SENATE AMENDMENTS

2nd Printing

By: Laubenberg H.B. No. 4003

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

1	AN ACT
2	relating to the redaction of personally identifiable information of
3	victims from juvenile court records.
4	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
5	SECTION 1. Subchapter A, Chapter 58, Family Code, is
6	amended by adding Section 58.004 to read as follows:
7	Sec. 58.004. REDACTION OF VICTIM'S PERSONALLY IDENTIFIABLE
8	INFORMATION. Notwithstanding any other law, before disclosing any
9	juvenile court record or file of a child as authorized by this
10	chapter or other law, the custodian of the record or file must
11	redact any personally identifiable information about a victim of
12	the child's delinquent conduct or conduct indicating a need for
13	supervision who was under 18 years of age on the date the conduct
14	occurred, unless the information is necessary for an agency to
15	provide services to the victim or for law enforcement purposes.
16	SECTION 2. This Act applies to information and documents
17	relating to juvenile court cases without regard to whether the
18	conduct that is the basis of the case occurred before, on, or after
19	the effective date of this Act.
20	SECTION 3. This Act takes effect September 1, 2015.

ADOPTED

MAY 2 2 2015

By: Taylor of Collin

Substitute the following for $\frac{4003}{100}$:

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED 1 AN ACT relating to the redaction of personally identifiable information of 3 victims from juvenile court records. BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS: 4 5 SECTION 1. Subchapter A, Chapter 58, Family Code, is 6 amended by adding Section 58.004 to read as follows: 7 Sec. 58.004. REDACTION OF VICTIM'S PERSONALLY IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION. (a) Notwithstanding any other law, before disclosing 8 any juvenile court record or file of a child as authorized by this 10 chapter or other law, the custodian of the record or file must redact any personally identifiable information about a victim of 11 12 the child's delinquent conduct or conduct indicating a need for 13 supervision who was under 18 years of age on the date the conduct 14 occurred. 15 (b) This section does not apply to information that is:

- 16 (1) necessary for an agency to provide services to the
- 17 victim;
- (2) necessary for law enforcement purposes; or 18
- 19 (3) shared within the statewide juvenile information
- 20 and case management system established under Subchapter E.
- 21 SECTION 2. This Act applies to information and documents
- 22 relating to juvenile court cases without regard to whether the
- conduct that is the basis of the case occurred before, on, or after 23
- 24 the effective date of this Act.

1 SECTION 3. This Act takes effect September 1, 2015.

FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 22, 2015

TO: Honorable Joe Straus, Speaker of the House, House of Representatives

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB4003 by Laubenberg (Relating to the redaction of personally identifiable information

of victims from juvenile court records.), As Passed 2nd House

No fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Family Code to require a custodian of records to redact any personally identifiable information before disclosing any juvenile court record or file. The bill would not apply to information that is necessary for an agency to provide services or for law enforcement purposes or shared within the statewide information and case management system.

Local Government Impact

No significant fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 644 Juvenile Justice Department

LBB Staff: UP, AG, ESi, SD, EK

FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 15, 2015

TO: Honorable Joan Huffman, Chair, Senate Committee on State Affairs

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB4003 by Laubenberg (Relating to the redaction of personally identifiable information of victims from juvenile court records.), Committee Report 2nd House, Substituted

No fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Family Code to require a custodian of records to redact any personally identifiable information before disclosing any juvenile court record or file. The bill would not apply to information that is necessary for an agency to provide services or for law enforcement purposes or shared within the statewide information and case management system.

Local Government Impact

No significant fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 644 Juvenile Justice Department

LBB Staff: UP, AG, ESi, SD, EK

FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 7, 2015

TO: Honorable Joan Huffman, Chair, Senate Committee on State Affairs

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB4003 by Laubenberg (Relating to the redaction of personally identifiable information of victims from juvenile court records.), As Engrossed

No fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Family Code to require a custodian of records to redact any personally identifiable information before disclosing any juvenile court record or file unless the information is necessary for an agency to provide services to the victim for law enforcement purposes.

Local Government Impact

No significant fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 644 Juvenile Justice Department

LBB Staff: UP, AG, ESi, SD, EK

FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

April 14, 2015

TO: Honorable Harold V. Dutton, Jr., Chair, House Committee on Juvenile Justice & Family Issues

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB4003 by Laubenberg (Relating to the redaction of personally identifiable information of victims from juvenile court records.), **As Introduced**

No fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Family Code to require a custodian of records to redact any personally identifiable information before disclosing any juvenile court record or file unless the information is necessary for an agency to provide services to the victim for law enforcement purposes.

Local Government Impact

No significant fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 644 Juvenile Justice Department

LBB Staff: UP, ESi, SD, EK