# LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

#### FISCAL NOTE, 85TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

# May 9, 2017

TO: Honorable John T. Smithee, Chair, House Committee on Judiciary & Civil Jurisprudence

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

**IN RE: SB40** by Zaffirini (Relating to the bond required and the bond insurance obtained for certain judges.), **As Engrossed** 

## No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would increase the bond amount for bond and bond insurance obtained by a constitutional or county court judge presiding over a guardianship proceeding to not less than \$100,000 for a court in a county with a population of 125,000 or less; or \$250,000 for a court in a county with a population of 125,000 or more. In lieu of the bond, a county may elect to obtain insurance against losses caused by the county judge's gross negligence in performing the duties of office. The commissioner's court of a county is required to pay the premium for the insurance out of the general funds of the county. The bill would make the bond requirements inapplicable to a statutory county court judge that does not preside over guardianship or probate proceedings or to a statutory probate court judge that executes a bond, obtains insurance, or self-insures. Based on the analysis of the Office of Court Administration, duties and responsibilities associated with implementing the provisions of the bill could be accomplished by utilizing existing resources.

## **Local Government Impact**

According to the Texas Association of Counties, Travis County and Denton County reported the fiscal impact would not be significant.

**Source Agencies:** 212 Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council

LBB Staff: UP, LBO, AG, MW, GDz, JGA