1-1 By: Hinojosa, Schwertner

1-2 (In the Senate - Filed December 14, 2016; January 30, 2017, 1-3 read first time and referred to Committee on Health & Human 1-4 Services; March 30, 2017, reported adversely, with favorable 1-5 Committee Substitute by the following vote: Yeas 9, Nays 0; 1-6 March 30, 2017, sent to printer.)

1-7 COMMITTEE VOTE

1-8		Yea	Nay	Absent	PNV
1-9	Schwertner	Χ			
1-10	Uresti	Χ			
1-11	Buckingham	Χ			
1-12	Burton	Χ			
1-13	Kolkhorst	X			
1-14	Miles	Χ			
1-15	Perry	Χ			
1-16	Taylor of Collin	Χ			
1-17	Watson	X			

1-18 COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR S.B. No. 293

1-21 1-22 1-23

1-24

1-25

1-26 1-27

1-28

1-29 1-30

1-31

1-32

1-33

1-34 1-35 1-36 1-37 1-38

1-39

1-40

1-41 1-42

1-43

1-44 1-45

1-46

1**-**47 1**-**48

1-49

1-50 1-51 1-52 1-53 By: Uresti

1-19 A BILL TO BE ENTITLED AN ACT

relating to the proof required to impose payment holds in certain cases of alleged fraud by Medicaid providers.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. Section 531.102(g), Government Code, is amended to read as follows:

- (g)(1) Whenever the office learns or has reason to suspect that a provider's records are being withheld, concealed, destroyed, fabricated, or in any way falsified, the office shall immediately refer the case to the state's Medicaid fraud control unit. However, such criminal referral does not preclude the office from continuing its investigation of the provider, which investigation may lead to the imposition of appropriate administrative or civil sanctions.
- (2) As authorized under state and federal law, and except as provided by Subdivisions (8) and (9), the office shall impose without prior notice a payment hold on claims for reimbursement submitted by a provider only to compel production of records, when requested by the state's Medicaid fraud control unit, or on the determination that a credible allegation of fraud exists, subject to Subsections (1) and (m), as applicable. The payment hold is a serious enforcement tool that the office imposes to mitigate ongoing financial risk to the state. A payment hold imposed under this subdivision takes effect immediately. The office must notify the provider of the payment hold in accordance with 42 C.F.R. Section 455.23(b) and, except as provided by that regulation, not later than the fifth day after the date the office imposes the payment hold. In addition to the requirements of 42 C.F.R. Section 455.23(b), the notice of payment hold provided under this subdivision must also include:
- (A) the specific basis for the hold, including identification of the claims supporting the allegation at that point in the investigation, a representative sample of any documents that form the basis for the hold, and a detailed summary of the office's evidence relating to the allegation;
- 1-54 (B) a description of administrative and judicial 1-55 due process rights and remedies, including the provider's option to 1-56 seek informal resolution, the provider's right to seek a formal 1-57 administrative appeal hearing, or that the provider may seek both; 1-58 and
- 1-59 (C) a detailed timeline for the provider to 1-60 pursue the rights and remedies described in Paragraph (B).

C.S.S.B. No. 293

(3) On timely written request by a provider subject to a payment hold under Subdivision (2), other than a hold requested by the state's Medicaid fraud control unit, the office shall file a request with the State Office of Administrative Hearings for an expedited administrative hearing regarding the hold not later than the third day after the date the office receives the provider's request. The provider must request an expedited administrative hearing under this subdivision not later than the 10th day after the date the provider receives notice from the office under Subdivision (2). The State Office of Administrative Hearings shall hold the expedited administrative hearing not later than the 45th day after the date the State Office of Administrative Hearings receives the request for the hearing. In a hearing held under this subdivision:

(A) the provider and the office are each limited to four hours of testimony, excluding time for responding to questions from the administrative law judge;
(B) the provider and the office are each entitled

to two continuances under reasonable circumstances; and

(C) the office is required to show probable cause that the credible allegation of fraud that is the basis of the payment hold has an indicia of reliability and that continuing to pay the provider presents:

(i) an ongoing significant financial risk

that [to] the state may lose more than \$100,000; or [and]

(ii) a threat to the integrity of Medicaid as defined in rules adopted by the executive commissioner, in consultation with the office.

(4) The office is responsible for the costs of a hearing held under Subdivision (3), but a provider is responsible for the provider's own costs incurred in preparing for the hearing.

(5) In a hearing held under Subdivision (2)

(5) In a hearing held under Subdivision (3), the administrative law judge shall decide if the payment hold should continue but may not adjust the amount or percent of the payment Notwithstanding any other law, including Section hold. 2001.058(e), the decision of the administrative law judge is final

and may not be appealed.

2-1

2-2 2-3

2-4

2**-**5

2-6 2-7 2-8

2-9 2**-**10 2**-**11 2-12

2-13

2-14

2**-**15 2**-**16

2-17 2-18

2-19 2**-**20 2**-**21

2-22

2-23

2-24

2-25 2-26 2-27

2-28

2-29 2-30 2-31

2-32

2-33 2-34

2-35

2-36

2-37

2-38

2-39

2-40 2-41 2-42

2-43 2-44 2-45 2-46

2-47

2-48 2-49 2-50 2-51

2-52

2-53 2-54 2-55 2-56 2-57

2-58

2-59

2-60 2-61 2-62

2-63

2-64

2-65

2-66

2-67

2-68 2-69

- (6) The executive commissioner, in consultation with the office, shall adopt rules that allow a provider subject to a payment hold under Subdivision (2), other than a hold requested by the state's Medicaid fraud control unit, to seek an informal resolution of the issues identified by the office in the notice provided under that subdivision. A provider must request an initial informal resolution meeting under this subdivision not later than the deadline prescribed by Subdivision (3) for requesting an expedited administrative hearing. On receipt of a timely request, the office shall decide whether to grant the provider's request for an initial informal resolution meeting, and if the office decides to grant the request, the office shall schedule the initial informal resolution meeting. The office shall give notice to the provider of the time and place of the initial informal resolution meeting. A provider may request a second informal resolution meeting after the date of the initial informal resolution meeting. On receipt of a timely request, the office shall decide whether to grant the provider's request for a second informal resolution meeting, and if the office decides to grant the request, the office shall schedule the second informal resolution meeting. The office shall give notice to the provider of the time and place of the second informal resolution meeting. A provider must have an opportunity to provide additional information before the second informal resolution meeting for consideration by the office. A provider's decision to seek an informal resolution under this subdivision does not extend the time by which the provider must request an expedited administrative hearing under Subdivision (3). The informal resolution process shall run concurrently with the hearing process, be discontinued and the informal resolution administrative once the State Office of shall process Administrative Hearings issues a final determination on the payment
 - The office shall, in consultation with the state's (7)

C.S.S.B. No. 293

Medicaid fraud control unit, establish guidelines under which 3-1 3-2 program exclusions: 3-3

3-4

3**-**5 3-6 3-7 3-8

3-9

3**-**10 3**-**11 3-12

3-13 3-14

3**-**15 3**-**16

3-17

3-18 3-19

3**-**20 3**-**21

3-22

3-23

3-24 3**-**25 3**-**26 3-27

3-28

3-29

3-30

3-31

3-32

3-33

3-34

3-35 3**-**36

3-37 3-38

3-39

3-40

3-41

3-42 3-43

3-44 3-45 3-46 3-47 3-48

3-49

3-50

- (A) may permissively be imposed on a provider; or
- (B) shall automatically be imposed on a provider.

(7-a) The office shall, in consultation with the state's Medicaid fraud control unit, establish guidelines regarding the imposition of payment holds authorized under Subdivision (2).

- (8) In accordance with 42 C.F.R. Sections 455.23(e) and (f), on the determination that a credible allegation of fraud exists, the office may find that good cause exists to not impose a payment hold, to not continue a payment hold, to impose a payment hold only in part, or to convert a payment hold imposed in whole to one imposed only in part, if any of the following are applicable:
- (A) law enforcement officials have specifically requested that a payment hold not be imposed because a payment hold would compromise or jeopardize an investigation;
- (B) available remedies implemented by the state other than a payment hold would more effectively or quickly protect Medicaid funds;
- (C) the office determines, based the submission of written evidence by the provider who is the subject of the payment hold, that the payment hold should be removed;
- (D) Medicaid recipients' access to items or services would be jeopardized by a full or partial payment hold because the provider who is the subject of the payment hold:
- (i) is the sole community physician or the sole source of essential specialized services in a community; or
- (ii) serves a large number of Medicaid recipients within a designated medically underserved area;
- (E) the attorney general declines to certify that a matter continues to be under investigation; or
- (F) the office determines that a full or partial payment hold is not in the best interests of Medicaid.
- (9) The office may not impose a payment hold on claims for reimbursement submitted by a provider for medically necessary services for which the provider has obtained prior authorization from the commission or a contractor of the commission unless the office has evidence that the provider has materially misrepresented documentation relating to those services.
- SECTION 2. As soon as practicable after the effective date of this Act, the executive commissioner of the Health and Human Services Commission shall adopt the rules required by Section
- 531.102(g)(3)(C)(ii), Government Code, as added by this Act.

 SECTION 3. If before implementing any provision of this Act a state agency determines that a waiver or authorization from a federal agency is necessary for implementation of that provision, the agency affected by the provision shall request the waiver or authorization and may delay implementing that provision until the waiver or authorization is granted.

 SECTION 4. This Act takes effect immediately if it receives
- 3**-**51 3-52 a vote of two-thirds of all the members elected to each house, as 3-53 provided by Section 39, Article III, Texas Constitution. Act does not receive the vote necessary for immediate effect, this 3-54 3-55 Act takes effect September 1, 2017.

3-56 * * * * *