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| **BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE** Interested parties express concern about certain procedures with regard to a person who is detained in a county other than the county where the applicable offense is alleged to have been committed. H.B. 1177 seeks to address the parties' concerns by revising requirements regarding the release of such a person when the county where the applicable offense is alleged to have been committed does not demand and take charge of the person before the requisite deadline.  |
| **CRIMINAL JUSTICE IMPACT**It is the committee's opinion that this bill does not expressly create a criminal offense, increase the punishment for an existing criminal offense or category of offenses, or change the eligibility of a person for community supervision, parole, or mandatory supervision. |
| **RULEMAKING AUTHORITY** It is the committee's opinion that this bill does not expressly grant any additional rulemaking authority to a state officer, department, agency, or institution. |
| **ANALYSIS** H.B. 1177 amends the Code of Criminal Procedure to specify that the person who conducts the release of a person who is arrested and confined for an out-of-county offense when the county where the applicable offense is alleged to have been committed does not demand and take charge of the person before the requisite deadline is the magistrate in the county where the person was arrested and to require the magistrate to release the person on personal bond without sureties or other security and to forward the personal bond to the sheriff of the county where the offense is alleged to have been committed or to the court that issued the warrant of arrest.  |
| **EFFECTIVE DATE** September 1, 2017. |