|  |
| --- |
| BILL ANALYSIS |

|  |
| --- |
| S.B. 467 |
| By: Lucio |
| Elections |
| Committee Report (Unamended) |

|  |
| --- |
| **BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE** Interested parties contend that ballot propositions should be worded in a way that minimizes confusion among voters. S.B. 467 seeks to require a ballot proposition to substantially submit the question with such definiteness and certainty in identifying the proposition's chief features that the voters are not misled. |
| **CRIMINAL JUSTICE IMPACT**It is the committee's opinion that this bill does not expressly create a criminal offense, increase the punishment for an existing criminal offense or category of offenses, or change the eligibility of a person for community supervision, parole, or mandatory supervision. |
| **RULEMAKING AUTHORITY** It is the committee's opinion that this bill does not expressly grant any additional rulemaking authority to a state officer, department, agency, or institution. |
| **ANALYSIS** S.B. 467 amends the Election Code to require a ballot proposition to substantially submit the question with such definiteness and certainty in identifying the proposition's chief features that the voters are not misled.  |
| **EFFECTIVE DATE** September 1, 2017. |