1 AN ACT 2 relating to the public school accountability system. 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS: 4 SECTION 1. Section 39.053(b), Education Code, is amended to 5 read as follows: 6 (b) Performance on the achievement indicators adopted under 7 Subsections (c)(1)-(4) shall be compared to state-established standards. To the extent feasible, the [The] indicators should 8 allow for disaggregation [must be based on information that is 9 disaggregated] by race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status. 10 SECTION 2. Section 39.053, Education Code, is amended by 11 12 amending Subsection (c) and adding Subsections (c-4) and (g-3) to read as follows: 13 14 (c) School districts and campuses must be evaluated based on five domains of indicators of achievement adopted under this 15 section that include: 16 in the first domain, the results of: 17 (1)18 (A) assessment instruments required under Sections 39.023(a), (c), and (l), including the results of 19 assessment instruments required for graduation retaken by a 20 21 student, aggregated across grade levels by subject area, including: 22 (i) for the performance standard determined by the commissioner under Section 39.0241(a), the percentage of 23 24 students who performed satisfactorily on the assessment

1 instruments, aggregated across grade levels by subject area; and 2 (ii) for the college readiness performance standard as determined under Section 39.0241, the percentage of 3 performed satisfactorily on the 4 students who assessment 5 instruments, aggregated across grade levels by subject area; and (B) assessment instruments required 6 under 7 Section 39.023(b), aggregated across grade levels by subject area, including the percentage of students who performed satisfactorily 8 on the assessment instruments, as determined by the performance 9 10 standard adopted by the agency, aggregated across grade levels by subject area; 11 (2)in the second domain: 12 (A) for assessment instruments under Subdivision 13 14 (1)(A): 15 (i) for the performance standard determined by the commissioner under Section 39.0241(a), the percentage of 16 17 students who met the standard for annual improvement on the assessment instruments, as determined by the commissioner by rule 18 19 or by the method for measuring annual improvement under Section 39.034, aggregated across grade levels by subject area; and 20 21 (ii) for the college readiness performance standard as determined under Section 39.0241, the percentage of 22 students who met the standard for annual improvement on the 23 24 assessment instruments, as determined by the commissioner by rule or by the method for measuring annual improvement under Section 25 26 39.034, aggregated across grade levels by subject area; and 27 (B) for assessment instruments under Subdivision

1 (1)(B), the percentage of students who met the standard for annual improvement on the assessment instruments, as determined by the 3 commissioner by rule or by the method for measuring annual improvement under Section 39.034, aggregated across grade levels by subject area; (3) in the third domain, the student academic achievement differentials among students from different racial and ethnic groups and socioeconomic backgrounds; (4) in the fourth domain: (A) for evaluating the performance of high school campuses and districts that include high school campuses: (i) dropout rates, including dropout rates and district completion rates for grade levels 9 through 12, computed in accordance with standards and definitions adopted by the National Center for Education Statistics of the United States Department of Education; 16 (ii) high school graduation rates, computed in accordance with standards and definitions adopted in compliance 18 with the Every Student Succeeds Act [No Child Left Behind Act of 19 2001] (20 U.S.C. Section 6301 et seq.); 20 (iii) the percentage of students who successfully completed the curriculum requirements 22 for the 23 distinguished level of achievement under the foundation high school 24 program; (iv) the percentage of students who

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

21

H.B. No. 1500

25 26 successfully completed the curriculum requirements for an 27 endorsement under Section 28.025(c-1);

1 (v) the percentage of students who completed a coherent sequence of career and technical or fine arts 2 3 courses; 4 (vi) the percentage of students who satisfy 5 the Texas Success Initiative (TSI) college readiness benchmarks prescribed by the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board under 6 Section 51.3062(f) on an assessment instrument in reading, writing, 7 8 or mathematics designated by the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board under Section 51.3062(c); 9 10 (vii) the percentage of students who earn least 12 hours of postsecondary credit required for the 11 at 12 foundation high school program under Section 28.025 or to earn an endorsement under Section 28.025(c-1); 13 14 (viii) the percentage of students who have 15 completed an advanced placement or international baccalaureate 16 course; 17 (ix) the percentage of students who enlist in the armed forces of the United States; [and] 18 19 (x) the percentage of students who earn an industry certification; 20 21 (xi) the percentage of students who successfully completed an OnRamps dual enrollment course; 22 (xii) the percentage of students who have 23 24 received credit by examination; 25 (xiii) the percentage of students who have 26 been promoted to higher grade levels than the grade levels to which the students would ordinarily be assigned; 27

H.B. No. 1500

H.B. No. 1500 (xiv) the percentage of students who have 1 earned a diploma after not more than three years of high school 2 3 attendance; and 4 (xv) the percentage of students who earn an 5 associate degree; 6 (B) for evaluating the performance of middle and 7 junior high school and elementary school campuses and districts 8 that include those campuses: 9 (i) student attendance; [and] 10 (ii) for middle and junior high school 11 campuses: 12 (a) dropout rates, computed in the manner described by Paragraph (A)(i); [and] 13 14 (b) the percentage of students in 15 grades seven and eight who receive instruction in preparing for high school, college, and a career that includes information 16 17 regarding the creation of a high school personal graduation plan under Section 28.02121, the distinguished level of achievement 18 described by Section 28.025(b-15), each endorsement described by 19 Section 28.025(c-1), college readiness standards, and potential 20 career choices and the education needed to enter those careers; and 21 (c) the percentage of students in 22 grades seven and eight who complete a pre-advanced placement course 23 24 or pre-international baccalaureate course; and 25 (iii) the percentage of students who 26 participate in a University Interscholastic League A+ academic 27 event; and

1 (C) any additional indicators of student 2 achievement not associated with performance on standardized 3 assessment instruments determined appropriate for consideration by 4 the commissioner in consultation with educators, parents, business 5 and industry representatives, and employers; and

6 (5) in the fifth domain, three programs or specific 7 categories of performance related to community and student 8 engagement locally selected and evaluated as provided by Section 9 39.0546.

10 <u>(c-4) For purposes of evaluating the performance of a</u> 11 <u>district or campus under Subsection (c), the commissioner shall</u> 12 <u>determine a method by which the performance of a student is</u> 13 <u>attributed greater weight for each school year a student has been</u> 14 <u>continuously enrolled in the school district or at the campus, as</u> 15 <u>applicable.</u>

16 (g-3) The commissioner shall adopt rules for computing the 17 percentage of students participating in a University 18 Interscholastic League A+ academic event under Subsection 19 (c)(4)(B)(iii).

20 SECTION 3. Sections 39.054(a) and (a-1), Education Code, as 21 effective September 1, 2017, are amended to read as follows:

(a) The commissioner shall adopt rules to evaluate school
district and campus performance and assign each district and campus
an overall performance rating of A, B, C, D, or F. In addition to the
overall performance rating, the commissioner shall assign each
district and campus a separate domain performance rating of A, B, C,
D, or F for each applicable domain under Sections 39.053(c)(1)-(4).

1 An overall or domain performance rating of A reflects exemplary performance. An overall or domain performance rating of B reflects 2 3 recognized performance. An overall or domain performance rating of reflects acceptable performance. An overall or 4 С domain performance rating of D reflects performance that needs 5 improvement. An overall or domain performance rating of [or] F 6 reflects unacceptable performance. A district may not receive an 7 8 overall or domain performance rating of A if the district includes any campus with a corresponding overall or domain performance 9 10 rating of [D or] F. A reference in law to an acceptable rating or acceptable performance includes an overall or domain performance 11 rating of A, B, [or] C, or D or performance that is exemplary, 12 recognized, or acceptable or needs improvement [performance]. 13

H.B. No. 1500

[For purposes of] assigning [an overall] 14 (a-1) In 15 performance <u>ratings</u> [<del>rating</del>] under Subsection (a), the commissioner may adjust the overall performance rating of a 16 17 district or campus if the performance of the district or campus under the indicators described by Section 39.053(c), based on 18 information disaggregated by race, ethnicity, socioeconomic 19 status, or other factors, does not meet standards established by 20 the commissioner. The commissioner shall [attribute]: 21

(1) <u>attribute</u> 55 percent of the performance evaluation to the achievement indicators for the first, second, and third domains under Sections 39.053(c)(1)-(3), provided that the commissioner shall consider either the district's or campus's performance rating under the first domain or the second domain, whichever performance rating is higher, unless the district or

1 campus received a performance rating of F in either domain, in which 2 case the district or campus may not be assigned an overall 3 performance rating higher than B;

4 (2) for middle and junior high school and elementary
5 campuses and districts that include only those campuses, <u>attribute</u>
6 35 percent of the performance evaluation to the applicable
7 achievement indicators for the fourth domain under Section
8 39.053(c)(4);

9 (3) for high school campuses and districts that 10 include those campuses, attribute:

(A) 10 percent of the performance evaluation to the high school graduation rate achievement indicator described by Section 39.053(c)(4)(A)(ii); and

14 (B) 25 percent to the remaining applicable 15 achievement indicators for the fourth domain under Section 16 39.053(c)(4); and

17 (4) <u>attribute</u> 10 percent of the performance evaluation
18 to the locally selected and evaluated achievement indicators
19 provided for under the fifth domain under Section 39.053(c)(5).

20 SECTION 4. Subchapter E, Chapter 39, Education Code, is 21 amended by adding Section 39.101 to read as follows:

22 Sec. 39.101. NEEDS IMPROVEMENT RATING. (a) Notwithstanding 23 any other law, if a school district or campus is assigned an overall 24 or domain performance rating of D:

25 (1) the commissioner shall order the district or 26 campus to develop and implement a targeted improvement plan 27 approved by the board of trustees of the district; and

1 (2) the interventions and sanctions provided by this 2 subchapter based on failure to satisfy performance standards under 3 Section 39.054(e) apply to the district or campus only as provided 4 by this section. 5 The interventions and sanctions provided by this (b) subchapter based on failure to satisfy performance standards under 6 7 Section 39.054(e) apply to a district or campus ordered to develop 8 and implement a targeted improvement plan under Subsection (a) only if the district or campus is assigned an overall or domain 9 performance rating of F, including the assignment of a performance 10 rating of F in the manner provided by Subsection (c) or (d). 11 12 (c) If a school district or campus is assigned an overall performance rating of D for two consecutive school years after the 13 14 district or campus is ordered to develop and implement a targeted 15 improvement plan under Subsection (a), the commissioner shall assign that district or campus an overall performance rating of F 16 17 for the following school year unless, based on the performance of the district or campus in that following school year, the 18 19 commissioner determines that the district or campus should be assigned a performance rating of C or higher. 20 21 (d) If a district or campus is assigned a domain performance 22 rating of D for the same domain for two consecutive school years after the district or campus is ordered to develop and implement a 23

24 <u>targeted improvement plan under Subsection (a)</u>, the commissioner
25 <u>shall assign that district or campus a domain performance rating of</u>
26 <u>F for that domain for the following school year unless, based on the</u>
27 <u>performance of the district or campus in that following school</u>

	11.D. NO. 1900
1	year, the commissioner determines the district or campus should be
2	assigned a performance rating of C or higher in that domain.
3	(e) The commissioner shall adopt rules as necessary to
4	implement this section.
5	SECTION 5. Section 39.107, Education Code, is amended by
6	adding Subsections (b-10) and (b-11) to read as follows:
7	(b-10) Not later than June 15 of each year, the commissioner
8	shall, in writing, either approve or reject any campus turnaround
9	plan prepared and submitted to the commissioner by a district. If
10	the commissioner rejects a campus turnaround plan, the commissioner
11	must also send the district an outline of the specific concerns
12	regarding the turnaround plan that resulted in the rejection.
13	(b-11) If the commissioner rejects a campus turnaround
14	plan, the district must create a modified plan with assistance from
15	agency staff and submit the modified plan to the commissioner for
16	approval not later than the 60th day after the date the commissioner
17	rejects the campus turnaround plan. The commissioner shall notify
18	the district in writing of the commissioner's decision regarding
19	the modified plan not later than the 15th day after the date the
20	commissioner receives the modified plan.
21	SECTION 6. Section 12A.001(b), Education Code, is amended

22 to read as follows:

(b) A school district is eligible for designation as a
district of innovation only if the district's most recent <u>overall</u>
performance rating under Section 39.054 <u>is exemplary, recognized,</u>
<u>or acceptable as reflected by an overall</u> [reflects at least
<u>acceptable</u>] performance <u>rating of A, B, or C</u>.

1 SECTION 7. Section 12A.001(b), Education Code, as amended 2 by this Act, applies only to a school district designated as a 3 district of innovation on or after the effective date of this Act.

H.B. No. 1500

4 SECTION 8. This Act applies beginning with the 2017-2018 5 school year.

6 SECTION 9. This Act takes effect immediately if it receives 7 a vote of two-thirds of all the members elected to each house, as 8 provided by Section 39, Article III, Texas Constitution. If this 9 Act does not receive the vote necessary for immediate effect, this 10 Act takes effect September 1, 2017.

President of the Senate

Speaker of the House

I certify that H.B. No. 1500 was passed by the House on May 3, 2017, by the following vote: Yeas 145, Nays 0, 2 present, not voting; and that the House concurred in Senate amendments to H.B. No. 1500 on May 26, 2017, by the following vote: Yeas 143, Nays 0, 2 present, not voting, and that the House adopted S.C.R. No. 62 authorizing certain corrections in H.B. No. 1500 on May 29, 2017, by the following vote: Yeas 141, Nays 3, 2 present, not voting.

## Chief Clerk of the House

I certify that H.B. No. 1500 was passed by the Senate, with amendments, on May 24, 2017, by the following vote: Yeas 31, Nays O, and that the Senate adopted S.C.R. No. 62 authorizing certain corrections in H.B. No. 1500 on May 29, 2017, by the following vote: Yeas 31, Nays O.

Secretary of the Senate

APPROVED: \_\_\_\_\_

Date

Governor