programs, including students in special education programs under Subchapter A, Chapter 29;
(2) measurable district performance objectives for all appropriate [student] achievement indicators for all student populations, including students in special education programs under Subchapter A, Chapter 29, and other measures of student performance that may be identified through the comprehensive needs assessment;
(3) strategies for improvement of student performance that include:
(A) instructional methods for addressing the needs of student groups not achieving their full potential;
(B) methods for addressing the needs of students for special programs, including:
(i) suicide prevention programs, in accordance with Subchapter 0-1, Chapter 161, Health and Safety Code, which includes a parental or guardian notification procedure;
(ii) conflict resolution programs;
(iii) violence prevention programs; and
(iv) dyslexia treatment programs;
(C) dropout reduction;
(D) integration of technology in instructional and administrative programs;
(E) discipline management;
(F) staff development for professional staff of
the district;
(G) career education to assist students in developing the knowledge, skills, and competencies necessary for a broad range of career opportunities; and
(H) accelerated education;
(4) strategies for providing to middle school, junior high school, and high school students, those students' teachers and school counselors, and those students' parents information about:
(A) higher education admissions and financial aid opportunities;
(B) the TEXAS grant program and the Teach for

Texas grant program established under Chapter 56;
(C) the need for students to make informed curriculum choices to be prepared for success beyond high school; and
(D) sources of information on higher education admissions and financial aid;
(5) resources needed to implement identified strategies;
(6) staff responsible for ensuring the accomplishment of each strategy;
(7) timelines for ongoing monitoring of the implementation of each improvement strategy;
(8) formative evaluation criteria for determining periodically whether strategies are resulting in intended improvement of student performance; and
(9) the policy under Section 38.0041 addressing sexual abuse and other maltreatment of children.

SECTION 10. Sections 11.253(c) and (d), Education Code, are amended to read as follows:
(c) Each school year, the principal of each school campus, with the assistance of the campus-level committee, shall develop, review, and revise the campus improvement plan for the purpose of improving student performance for all student populations, including students in special education programs under Subchapter A, Chapter 29, with respect to the [student] achievement indicators adopted under Sections 39.053(c)(1)-(4) [section 39.053] and any other appropriate performance measures for special needs populations.
(d) Each campus improvement plan must:
(1) assess the academic achievement for each student in the school using the [student] achievement indicator system as described by Section 39.053;
(2) set the campus performance objectives based on the [ indent achievement indicator system, including objectives for special needs populations, including students in special education programs under Subchapter A, Chapter 29;
(3) identify how the campus goals will be met for each student;
(4) determine the resources needed to implement the plan;
(5) identify staff needed to implement the plan;
(6) set timelines for reaching the goals;
(7) measure progress toward the performance objectives periodically to ensure that the plan is resulting in academic improvement;
(8) include goals and methods for violence prevention and intervention on campus;
(9) provide for a program to encourage parental involvement at the campus; and
(10) if the campus is an elementary, middle, or junior high school, set goals and objectives for the coordinated health program at the campus based on:
(A) student fitness assessment data, including any data from research-based assessments such as the school health index assessment and planning tool created by the federal Centers
```

for Disease Control and Prevention;
(B) student academic performance data;
(C) student attendance rates;
(D) the percentage of students who are
educationally disadvantaged;
(E) the use and success of any method to ensure
that students participate in moderate to vigorous physical activity
as required by Section 28.002(1); and
(F) any other indicator recommended by the local
school health advisory council.
SECTION 11. Section 12.1013(c), Education Code, is amended
to read as follows:
(c) The report must include the performance of each public school in each class described by Subsection (b) as measured by the [stuent] achievement indicators adopted under sections $39.053(c)(1)-(4)$ [section 39.053] and student attrition rates.
SECTION 12. Section 29.062(a), Education Code, is amended to read as follows:
(a) The legislature recognizes that compliance with this subchapter is an imperative public necessity. Therefore, in accordance with the policy of the state, the agency shall evaluate the effectiveness of programs under this subchapter based on the [stuent] achievement indicators adopted under Sections 39.053(c)(1)-(4) [section-39.053], including the results of assessment instruments. The agency may combine evaluations under this section with federal accountability measures concerning students of limited English proficiency.

```

SECTION 13. Section 39.023(a-8), Education Code, as effective on or before September 1, 2015, is amended to read as follows:
(a-8) A school district or open-enrollment charter school may, for its own use in determining whether students are performing at a satisfactory level, administer to a student at the appropriate grade level, other than a student required to be assessed, an assessment instrument developed for purposes of Subsection (a-4), (a-5), or (a-6). At the request of a district or open-enrollment charter school, the agency shall provide, allow for the administration of, and score each assessment instrument administered under this subsection in the same manner and at the same cost as for assessment instruments required to be administered under the applicable subsection. The results of an assessment instrument administered under this subsection may not be included as an indicator of [stent] achievement under Section 39.053 or any other provision.

SECTION 14. Section 39.052(b), Education Code, is amended to read as follows:
(b) In determining the accreditation status of a school district, the commissioner:
(1) shall evaluate and consider:
(A) performance on [ achievement indicators described by Section 39.053(c); and
(B) performance under the financial accountability rating system developed under Subchapter D; and
(2) may evaluate and consider:
(A) the district's compliance with statutory requirements and requirements imposed by rule of the commissioner or State Board of Education under specific statutory authority that relate to:
(i) reporting data through the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) or other reports required by state or federal law or court order;
(ii) the high school graduation requirements under Section 28.025; or
(iii) an item listed under Sections 7.056(e)(3)(C)-(I) that applies to the district;
(B) the effectiveness of the district's programs for special populations; and
(C) the effectiveness of the district's career and technology program.

SECTION 15. Section 39.055, Education Code, is amended to read as follows:

Sec. 39.055. STUDENT ORDERED BY A JUVENILE COURT OR STUDENT IN RESIDENTIAL FACILITY NOT CONSIDERED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PURPOSES. Notwithstanding any other provision of this code except to the extent otherwise provided under Section 39.054(f), for purposes of determining the performance of a school district, campus, or open-enrollment charter school under this chapter, a student ordered by a juvenile court into a residential program or facility operated by or under contract with the Texas Juvenile Justice Department, a juvenile board, or any other governmental entity or any student who is receiving treatment in a residential
facility is not considered to be a student of the school district in which the program or facility is physically located or of an open-enrollment charter school, as applicable. The performance of such a student on an assessment instrument or other [student] achievement indicator adopted under Section 39.053 or reporting indicator adopted under Section 39.301 shall be determined, reported, and considered separately from the performance of students attending a school of the district in which the program or facility is physically located or an open-enrollment charter school, as applicable.

SECTION 16. Section 39.056(b), Education Code, is amended to read as follows:
(b) The commissioner shall determine the frequency of on-site investigations by the agency according to annual comprehensive analyses of student performance and equity in relation to the [suent] achievement indicators adopted under Section 39.053.

SECTION 17. Section 39.102(a), Education Code, is amended to read as follows:
(a) If a school district does not satisfy the accreditation criteria under Section 39.052, the academic performance standards under Section 39.053 or 39.054 , or any financial accountability standard as determined by commissioner rule, the commissioner shall take any of the following actions to the extent the commissioner determines necessary:
(1) issue public notice of the deficiency to the board of trustees;
(2) order a hearing conducted by the board of trustees of the district for the purpose of notifying the public of the insufficient performance, the improvements in performance expected by the agency, and the interventions and sanctions that may be imposed under this section if the performance does not improve;
(3) order the preparation of a student achievement improvement plan that addresses each academic [student] achievement indicator under Section 39.053(c) for which the district's performance is insufficient, the submission of the plan to the commissioner for approval, and implementation of the plan;
(4) order a hearing to be held before the commissioner or the commissioner's designee at which the president of the board of trustees of the district and the superintendent shall appear and explain the district's low performance, lack of improvement, and plans for improvement;
(5) arrange an on-site investigation of the district;
(6) appoint an agency monitor to participate in and report to the agency on the activities of the board of trustees or the superintendent;
(7) appoint a conservator to oversee the operations of the district;
(8) appoint a management team to direct the operations of the district in areas of insufficient performance or require the district to obtain certain services under a contract with another person;
(9) if a district has a current accreditation status of accredited-warned or accredited-probation, fails to satisfy any
```

standard under Section 39.054(e), or fails to satisfy financial

```
accountability standards as determined by commissioner rule,
appoint a board of managers to exercise the powers and duties of the
board of trustees;
(10) if for two consecutive school years, including the current school year, a district has received an accreditation status of accredited-warned or accredited-probation, has failed to satisfy any standard under Section \(39.054(e)\), or has failed to satisfy financial accountability standards as determined by commissioner rule, revoke the district's accreditation and:
(A) order closure of the district and annex the district to one or more adjoining districts under Section 13.054; or
(B) in the case of a home-rule school district or open-enrollment charter school, order closure of all programs operated under the district's or school's charter; or
(11) if a district has failed to satisfy any standard under Section 39.054(e) due to the district's dropout rates, impose sanctions designed to improve high school completion rates, including:
(A) ordering the development of a dropout prevention plan for approval by the commissioner;
(B) restructuring the district or appropriate school campuses to improve identification of and service to students who are at risk of dropping out of school, as defined by Section 29.081;
(C) ordering lower student-to-counselor ratios
```

on school campuses with high dropout rates; and
(D) ordering the use of any other intervention
strategy effective in reducing dropout rates, including mentor
programs and flexible class scheduling.
SECTION 18. Section 39.263(a), Education Code, is amended to read as follows:
(a) The criteria that the commissioner shall use to select successful schools and districts must be related to the goals in Section 4.002 and must include consideration of performance on the [n] achievement indicators adopted under Section 39.053(c) and consideration of the distinction designation criteria prescribed by or developed under Subchapter G.
SECTION 19. Section 39.301(b), Education Code, is amended to read as follows:
(b) Performance on the indicators adopted under this section shall be evaluated in the same manner provided for evaluation of the [student] achievement indicators under Sections $39.053(\mathrm{c})(1)-(4)$ [section $39.053(c)]$.
SECTION 20. Section 39.305(b), Education Code, is amended to read as follows:

```
(b) The report card shall include the following information:
(1) where applicable, the [student] achievement indicators described by section 39.053(c) and the reporting indicators described by Sections 39.301(c)(1) through (5);
(2) average class size by grade level and subject;
(3) the administrative and instructional costs per
student, computed in a manner consistent with section 44.0071 ; and
(4) the district's instructional expenditures ratio and instructional employees ratio computed under section 44.0071, and the statewide average of those ratios, as determined by the commissioner.

SECTION 21. Sections 39.332(b)(2) and (20), Education Code, are amended to read as follows:
(2) The report must contain an evaluation of the status of education in the state as reflected by:
(A) the [student] achievement indicators described by Section 39.053; and
(B) the reporting indicators described by Section 39.301.
(20) The report must contain a comparison of the performance of open-enrollment charter schools and school districts on the [student] achievement indicators described by Section \(39.053(c)\), the reporting indicators described by Section 39. \(301(\mathrm{c})\), and the accountability measures adopted under Section 39.053(i), with a separately aggregated comparison of the performance of open-enrollment charter schools predominantly serving students at risk of dropping out of school, as described by Section \(29.081(d)\), with the performance of school districts.

SECTION 22. Sections \(39.053(\mathrm{e})\) and 39.054(b), (d), and \((d-1)\), Education Code, are repealed.

SECTION 23. Not later than December 1, 2016, the commissioner of education shall adopt the set of indicators to measure and evaluate school districts and campuses as required by

\section*{Section 39.053, Education Code, as amended by this Act.}

SECTION 24. Not later than January 1, 2017, the commissioner of education shall submit a report to the standing committees of the legislature having primary jurisdiction over primary and secondary education that provides for a preliminary evaluation of school districts and campuses under Section 39.054, Education Code. The report must include:
(1) the rating each school district and campus would have received for the first through fourth domains of indicators as provided by Sections 39.053(c)(1)-(4), Education Code, as amended by this Act, for the 2015-2016 school year if the indicators adopted by the commissioner of education under Section 39.053, Education Code, as amended by this Act, existed during the 2015-2016 school year; and
(2) the correlation between each designated letter performance rating the school district or campus would have received and the percentage of students at each district and campus:
(A) qualifying for the free or reduced-price breakfast under the national school breakfast programs provided for by the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. Section 1773);
(B) that are students of limited English proficiency as defined by Section 29.052, Education Code; and
(C) disaggregated by race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status used to assign ratings in the system.

SECTION 25. (a) Except as provided by Subsections (b), (c), and (d) of this section, this Act applies beginning with the
```

2017-2018 school year.
(b) Section 39.0535, Education Code, as added by this Act, applies beginning with the 2015-2016 school year.
(c) Section 39.054(a), Education Code, as amended by this Act effective September 1, 2016, applies beginning with the 2016-2017 school year.
(d) Subchapter N, Chapter 39, Education Code, as added by this Act, applies beginning with the effective date of this Act.
SECTION 26. Except as otherwise provided by this Act, this Act takes effect immediately if it receives a vote of two-thirds of all the members elected to each house, as provided by Section 39, Article III, Texas Constitution. If this Act does not receive the vote necessary for immediate effect, this Act takes effect September 1, 2015.

```
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Austin, Texas
FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 26, 2015
TO: Honorable Joe Straus, Speaker of the House, House of Representatives
FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board
IN RE: HB2804 by Aycock (Relating to evaluation of public school performance.), As Passed 2nd House

\section*{No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.}

The bill would require the Commissioner of Education to adopt a set of performance indicators of the quality of learning and achievement. The bill would amend the accountability indicators in Education Code \(\S 39.053\) and would require the Commissioner to assign a separate rating for the five domains. Beginning September 1, 2016, the bill would require the Commissioner to assign performance ratings of A, B, C, D, or F to districts, campuses, and each domain.

The bill would establish the Texas Commission on Next Generation Assessments and Accountability, composed of members appointed by the Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Speaker of the House of Representatives, Chair of the Senate Committee on Education, Chair of the Senate Committee on Higher Education, Chair of the House of Representatives Committee on Public Education, Chair of the House of Representatives Committee on Higher Education, and a member of the State Board of Education. The commission would develop and make recommendations for new systems of student assessment and public school accountability. The commission would be abolished on January 1, 2017.

The agency estimates there would be costs associated with implementing the provisions of the bill; however, this estimate assumes these costs could be absorbed within existing resources.

\section*{Local Government Impact}

The bill would require districts to submit relevant data to TEA in order to assess the campuses and district in community and student engagement. Districts could incur costs associated with implementing the provisions of the bill. These costs would vary by school district.

Source Agencies: 701 Central Education Agency
LBB Staff: UP, SD, AW, JBi, AM
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\section*{FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION}

May 22, 2015
TO: Honorable Larry Taylor, Chair, Senate Committee on Education
FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board
IN RE: HB2804 by Aycock (relating to evaluation of public school performance.), Committee Report 2nd House, Substituted

\section*{No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.}

The bill would require the Commissioner of Education to adopt a set of performance indicators of the quality of learning and achievement. The bill would amend the accountability indicators in Education Code \(\S 39.053\) and would require the Commissioner to assign a separate rating for the five domains. Beginning September 1,2016, the bill would require the Commissioner to assign performance ratings of A, B, C, D, or F to districts, campuses, and each domain.

The bill would establish the Texas Commission on Next Generation Assessments and Accountability, composed of members appointed by the Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Speaker of the House of Representatives, Chair of the Senate Committee on Education, Chair of the Senate Committee on Higher Education, Chair of the House of Representatives Committee on Public Education, Chair of the House of Representatives Committee on Higher Education, and a member of the State Board of Education. The commission would develop and make recommendations for new systems of student assessment and public school accountability. The commission would be abolished on January 1, 2017.

The agency estimates there would be costs associated with implementing the provisions of the bill; however, this estimate assumes these costs could be absorbed within existing resources.

\section*{Local Government Impact}

The bill would require districts to submit relevant data to TEA in order to assess the campuses and district in community and student engagement. Districts could incur costs associated with implementing the provisions of the bill. These costs would vary by school district.

Source Agencies: 701 Central Education Agency
LBB Staff: UP, AW, JBi, AM

\section*{LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD}

\section*{Austin, Texas}

FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 21, 2015
TO: Honorable Larry Taylor, Chair, Senate Committee on Education
FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board
IN RE: HB2804 by Aycock (Relating to evaluation of public school performance and to student physical fitness assessment.), As Engrossed

Estimated Two-year Net Impact to General Revenue Related Funds for HB2804, As
Engrossed: a positive impact of \(\$ 4,866,400\) through the biennium ending August 31, 2017.
The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

General Revenue-Related Funds, Five-Year Impact:
\begin{tabular}{|cc|}
\hline Fiscal Year & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Probable Net Positive/(Negative) Impact \\
to General Revenue Related Funds
\end{tabular} \\
2016 & \(\$ 2,366,400\) \\
2017 & \(\$ 2,500,000\) \\
2018 & \(\$ 2,500,000\) \\
2019 & \(\$ 2,500,000\) \\
2020 & \(\$ 2,500,000\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{All Funds, Five-Year Impact:}
\begin{tabular}{|cc|}
\hline Fiscal Year & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Probable Savings/(Cost) from \\
General Revenue Fund \\
1
\end{tabular} \\
2016 & \\
2017 & \(\$ 2,366,400\) \\
2018 & \(\$ 2,500,000\) \\
2019 & \(\$ 2,500,000\) \\
2020 & \(\$ 2,500,000\) \\
& \(\$ 2,500,000\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Fiscal Analysis}

The bill would require the Commissioner of Education to adopt a set of performance indicators of the quality of learning and achievement. The bill would amend the accountability indicators in Education Code \(\S 39.053\) and would require the Commissioner to assign a separate rating for the five domains. Beginning September 1, 2016, the bill would require the Commissioner to assign
performance ratings of \(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}\), or F to districts, campuses, and each domain.
The bill would require the Commissioner to convene a performance rating workgroup to assist in determining the criteria for performance ratings and submit a report to the legislature regarding the preliminary evaluations of districts and campuses by January 1,2017.

The bill would repeal the requirements that school districts annually assess the physical fitness for students enrolled in grade three or higher and report the results to the Texas Education Agency (TEA), the Commissioner adopt an assessment instrument to be used by school districts for this purpose, and the agency analyze the physical fitness results reported by school districts.

\section*{Methodology}

Based on information provided by TEA, the estimated cost to collect school district local community and student engagement information is \(\$ 107,505\) in fiscal year 2016. TEA indicates there would not be a cost associated with convening the performance rating workgroups.

Repealing the requirement that the Commissioner adopt an assessment instrument to be used by school districts to assess the physical fitness of certain students is expected to result in an annual savings of \(\$ 2.5\) million based on current appropriations. This estimate assumes that repealing the requirement that the Commissioner provide an assessment instrument to school districts would also eliminate the funding directed to that purpose. The savings in fiscal year 2016 would be partially offset by the costs related to collecting information from school districts.

\section*{Technology}

The bill would require the TEA to collect certain information as part of the newly adopted performance indicators. Based on information provided by TEA, the estimated cost to collect new data elements in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) would be \(\$ 26,095\) in fiscal year 2016.

\section*{Local Government Impact}

The bill would require districts to submit relevant data to TEA in order to assess the campuses and district in community and student engagement. Districts could incur costs associated with implementing the provisions of the bill. These costs would vary by school district.

School districts would experience some administrative savings from no longer having to perform physical fitness assessments and report assessment results to the TEA. These savings would vary depending on the size of the district and the number of students assessed for physical fitness.

Source Agencies: 701 Central Education Agency
LBB Staff: UP, AW, JBi, AM

LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD
Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

April 30, 2015
TO: Honorable Jimmie Don Aycock, Chair, House Committee on Public Education
FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board
IN RE: HB2804 by Aycock (relating to evaluation of public school performance.), Committee Report 1st House, Substituted

\section*{No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.}

The bill would require the Commissioner of Education to adopt a set of performance indicators of the quality of learning and achievement. The bill would amend the accountability indicators in Education Code \(\S 39.053\) and would require the Commissioner to assign a separate rating for the five domains. Beginning September 1, 2016, the bill would require the Commissioner to assign performance ratings of \(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}\), or F to districts, campuses, and each domain.

The agency estimates there would be costs associated with implementing the provisions of the bill; however, this estimate assumes these costs could be absorbed within existing resources.

\section*{Local Government Impact}

The bill would require districts to submit relevant data to TEA in order to assess the campuses and district in community and student engagement. Districts could incur costs associated with implementing the provisions of the bill. These costs would vary by school district.

Source Agencies: 701 Central Education Agency
LBB Staff: UP, AW, JBi, AM

Austin, Texas

\section*{FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION}

April 13, 2015

TO: Honorable Jimmie Don Aycock, Chair, House Committee on Public Education
FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB2804 by Aycock (Relating to assessment of public school students and evaluation of public school performance.), As Introduced

\section*{No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.}

The bill would require the Commissioner of Education to adopt an approved list of assessment instruments for science and writing, and rubrics that establish criteria for selecting, compiling, and evaluating student-produced work for science, social studies, and writing. The bill would require the Texas Education Agency to provide training in evaluating students under the approved rubric and would allow the Commissioner to conduct random audits of assessments. The bill would amend the accountability indicators in Education Code \(\S 39.053\) and would require the Commissioner to assign a separate rating for each domain. The bill would take effect September 1, 2015 , or immediately if passed with the necessary voting margins, and would apply beginning in school year 2016-17.

The agency estimates there would be costs associated with implementing the provisions of the bill; however, this estimate assumes these costs could be absorbed within existing resources.

\section*{Local Government Impact}

The bill would require school districts to evaluate student achievement in science, social studies, and writing according to approved instruments or rubrics as adopted by the Commissioner, and would allow districts to use a norm-referenced assessment instrument that complies with Education Code \(\S 39.026\) to evaluate student achievement for science and writing. The bill would require school districts to adopt local policies for the assessment of students as required by the bill.

The bill would require districts to report the results of science, social studies, and writing assessment instruments and rubrics and submit relevant data to TEA in order to assess the campuses and district in community and student engagement.

Districts would incur costs associated with implementing the provisions of the bill. These costs would vary by school district, but may be significant.```


[^0]:    90 days during the school year evaluated may be considered [in determining the performance rating of the school undex section 39.054].

    SECTION 7. Subchapter C, Chapter 39, Education Code, is amended by adding Section 39.0546 to read as follows:

    Sec. 39.0546. PERFORMANCE IN COMMUNITY AND STUDENT ENGAGEMENT AS COMPONENT OF OVERALL DISTRICT AND CAMPUS RATING. (a) For purposes of including the local evaluation of districts and campuses under Section 39.053(c) (5) and assigning an overall rating under Section 39.054, before the beginning of each school year:
    (1) each school district shall:
    (A) select and report to the agency three programs or categories under Section $39.0545(b)(1)$, as added by Chapter 211 (H.B. 5), Acts of the 83rd Legislature, Regular Session, 2013, under which the district will evaluate district performance;
    (B) submit to the agency the criteria the district will use to evaluate district performance and assign the district a performance rating; and
    (C) make the information described by Paragraphs (A) and (B) available on the district's Internet website; and (2) each campus shall:
    (A) select and report to the agency three programs or categories under Section 39.0545(b)(1), as added by Chapter 211 (H.B. 5), Acts of the 83rd Legislature, Regular Session, 2013, under which the campus will evaluate campus performance;

