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| **BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE** Concerns have been raised that state law prevents an active judicial officer who has obtained a handgun license from carrying a concealed handgun into an establishment that has a sign posted providing notice that entry on the property with a concealed handgun is prohibited. H.B. 3922 seeks to provide for the safety of such officers by establishing as a defense to prosecution for the offense of trespass by a handgun license holder with a concealed handgun that at the time of the commission of the offense the actor was an active judicial officer. |
| **CRIMINAL JUSTICE IMPACT**It is the committee's opinion that this bill does not expressly create a criminal offense, increase the punishment for an existing criminal offense or category of offenses, or change the eligibility of a person for community supervision, parole, or mandatory supervision. |
| **RULEMAKING AUTHORITY** It is the committee's opinion that this bill does not expressly grant any additional rulemaking authority to a state officer, department, agency, or institution. |
| **ANALYSIS** H.B. 3922 amends the Penal Code to establish as a defense to prosecution for the offense of trespass by a handgun license holder with a concealed handgun that at the time of the commission of the offense the actor was an active judicial officer.  |
| **EFFECTIVE DATE** September 1, 2017. |